# New Sufficient Conditions for Some Hamiltonian Properties of Graphs

Rao Li
Dept. of mathematical sciences
University of South Carolina Aiken
Aiken, SC 29801
Email: raol@usca.edu

Jan. 19, 2016

#### Abstract

For a connected graph G=(V,E), its inverse degree is defined as  $\sum_{v\in V}\frac{1}{d(v)}$ . Using an upper bound for the inverse degree of a graph obtained by Cioabă in [6], In this note, we present new sufficient conditions for some Hamiltonian properties of graphs.

Keywords: Hamiltonian Property, Inverse Degree.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C45, 05C40.

#### 1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow that in [4]. For a graph G = (V, E), we use n and e to denote its order |V| and size |E|, respectively. We use  $\delta = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n = \Delta$  to denote the degree sequence of G. If G is connected, its inverse degree is defined as  $\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$ . A cycle G in a graph G is called a Hamiltonian cycle of G if G contains all the vertices of G. A graph G is called Hamiltonian if G has a Hamiltonian cycle. A path G in a graph G is called a Hamiltonian path of G if G contains all the vertices of G. A graph G is called traceable if G has a Hamiltonian path. A graph G is called Hamilton - connected if for each pair of vertices in G there is a Hamiltonian path between them. A graph G is called G is called G in G in G in G in G is called G in G is called G in G i

is Hamiltonain (see [5] and [3]). Obviously, every 0 - Hamiltonian graph is Hamiltonian. A graph G is called r - edge - Hamiltonian (where r is a nonnegative integer) if any collection of vertex - disjoint paths with at most r edges is contained in a Hamiltonian cycle of G (see Page 204 in [2]). Obviously, every 0 - edge - Hamiltonian graph is Hamiltonian. The smallest number of pairwise disjoint paths covering all the vertices of G is denoted by pc(G) (see [3]). A graph G is called r - piece - traceable (where r is a positive integer) if  $pc(G) \leq r$ , Obviously, every 1 - piece - traceable graph is traceable. A graph G of order n is called pancyclic if G contains cycles of lengths from 3 to n.

Using an upper bound for the inverse degree of a graph obtained by Cioabă in [6], Li presented sufficient conditions for Hamiltonicity, traceability, Hamilton - connectivity, and k - connectivity of graphs in [7]. Using the ideas similar to the ones in [7], we in this note present sufficient conditions for the Hamiltonicity of bipartite graphs, the r - Hamiltonicity, the r - edge - Hamiltonicity, the r - piece - traceability, and the pancycility of graphs. The main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let G = (X, Y; E), where  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ , and  $n \ge 2$ , be a connected bipartite graph. If

$$\frac{2n^2}{e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(2n - 1 - \frac{e}{n}\right) < 3,$$

then G is Hamiltonian.

**Theorem** 2. Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size e. Suppose r is a nonnegative integer such that  $r \leq n-3$ . If

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) < \frac{1}{1+r} + \frac{1}{n-2} + \frac{1+r}{\Delta},$$

then G is r - Hamiltonian.

**Theorem 3.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size e. Suppose r is a nonnegative integer such that  $r \leq n-3$ . If

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) < 1 - r + \frac{1}{n-2} + \frac{1+r}{\Delta},$$

then G is r - edge - Hamiltonian.

**Theorem 4.** Let G be a connected graph of order n and size e. Suppose r is a positive integer such that  $r \le n-3$ . If

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) < \frac{n+r-1}{n-r-1} + \frac{1}{n-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta},$$

then G is r - piece - traceable.

**Theorem** 5. Let G be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size e. If

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) < 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} + \frac{1}{\Delta},$$

then G is pancyclic or bipartite.

Plugging in r=0 in Theorems 2 and 3, they become Theorem 2.1 in [7]. Thus Theorems 2 and 3 are generalizations of Theorem 2.1 in [7]. Plugging in r=1 in Theorem 4, it becomes Theorem 2.2 in [7]. Thus Theorem 4 is a generalization of Theorem 2.2 in [7].

#### 2. Lemmas

In order to prove the theorems above, we need the following results as our lemmas. Lemma 1 below is Corollary 5 on Page 210 in [2].

**Lemma** 1. Let G = (X, Y; E) be a bipartite graph such that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ,  $d(x_1) \le d(x_2) \le \cdots \le d(x_n)$ , and  $d(y_1) \le d(y_2) \le \cdots \le d(y_n)$ . If

$$d(x_k) \le k < n \Longrightarrow d(y_{n-k}) \ge n - k + 1,$$

then G is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2 below is Corollary 1.2 on Page 166 in [5].

**Lemma 2.** Let G be a graph of order  $n \geq 3$  with degree sequence  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ . Suppose r is a nonnegative integer such that  $r \leq n-3$ . If for each k with  $1 \leq k < (n-r)/2$ 

$$d_k \le k + r \Longrightarrow d_{n-k-r} \ge n - k$$
,

then G is r - Hamiltonian.

Lemma 3 below is Theorem 8 on Page 204 in [2].

**Lemma** 3. Let G be a graph of order  $n \geq 3$  with degree sequence  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ . Suppose r is a nonnegative integer such that  $r \leq n-3$ . If for each k with  $r+1 \leq k < (n+r)/2$ 

$$d_{k-r} < k \Longrightarrow d_{n-k} > n - k + r$$

then G is r - edge - Hamiltonian.

Lemma 4 below is Theorem 5.1 on Pages 119 and 121 in [3].

**Lemma** 4. Let G be a graph of order n with degree sequence  $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$ . Suppose r is a positive integer. If for each k with  $1 \le k < (n-r)/2$ 

$$d_{k+r} \leq k \Longrightarrow d_{n-k} \geq n-k-r$$

then G is r - piece - traceable.

