A unified approach to lower bound on Resolvent Estrada index and Resolvent energy of graphs

Zhongxun Zhu, Yunchao Hong, Meiying Jiang

College of Mathematics and Statistics, South Central University for Nationalities, Wuhan 430074, P.R. China

Abstract. In this paper, according to symmetric Lanczos algorithm and general Gauss-type quadrature rule, we give some lower bounds on the Resolvent Estrada index $EE_r(G)$ and the Resolvent energy ER(G).

Keywords: Resolvent Estrada index; spectral moment; Resolvent energy

AMS subject classification: 05C69, 05C05

1. Introduction

Let G=(V,E) be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G)=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n\}$ and edge set E(G). Graph theoretical terms used but not defined can be found in Bollobás [1]. Let A(G) be the (0,1)-adjacency matrix of G. The characteristic polynomial $\phi(G;x)$ of G is |xI-A(G)|, where I is the unit matrix. We call the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ (for short $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$) of A(G) the spectrum of G. For $k \geq 0$, let $M_k(G)$ denote the k-th spectral moment of a graph G, namely

$$M_k(G) = tr(A(G)^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k,$$

where $tr(\cdot)$ is the trace of a matrix.

In [6], Estrada and Higham proposed an invariant of a graph G based on Taylor series expansion of spectral moments

$$EE(G,c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k M_k(G).$$

Obviously, for $c_k = \frac{1}{k!}$, EE(G, c) is the well-known graph invariant, Estrada index, put forward by Estrada [5], which has attracted much attention of mathematicians in the past few years. Various mathematical properties

This project is supported by the Foundation of State Ethnic Affairs (14ZNZ023). E-mail address: zzxun73@mail.scuec.edu.cn

of the Estrada index have been investigated, see [7] for a comprehensive survey. For $c_k = \frac{1}{(n-1)^k}$, EE(G,c) is the Resolvent Estrada index, denoted by $EE_r(G)$, defined by Estrada and Higham in [6]. Note that

$$EE_r(G) = EE(G, \frac{1}{(n-1)^k}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k(G)}{(n-1)^k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (1 - \frac{\lambda_i}{n-1})^{-1}.$$

However, the resolvent Estrada index is defined for all graphs but complete graph and this is the main pitfall of this index. In [10], I. Gutman et al gave a novel topological invariant named as the Resolvent energy ER(G) of a graph as following:

$$ER(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k(G)}{(n)^k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n - \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \frac{\lambda_i}{n})^{-1}.$$

This definition incorporates all graphs.

Recently, some mathematical properties of $EE_r(G)$ and ER(G) have been studied[3, 4, 8, 9, 10]. In this paper, we will give a new lower bound on $EE_r(G)$ and ER(G) by a unified approach.

2. Preliminaries

If A is symmetric, then it is possible to find an orthogonal Q such that $A=Q^T\Lambda Q$, where Λ is a diagonal matrix consisting of the eigenvalues of A which we order as $\lambda_1\leq \lambda_2\leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$, that is, $\Lambda=diag(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n)$. Let $a=\lambda_1,b=\lambda_n$, a real function f(x) is strictly completely monotonic on the interval [a,b] if $f^{(2j)}(x)>0$ and $f^{(2j+1)}(x)<0$ for all integers $j\geq 0$, where $f^{(k)}(x)$ denotes the k-th derivative of f(x) and $f^{(0)}(x)=f(x)$. For a strictly completely monotonic function f(x) defined on [a,b], define matrix function $f(A)=Q^Tf(\Lambda)Q$, where $f(\Lambda)=diag(f(\lambda_1),f(\lambda_2),\ldots,f(\lambda_n))$. For any $u,v\in\mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$u^T f(A)v = u^T Q^T f(\Lambda)Qv = p^T f(\Lambda)q = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i)p_iq_i,$$

where p = Qu, q = Qv. This sum can be interpreted as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral

$$u^{T}f(A)v = \int_{a}^{b} f(\lambda)d\mu(\lambda), \quad \mu(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda < a = \lambda_{1}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{j}q_{j} & \lambda_{i} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{i+1}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}q_{j} & b = \lambda_{n} \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

The general Gauss-type quadrature rule gives in this case:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(\lambda)d\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_{j} f(t_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i} f(z_{i}) + R[f]$$
 (2.2)

where the nodes $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and the weights $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are unknown, whereas the nodes $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are prescribed. We have

- (i) m = 0 for the Gauss rule:
- (ii) $m = 1, z_1 = a$ or $z_1 = b$ for the Gauss-Radau rule;
- (iii) $m = 1, z_1 = a$ and $z_2 = b$ for the Gauss-Lobatto rule.

