

# On the Ramsey number for cycle with respect to identical copies of complete graphs

I Wayan Sudarsana

Combinatorial and Applied Mathematics Research Group (CAMRG),  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,  
Tadulako University

Jalan Soekarno-Hatta Km. 8, Palu 94117, Indonesia.

email: sudarsanaiwayan@yahoo.co.id

## Abstract

For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , Ramsey number  $R(G, H)$  is the smallest natural number  $n$  such that no  $(G, H)$ -free graph on  $n$  vertices exists. In 1981, Burr [5] proved the general lower bound  $R(G, H) \geq (n-1)(\chi(H)-1) + \sigma(H)$ , where  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ ,  $\chi(H)$  denotes the chromatic number of  $H$  and  $\sigma(H)$  is its *chromatic surplus*, namely, the minimum cardinality of a color class taken over all proper colorings of  $H$  with  $\chi(H)$  colors. A connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is called good with respect to  $H$ ,  $H$ -good, if  $R(G, H) = (n-1)(\chi(H)-1) + \sigma(H)$ . The notation  $tK_m$  represents a graph with  $t$  identical copies of complete graph  $K_m$ . In this note, we discuss the goodness of cycle  $C_n$  with respect to  $tK_m$  for  $m, t \geq 2$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . Furthermore, it is also provided the Ramsey number  $R(G, tK_m)$ , where  $G$  is a disjoint union of cycles.

**Keywords:**  $(G, H)$ -free,  $H$ -good, complete graph, cycle, Ramsey number.

## 1 Introduction

We consider that all graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two graphs, where  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , we define  $G - H$  as a graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertices of  $H$  and all edges incident to them. Let  $t$  be a natural number and  $G_i$  be a connected graph with the vertex set  $V_i$  and the edge set  $E_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . The disjoint union of graphs,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ , has the vertex set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V_i$  and the edge set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E_i$ . Furthermore, if each  $G_i$  is isomorphic to a connected graph  $G$  then we denote by  $tG$  the disjoint union of  $t$  copies of  $G$ .

For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , *Ramsey number*  $R(G, H)$  is the minimum  $n$  such that in every coloring of the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$  with two colors, say red and blue, there is a red copy of  $G$  or a blue copy of  $H$ . A graph  $F$  is called  $(G, H)$ -free if  $F$  contains no subgraph isomorphic to  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{F}$  contains no subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ . The Ramsey number  $R(G, H)$  can be equivalently defined as the smallest natural number  $n$  such that no  $(G, H)$ -free graph on  $n$  vertices exists.

Determining  $R(G, H)$  is a notoriously hard problem. Burr [6] showed that the problem of determining whether  $R(G, H) \leq n$  for a given  $n$  is NP-hard. Furthermore in Shaeffer [14] one can find a rare natural example of a problem higher than NP-hard in the polynomial hierarchy of computational complexity theory, that is, Ramsey arrowing is  $\Pi_2^P$ -complete. The few known values of  $R(G, H)$  are collected in the dynamic survey of Radziszowski [13].

Burr [5] proved the general lower bound

$$R(G, H) \geq (n - 1)(\chi(H) - 1) + \sigma(H), \quad (1)$$

where  $G$  is a connected graph on  $n$  vertices,  $\chi(H)$  denotes the chromatic number of  $H$  and  $\sigma(H)$  is its *chromatic surplus*, namely, the minimum cardinality of a color class taken over all proper colorings of  $H$  with  $\chi(H)$  colors. Motivated by this inequality, the graph  $G$  is said to be  $H$ -good if equality holds in (1). In particular, Chvátal [9] proved that trees are  $K_m$ -good and Sudarsana et al. [18] showed that path  $P_n$  is good with respect to  $2K_m$  and more recent result can be found in [20].