Lemma 5 below is Theorem 1 on Pages 112 in [1].

**Lemma 5.** Let G be a graph of order  $n \ge 3$  with degree sequence  $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$ . If for each k with  $1 \le k < n/2$ 

$$d_k \leq k \Longrightarrow d_{n-k} \geq n-k$$
,

then G is pancyclic or bipartite.

Lemma 6 is from Theorem 9 on Page 1963 in [6].

**Lemma** 6. Let G be a connected graph of order n and size e. Then

$$\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)} \leq \frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right).$$

### 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem** 1. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1. Suppose that G is not Hamiltonian. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $d(x_1) \leq d(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq d(x_n)$  and  $d(y_1) \leq d(y_2) \leq \cdots \leq d(y_n)$ . Then, from Lemma 1, there exists an integer k such that  $d(x_k) \leq k < n$  and  $d(y_{n-k}) \leq n - k$ . Obviously,  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have that

$$\frac{2n^2}{e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(2n - 1 - \frac{e}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{(2n)^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(2n - 1 - \frac{2e}{2n}\right) \ge \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{d(x_k)} + \frac{1}{d(x_{k+1})} + \dots + \frac{1}{d(x_n)} + \frac{1}{d(y_1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{d(y_{n-k})} + \frac{1}{d(y_{n-k+1})} + \dots + \frac{1}{d(y_n)}$$

$$\ge \frac{k}{d(x_k)} + \frac{n-k}{d(x_n)} + \frac{n-k}{d(y_{n-k})} + \frac{k}{d(y_n)}$$

$$\ge \frac{k}{k} + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n-k} + \frac{k}{n} = 3,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

QED

**Proof of Theorem** 2. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2. Suppose that G is not r - Hamiltonian. Then, from Lemma 2, there exists an integer k such that  $1 \le k < (n-r)/2$ ,  $d_k \le k+r$ , and  $d_{n-k-r} \le n-k-1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have that

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) \ge \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_k} + \frac{1}{d_{k+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{n-k-r}} + \frac{1}{d_{n-k-r+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_n}$$

$$\ge \frac{k}{d_k} + \frac{n - 2k - r}{d_{n-k-r}} + \frac{k + r}{d_n} \ge \frac{k}{k + r} + \frac{n - 2k - r}{n - k - 1} + \frac{k + r}{\Delta}$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{1 + r} + \frac{1}{n - 2} + \frac{1 + r}{\Delta},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

 $_{
m QED}$ 

**Proof of Theorem** 3. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3. Suppose that G is not r - edge - Hamiltonian. Then, from Lemma 3, there exists an integer k such that  $r+1 \le k < (n+r)/2$ ,  $d_{k-r} \le k$ , and  $d_{n-k} \le n-k+r-1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have that

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) \ge \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{k-r}} + \frac{1}{d_{k-r+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{1}{d_{n-k+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_n}$$

$$\ge \frac{k - r}{d_{k-r}} + \frac{n - 2k + r}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{k}{d_n} \ge \frac{k - r}{k} + \frac{n - 2k + r}{n - k + r - 1} + \frac{k}{\Delta}$$

$$\ge 1 - r + \frac{1}{n - 2} + \frac{1 + r}{\Delta},$$

**Proof of Theorem** 4. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4. Suppose that G is not r - piece - traceable. Then, from Lemma 4, there exists an integer k such that such that  $1 \le k < (n-r)/2$ ,  $d_{k+r} \le k$ , and  $d_{n-k} \le n-k-r-1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have that

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) \ge \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{k+r}} + \frac{1}{d_{k+r+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{1}{d_{n-k+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_n}$$

$$\ge \frac{k+r}{d_{k+r}} + \frac{n-2k-r}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{k}{d_n} \ge \frac{k+r}{k} + \frac{n-2k-r}{n-k-r-1} + \frac{k}{\Delta}$$

$$\ge 1 + \frac{r}{k} + \frac{1}{n-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \ge 1 + \frac{r}{\frac{n-r-1}{2}} + \frac{1}{n-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta}$$

$$\ge 1 + \frac{2r}{n-r-1} + \frac{1}{n-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta}$$

$$= \frac{n+r-1}{n-r-1} + \frac{1}{n-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

QED

**Proof of Theorem** 5. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 5. Suppose that G is neither pancyclic nor bipartite. Then, from Lemma 5, there exists an integer k such that  $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $d_k \le k$ , and  $d_{n-k} \le n-k-1$ . Therefore, from Lemma 6, we have that

$$\frac{n^2}{2e} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \left(n - 1 - \frac{2e}{n}\right) \ge \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_k} + \frac{1}{d_{k+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{1}{d_{n-k+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_n}$$

$$\ge \frac{k}{d_k} + \frac{n - 2k}{d_{n-k}} + \frac{k}{d_n} \ge \frac{k}{k} + \frac{n - 2k}{n - k - 1} + \frac{k}{\Delta}$$

$$\ge 1 + \frac{1}{n - 2} + \frac{1}{\Delta},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

QED

## References

- D. Bauer and E. Schmeichel, Hamiltonian degree conditions which imply a graph is pancyclic, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 48 (1990), 111 - 116.
- [2] C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, American Elsevier Publishing Company (1976).
- [3] J. A. Bondy and V. Chvátal, A method in graph theory, Discrete Math. 15 (1976), 111 135.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [5] V. Chvátal, On Hamilton's ideals, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 12 (1972), 163 - 168.
- [6] S. Cioabă, Sums of powers of the degrees of a graph, Discrete Math. 306 (2006), 1959 - 1964.
- [7] R. Li, Sufficient conditions for some Hamiltonian properties and k -connectivity of graphs, accepted by J. Appl. Math. & Informatics.