By [2], if f(x) is a strictly completely monotonic on an interval containing the spectrum of A, then quadrature rules applied to (2.1) give bounds on $u^T f(A)v$. More precisely, the Gauss rule gives a lower bound, the Gauss-Lobatto rule gives an upper bound, whereas the Gauss-Radau rule can be used to obtain both a lower and an upper bound.

Note that if u = v, the remainder in (2.2) can be written as

$$R[f] = \frac{f^{(2n+m)}(\eta)}{(2n+m)!} \int_a^b \prod_{k=1}^m (\lambda - z_k) [\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - s_j)]^2 d\mu(\lambda),$$

for some $\eta \in (a, b)$. For the strictly completely monotonic function f(x) on the interval [a, b], if m = 0, then

$$R[f] = \frac{f^{(2n)}(\eta)}{(2n)!} \int_a^b [\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda - s_j)]^2 d\mu(\lambda) \ge 0,$$

further by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$u^T f(A)u = \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j f(t_j) + R[f] \ge \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j f(t_j).$$

Especially, we have

$$e_i^T f(A)e_i = (f(A))_{ii} \ge \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j f(t_j).$$
 (2.3)

Let a tridiagonal matrix

$$J_k = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \omega_2 & \gamma_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \gamma_{k-2} & \omega_{k-1} & \gamma_{k-1} \\ & & \gamma_{k-1} & \omega_k & \gamma_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where the entries of J_k is obtained by symmetric Lanczos algorithm. The initial vectors are $x_{-1} = 0$ and $x_0 = e_i$, the iteration goes as follows:

$$\gamma_{j}x_{j} = r_{j} = (A - \omega_{j}I)x_{j-1} - \gamma_{j-1}x_{j-2}, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\omega_{j} = x_{j-1}^{T}Ax_{j-1}$$

$$\gamma_{j} = ||r_{j}||$$

From [11], we know that the eigenvalues of J_k are exactly the Gauss nodes $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^k$, whereas the Gauss weights $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are given by the squares of the first entries of the normalized eigenvectors of J_k . It follows from (2.1) that the quantity we seek to compute has the form $\sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j f(t_j)$. By [2], we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_{j} f(t_{j}) = e_{1}^{T} f(J_{k}) e_{1}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $e_1 = (1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1})^T$. Therefore, in some cases where $f(J_k)$ is easily

computable, we do not need to compute the eigenvalues (that is, Gauss nodes) and eigenvectors (that is, weights) of J_k . Then by (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(f(A))_{ii} \geq e_1^T f(J_k) e_1. \tag{2.5}$$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let G = (V, E) be a non-complete graph and d_i be the degree of vertex v_i of G, then

$$EE_r(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}$$

where t is the number of triangles.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^{-1}$, then f(x) is a strictly completely monotonic function. For the matrix $M = I - \frac{A}{n-1} = (m_{ij})$, then $EE_r(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(M)_{ii}$. By symmetric Lanczos algorithm, we have

$$\omega_1 = e_i^T M e_i = 1 - \frac{a_{ii}}{n-1} = 1,$$

$$r_1 = (M - \omega_1 I) e_i = (-\frac{A}{n-1}) e_i$$

$$= -\left(\frac{a_{1i}}{n-1}, \frac{a_{2i}}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{a_{i-1,i}}{n-1}, 0, \frac{a_{i+1,i}}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{a_{ni}}{n-1}\right)^{T},$$

$$\gamma_{1} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{a_{1i}}{n-1}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{a_{2i}}{n-1}\right)^{2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a_{i-1,i}}{n-1}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{a_{i+1,i}}{n-1}\right)^{2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a_{ni}}{n-1}\right)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{d_{i}}}{n-1},$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_{i}}} (a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{i-1,i}, 0, a_{i+1,i}, \dots, a_{ni})^{T},$$

$$\omega_{2} = x_{1}^{T} M x_{1} = \frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{k \neq i, l \neq i} m_{kl} a_{ki} a_{li}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_{i}} [\sum_{k \neq i, l \neq i, k = l} m_{kl} a_{ki} a_{li} + \sum_{k \neq i, l \neq i, k \neq l} m_{kl} a_{ki} a_{li}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_{i}} [\sum_{k \neq i} a_{ki}^{2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{k \neq i, l \neq i, k \neq l} a_{kl} a_{ki} a_{li}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_{i}} [d_{i} - \frac{2t}{(n-1)}] = 1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_{i}}$$

The tridiagonal matrix is the 2×2 matrix

$$J_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sqrt{d_{i}}}{n-1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{d_{i}}}{n-1} & 1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_{2}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_{i}} - \frac{d_{i}}{(n-1)^{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_{i}} & -\frac{\sqrt{d_{i}}}{n-1} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{d_{i}}}{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By (2.5), we have

$$f(M)_{ii} = (M^{-1})_{ii} \ge \frac{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}$$