Faudree and Schelp [10] conjectured that  $C_n$  is  $K_m$ -good for  $n \geq m \geq 3$ , except for  $n = m = 3$ . The conjecture has been verified for  $n \geq m^2 - 2$  (Bondy and Erdős [4]), for  $m = 3$  (Chartrand and Schuster [7]),  $m = 4$  (Yang, Huang and Zhang [16]),  $m = 5$  (Bollobás, Jayawardene, Yang, Huang, Rousseau and Zhang [3]),  $m = 6$  (Schiermeyer [15]) and  $m = 7$  (Chen, Cheng and Zhang [8]). More recently, Nikiforov [12] proved the conjecture for all  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 4m + 2$ . Other result concerning the goodness of graphs with the chromatic surplus one can be found in Lin et al. [11] and Sudarsana et al. [22]. However, the goodness of cycle  $C_n$  with respect to  $tK_m$  is still open.

In this paper, we establish the goodness of cycle  $C_n$  with respect to  $tK_m$  for  $m, t \geq 2$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . This is an extending result of Sudarsana in [23].

## 2 Known Results

For the proof of our new result, Theorem 2, we use the following results.

**Lemma 1** (Sudarsana et al. [18]) *Let  $n$  and  $t$  be positive integers. Then,*

$$R(P_n, tK_2) = \begin{cases} n + t - 1, & t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor; \\ 2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, & t > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1** (Nikiforov [12]) *Let  $m \geq 3$  be an integer. If  $n \geq 4m + 2$  then  $R(C_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$ .*

## 3 The Main Result

The following theorem deals with the goodness of cycle  $C_n$  with respect to  $t$  identical copies of complete graphs,  $tK_m$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $t, m \geq 2$  be integers and  $g(t, m) = t((tm - 2)(m - 1) + 1) + 1$ . If  $n \geq g(t, m)$  then  $R(C_n, tK_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + t$ .*

By extending previous results of Baskoro et al. [1], Stahl [17], Bielak [2] and Sudarsana et al. [19], Sudarsana et al. [21] recently proved a formula for  $R(G, H)$  when every connected component of  $G$  is not necessary an  $H$ -good graph. This result motivates the study of general families of  $H$ -good graphs. In particular, Theorem 2 provides the following computation of  $R(G, tK_m)$  when  $G$  is a set of disjoint cycles.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $m, t \geq 2$  be integers and  $g(t, m) = t((tm - 2)(m - 1) + 1) + 1$ . Let  $G \simeq \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i C_{n_i}$ , where  $l_i \geq 1$  and each  $C_{n_i}$  is a cycle of order  $n_i$ .*

*If  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq g(t, m)$  then*

$$R(G, tK_m) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ (n_i - 1)(m - 2) + \sum_{j=1}^i l_j n_j \right\} + t - 1. \quad (2)$$

Firstly, by similar way with the proof of Lemma 1 in [18] we obtain the main result for case  $m = 2$  as follows.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $t \geq 1$  be integers. Then,*

$$R(C_n, tK_2) = \begin{cases} n+t-1, & t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor; \\ 2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, & t > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Next we show the following weaker form of our main result for case  $t = 2$ .

**Lemma 3** *Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer. If  $n \geq 4(m-1)^2 + 3$  then  $R(C_n, 2K_m) = (n-1)(m-1) + 2$ .*

**Proof.** We obtain the lower bound  $R(C_n, 2K_m) \geq (n-1)(m-1) + 2$  from the fact that  $(m-1)K_{n-1} \cup K_1$  is a  $(C_n, 2K_m)$ -free graph on  $(n-1)(m-1) + 1$  vertices. Let us next show the upper bound.

For  $m = 2$  the statement follows from Lemma 2. Assume that the lemma is true for  $m-1$ , that is, if  $F$  is a graph of order  $n \geq (n-1)(m-2) + 2$ , then  $F$  contains  $C_n$  or  $\overline{F}$  contains  $2K_{m-1}$ . We shall show that the lemma is also valid for  $m$ .

Set  $m \geq 3$  and let  $F$  be an arbitrary graph on  $(n-1)(m-1) + 2$  vertices. We will show that  $F$  contains  $C_n$  or  $\overline{F}$  contains  $2K_m$ . Note that if  $m \geq 3$  then  $n \geq 4(m-1)^2 + 3 > 4m + 2$ . By Theorem 1, we have a copy of  $C_n$  in  $F$  or a copy of  $K_m$  in  $\overline{F}$ . If  $F$  contains  $C_n$  then we are done. Thus we may assume that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $K_m$ . Observe that the subgraph  $F - \overline{K}_m$  of  $F$  has  $(n-2)(m-1) + 1$  vertices. Since  $m \geq 3$ , we have  $n-1 \geq 4(m-1)^2 + 2 > 4m + 2$ . By Theorem 1,  $F - \overline{K}_m$  contains  $C_{n-1}$  or the complement of  $F - \overline{K}_m$  contains  $K_m$ . If the complement of  $F - \overline{K}_m$  contains  $K_m$  then we obtain a copy of  $2K_m$  in  $\overline{F}$  and hence we are done. Therefore,  $F$  has a cycle  $C_{n-1}$ . Thus the subgraph  $F - C_{n-1}$  of  $F$  has  $(n-1)(m-2) + 2$  vertices. By the induction hypothesis,  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $C_n$  or the complement of  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $2K_{m-1}$ . If  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $C_n$  then we are done. Hence we may assume that  $F$  contains a cycle  $C_{n-1}$  with vertex set, say  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}$  and edges  $c_i c_{i+1}$  (subscripts modulo  $(n-1)$ ), and that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $2K_{m-1}$ . It is clear that the subgraphs  $C_{n-1}$  and  $2K_{m-1}$  have no vertices in common.

Assume that  $F$  contains no  $C_n$ . We will show that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $2K_m$ . Let us consider the relation between the vertices in  $A = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$  and in  $B = V(2K_{m-1})$ . Suppose that the neighborhood  $N_A(u)$  in  $A$  of a vertex  $u \in B$  satisfies  $|N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \geq 2m-1$ . Let  $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$  with  $i < j$ . Note that  $j-i > 1$  since otherwise we can extend  $C_{n-1}$  to a cycle of length  $n$  containing  $u$ . If  $c_{i+1}$  and  $c_{j+1}$  are adjacent in  $F$  then we also have the cycle  $\{c_i u c_j c_{j-1} \dots c_{i+1} c_{j+1} c_{j+2} \dots c_{n-1} c_1 c_2 \dots c_i\}$  of length

$n$  in  $F$ . If  $c_{i+1}c_{j+1}$  is not an edge for every pair  $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$  then  $\{c_{i+1} : c_i \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})\} \cup \{u\}$  is a set of  $2m$  independent vertices in  $F$  so that  $\bar{F}$  contains  $2K_m$ . Hence, for each  $u \in B$  we have  $|N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \leq 2m - 2$ . Therefore,

$$\left| A \setminus \bigcup_{u \in B} N_A(u) \right| \geq (n-1) - 4(m-1)^2. \quad (3)$$

Since  $n \geq 4(m-1)^2 + 3$ , it follows that there are at least 2 vertices in  $A$  which are adjacent to no vertex in  $B$  and hence  $\bar{F}$  contains  $2K_m$ . This completes the proof of lemma.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The lower bound  $R(C_n, tK_m) \geq (n-1)(m-1) + t$  follows from the fact that  $(m-1)K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}$  is a  $(C_n, tK_m)$ -free graph of order  $(n-1)(m-1) + t - 1$ .

To prove the upper bound  $R(C_n, tK_m) \leq (n-1)(m-1) + t$  we use inductions on  $t$  and  $m$ . For  $t = 2$ , we have  $R(C_n, 2K_m) = (n-1)(m-1) + 2$  from Lemma 3. Hence, the assertion holds for  $n \geq g(2, m) = 4(m-1)^2 + 3$ . In what follows we assume that the theorem is true for  $n \geq g(t-1, m)$ , that is  $R(C_n, (t-1)K_m) \leq (n-1)(m-1) + t - 1$ .