Further,

$$EE_r(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(M)_{ii} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}.$$

Corollary 3.2. [3] Let G = (V, E) be a non-complete graph with n vertices and m edges.

$$EE_r(G) \geq \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{n(n-1)^2-2m}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} \\ &= &\frac{2t}{(1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2})(1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2})(n-1)^3} \ge 0, \end{split}$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i}.$$
 (3.6)

Further by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sqrt{(n-1)^2 - d_i})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)^2 - d_i}})^2 \ge n^2,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i} \ge \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((n-1)^2 - d_i)} = \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{n(n-1)^2 - 2m}.$$

Corollary 3.3. Let G = (V, E) be a bipartite graph, then

$$EE_r(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i}.$$

Proof. For a bipartite graph, the number of triangles is zero, then by (3.6), we have our desired result.

Corollary 3.4. Let G = (V, E) be a unicyclic graph, then

$$EE_r(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i}.$$

Proof. (1) If there is not triangle in G, then

$$EE_r(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i}.$$

(2) If there is a triangle $v_l v_j v_k$ in G, then $t_l = t_j = t_k = 1$. Note that

$$\frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_i}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_i} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} > \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}, \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j} - \frac{d_j}{(n-1)^2}} > \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}, \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j} - \frac{d_j}{(n-1)^2}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_k} - \frac{d_k}{(n-1)^2}} > \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & EE_r(G) \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1, i \neq l, j, k}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_l}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_l} - \frac{d_i}{(n-1)^2}} + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j} - \frac{d_j}{(n-1)^2}} \\ & + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_k}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_k} - \frac{d_k}{(n-1)^2}} \\ & = \sum_{i=1, i \neq l, j, k}^{n} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i} + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_l}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_l} - \frac{d_l}{(n-1)^2}} + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_j} - \frac{d_j}{(n-1)^2}} \\ & + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_k}}{1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)d_k} - \frac{d_k}{(n-1)^2}} > \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-1)^2 - d_i}. \end{split}$$

Hence we obtain the desirable result.

Now we consider the lower bound on ER(G). For this topological invariant, we only want to let $M = I - \frac{A}{n}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have the following results:

Theorem 3.5. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and d_i be the degree of vertex v_i of G, then

$$ER(G) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - \frac{2t}{nd_i}}{1 - \frac{2t}{nd_i} - \frac{d_i}{n^2}},$$

where t is the number of triangles.

Corollary 3.6. Let G = (V, E) be a non-complete graph with n vertices and m edges.

$$ER(G) \geq \frac{n^3}{n^3-2m}$$

Corollary 3.7. Let G = (V, E) be a bipartite graph, then

$$ER(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{n^2 - d_i}$$

Corollary 3.8. Let G = (V, E) be a unicyclic graph, then

$$ER(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{n^2 - d_i}$$

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referees for a very careful reading of the paper and for all their insightful comments and valuable suggestions, which led to a number of improvements in this paper.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] M. Benzi, P. Boito, Quadrature rule-based bounds for functions of adjacency matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 433(3)(2010) 637-652.
- [3] X. Chen, J. Qian, Bounding the resolvent Estrada index of a graph, J. Math. Study 45 (2012) 159-166.
- [4] X. Chen, J. Qian, On resolvent Estrada index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 73 (2015) 163-174.
- [5] E. Estrada, Characterization of 3D molecular structure, Chem. Phys. Lett. 319 (2000) 713-718.
- [6] E. Estrada, D. J. Higham, Network properties revealed through matrix functions, SIAM Rev. 52 (2010) 696-714.
- [7] I. Gutman, H. Deng, S. Radenković, The Estrada index: an updated survey, in: D. Cvetković, I. Gutman (Eds.), Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra, Math. Inst., Beograd (2011) 155-174.
- [8] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, X. Chen, J. Qian, Graphs with smallest resolvent Estrada indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 73 (2015) 267-270.
- [9] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, X. Chen, J. Qian, Resolvent Estrada Index-Computational and Mathematical Studies, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74 (2015) 431-440.
- [10] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, E. Zogić, E. Glogić, Resolvent energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 75 (2016) 279-290.
- [11] H. Golub, John H. Welsch, Calculation of Gauss Quadrature Rules, Math. Comp.23(1969) 221-230.
- [12] G. H. Golub, G. Meurant, Matrices, moments and quadrature, in: Numerical Analysis, 1993, D.F. Griffiths, G.A. Watson (Eds.), Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 303, Essex, England, (1994) 105-156.