From Lemma 2, we have  $R(C_n, tK_2) = n + t - 1$  for  $n \geq 2t$ . Note that if  $t \geq 2$  then  $n \geq g(t, 2) > 2t$ . Therefore, the theorem holds for  $m = 2$ . In what follows we also assume  $m \geq 3$  and the theorem is true for  $n \geq g(t, m-1)$ , that is  $R(C_n, tK_{m-1}) \leq (n-1)(m-2) + t$ .

We will show that the theorem is also valid for  $n \geq g(t, m)$ . Let  $F$  be an arbitrary graph on  $(n-1)(m-1) + t$  vertices. We shall show that  $F$  contains  $C_n$  or  $\bar{F}$  contains  $tK_m$ . Note that if  $t \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$  then  $n \geq g(t, m) > 4m + 2$ . So Theorem 1 now guarantees that  $F$  contains  $C_n$  or  $\bar{F}$  contains  $K_m$ . If  $F$  contains  $C_n$  then we are done. Thus we may assume that  $\bar{F}$  contains  $K_m$ . Since the subgraph  $F - \bar{K}_m$  of  $F$  has  $(n-2)(m-1) + t - 1$  vertices and  $n-1 \geq g(t, m) - 1 > g(t-1, m)$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $t$  we know that  $F - \bar{K}_m$  contains  $C_{n-1}$  or the complement of  $F - \bar{K}_m$  contains  $(t-1)K_m$ . If the complement of  $F - \bar{K}_m$  contains  $(t-1)K_m$  then we have a  $tK_m$  in  $\bar{F}$  and hence the proof is done. Therefore,  $F$  has a cycle  $C_{n-1}$ . Thus the subgraph  $F - C_{n-1}$  of  $F$  has  $(n-1)(m-2) + t$  vertices. Note that, since  $t \geq 2$ , we have  $n \geq g(t, m) > g(t, m-1)$ . By the induction hypothesis on  $m$ , we know that  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $C_n$  or the complement of  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $tK_{m-1}$ . If  $F - C_{n-1}$  contains  $C_n$  then we are done. Hence we may assume that

$F$  contains a cycle  $C_{n-1}$  with vertex set, say  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}$  and edges  $c_i c_{i+1}$  (subscripts modulo  $(n-1)$ ), and that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $t$  disjoint copies  $K_{m-1}^1, K_{m-1}^2, \dots, K_{m-1}^t$  of the complete graph with  $m-1$  vertices. It is clear that the subgraphs  $C_{n-1}$  and  $tK_{m-1}$  have no vertices in common.

Assume that  $F$  contains no  $C_n$ . We will show that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $tK_m$ . Let us consider the relation between the vertices in  $A = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$  and in  $B = V(K_{m-1}^1) \cup V(K_{m-1}^2) \cup \dots \cup V(K_{m-1}^t)$ . Suppose that the neighborhood  $N_A(u)$  in  $A$  of a vertex  $u \in B$  satisfies  $|N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \geq tm - 1$ . Let  $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$  with  $i < j$ . Note that  $j - i > 1$  since otherwise we can extend  $C_{n-1}$  to a cycle of length  $n$  containing  $u$ . If  $c_{i+1}c_{j+1}$  is an edge in  $F$  then we also have the cycle  $\{c_i u c_j c_{j-1} \dots c_{i+1} c_{j+1} c_{j+2} \dots c_{n-1} c_1 c_2 \dots c_i\}$  of length  $n$  in  $F$ . If  $c_{i+1}c_{j+1}$  is not an edge for every pair  $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$  then  $\{c_{i+1} : c_i \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})\} \cup \{u\}$  is a set of  $tm$  independent vertices in  $F$  so that  $\overline{F}$  contains  $tK_m$ . Hence, for each  $u \in B$  we have  $|N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \leq tm - 2$ . Therefore,

$$\left| A \setminus \bigcup_{u \in B} N_A(u) \right| \geq (n-1) - t(tm-2)(m-1). \quad (4)$$

Since  $n \geq g(t, m)$ , it follows that there are at least  $t$  vertices in  $A$  which are adjacent to no vertex in  $B$  and hence  $\overline{F}$  contains  $tK_m$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges to TWAS Research Grants Programme, The World Academy of Sciences for financial support under grant No.: 13-064 RG/MATHS/AS\_I-UNESCO FR: 3240277718.

## References

- [1] E. T. Baskoro, Hasmawati, and H. Assiyatun, Note. The Ramsey number for disjoint unions of trees, *Discrete Mathematics* **306** (2006), 3297–3301.
- [2] H. Bielak, Ramsey numbers for a disjoint union of good graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **310** (2010), 1501–1505.

- [3] B. Bollobás, C. J. Jayawardene, J. S. Yang, Y. R. Huang, C. C. Rousseau, and K. M. Zhang, On a conjecture involving cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **22** (2000), 63–71.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and P. Erdős, Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B* **14** (1973), 46–54.
- [5] S. A. Burr, Ramsey numbers involving long suspended paths, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **24** (2) (1981), 405–413.
- [6] S. A. Burr, Determining generalized Ramsey numbers is NP-hard, *Ars Combinatoria* **17** (1984), 21–25.
- [7] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the existence of specified cycles in complementary graphs, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **77** (1971), 995–998.
- [8] Y. Chen, T. C. E. Cheng and Y. Zhang, The Ramsey numbers  $R(C_m, K_7)$  and  $R(C_7, K_8)$ , *European Journal of Combinatorics* **29** (2008), 1337–1352.
- [9] V. Chvátal, Tree-complete graph Ramsey number, *Journal of Graph Theory* **1** (1977), 93.
- [10] R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, Some problems in Ramsey theory, in theory and applications of graphs, (Conference Proceedings, Kalamazoo, MI 1976), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **642**, Springer, Berlin, (1978), 500–515.
- [11] Q. Lin, Y. Li and L. Dong, Ramsey goodness and generalized stars, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **31** (2010), 1228–1234.
- [12] V. Nikiforov, The cycle-complete graphs Ramsey numbers, *Journal of Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **14** (2005), 349–370.
- [13] S. P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* (2009), DS1.12, (<http://www.combinatorics.org>).
- [14] M. Schaefer, Graph Ramsey theory and the polynomial hierarchy, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **62** (2001), 290–322.
- [15] I. Schiermeyer, All cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers  $r(C_m, K_6)$ , *Journal of Graph Theory* **44** (2003), 251–260.
- [16] J. S. Yang, Y. R. Huang, and K. M. Zhang, The value of the Ramsey number  $R(C_n, K_4)$  is  $3(n - 1) + 1$  ( $n \geq 4$ ), *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **20** (1999), 205–206.

- [17] S. Stahl, On the Ramsey number  $r(F, K_m)$  where  $F$  is a forest, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **27** (1975), 585–589.
- [18] I W. Sudarsana, H. Assiyatun, Adiwijaya, and S. Musdalifah, The Ramsey number for a linear forest versus two identical copies of complete graphs, *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications* **2** (2010), 437–444.
- [19] I W. Sudarsana, E. T. Baskoro, H. Assiyatun and S. Uttunggadewa, The Ramsey numbers for the union graph with  $H$ -good components, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)* **39:1** (2010), 29-40.
- [20] I W. Sudarsana, The goodness of long path with respect to multiple copies of complete graphs, *Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society (JIMS)* **20:1** (2014), 31-35.
- [21] I W. Sudarsana, E. T. Baskoro, H. Assiyatun and S. Uttunggadewa, On the union of graphs Ramsey numbers, *Applied Mathematical Sciences (AMS)* **8:16** (2014), 767-773.
- [22] I W. Sudarsana, H. Assiyatun, S. Uttunggadewa and E. T. Baskoro, On the Ramsey numbers  $R(S_{2,m}, K_{2,q})$  and  $R(sK_2, K_s + C_n)$  *Ars Combinatoria* **119:1** (2015), 235-246.
- [23] I W. Sudarsana, The goodness of path or cycle with respect to multiple copies of complete graphs of order three, *Ars Combinatoria*, to appear.