

Packing the crowns with cycles and stars

Jenq-Jong Lin and Min-Jen Jou*

Ling Tung University, Taichung 40852, Taiwan

Abstract

Let F , G and H be graphs. A (G, H) -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G and copies of H with at least one copy of G and at least one copy of H . For $L \subseteq F$, a (G, H) -packing of F with leave L is a (G, H) -decomposition of $F - E(L)$. A (G, H) -packing of F with the largest cardinality is a maximum (G, H) -packing. This paper gives the solution of finding the maximum (C_k, S_k) -packing of the crown $C_{n, n-1}$.

1 Introduction

Let F , G and H be graphs. A G -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G . If F has a G -decomposition, we say that F is G -decomposable. A (G, H) -decomposition of F is a partition of the edge set of F into copies of G and copies of H with at least one copy of G and at least one copy of H . If F has a (G, H) -decomposition, we say that F is (G, H) -decomposable. A (G, H) -decomposition of F may not exist, however, it is of interest to see just how "close" one can come to a (G, H) -decomposition. For $L \subseteq F$, a (G, H) -packing of F with leave L is a (G, H) -decomposition of $F - E(L)$. A (G, H) -packing of F with the largest cardinality is a maximum (G, H) -packing. Moreover, the cardinality of the maximum (G, H) -packing of F is called the (G, H) -packing number of F , denoted by $p(F; G, H)$.

The degree of a vertex x of G , denoted by $\deg_G x$, is the number of edges incident with x . As usual K_n denotes the complete graph with n vertices and $K_{m, n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with parts of sizes m and n . A k -star, denoted by S_k , is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1, k}$. The vertex of degree k in S_k is the center of S_k and any vertex of degree 1 is an endvertex of S_k . Let $(x; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ denote the k -star with center x and endvertices y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k . A k -cycle (respectively, k -path and k -matching), denoted by C_k (respectively, P_k and M_k), is a cycle (respectively, path and matching) with k edges. Let (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) and $v_1 v_2 \dots, v_k$ denote the k -cycle and

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mjjou@teamail.ltu.edu.tw

$(k - 1)$ -path through vertices v_1, \dots, v_k in order, respectively. A *spanning subgraph* H of a graph G is a subgraph of G with $V(H) = V(G)$. A *1-factor* of G is a spanning subgraph of G with each vertex incident with exactly one edge. For positive integers ℓ and n with $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, the *crown* $C_{n,\ell}$ is a bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) where $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ and $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$, and edge set $\{a_i b_j : i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1, j \equiv i+1, i+2, \dots, i+\ell \pmod{n}\}$. Hereafter (A, B) always means the bipartition of $C_{n,\ell}$ defined here. Note that $C_{n,n-1}$ is the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ with a 1-factor removed.

The existence problems for (C_k, S_k) -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ and $C_{n,n-1}$ have been completely settled by Lee [4] and Lee and Lin [5], respectively. Abueida and Daven [2] obtained the maximum packing of the complete graph K_n with (K_k, S_k) . Abueida and Daven [1] and Abueida, Daven and Roblee [3] gave the maximum packing of K_n and λK_n with G and H , respectively, where (G, H) is a graph-pair of order 4 or 5. This paper gives the solution of finding the maximum (C_k, S_k) -packing of the crown $C_{n,n-1}$.

2 Preliminaries

We first collect some needed terminology and notation. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For sets $A \subseteq V(G)$ and $B \subseteq E(G)$, we use $G[A]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by A and $G - B$ (respectively, $G + B$) to denote the subgraph obtained from G by deleting (respectively, adding) the edges in B . When G_1, \dots, G_t are graphs, not necessarily disjoint, we write $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_t$ or $\bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ for the graph with vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V(G_i)$ and edge set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(G_i)$. When the edge sets are disjoint, $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ expresses the decomposition of G into G_1, \dots, G_t . For a graph G and a positive integer $\lambda \geq 2$, we use λG to denote the multigraph obtained from G by replacing each edge e by λ edges, each of which has the same ends as e .

The following results are essential to our proof.

Lemma 2.1. ([9]) *For integers m and n with $m \geq n \geq 1$, the graph $K_{m,n}$ is S_k -decomposable if and only if $m \geq k$ and*

$$\begin{cases} m \equiv 0 \pmod{k} & \text{if } n < k \\ mn \equiv 0 \pmod{k} & \text{if } n \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. ([6]) *$\lambda C_{n,\ell}$ is S_k -decomposable if and only if $k \leq \ell$ and $\lambda n \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

Lemma 2.3. ([6]) *Let $\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of the multicrown $\lambda C_{n,\ell}$. Suppose that p and q are positive integers such that $q < p \leq \ell$. If $\lambda n q \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there exists a spanning subgraph*

G of $\lambda C_{n,\ell}$ such that $\deg_G b_j = \lambda q$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and G has an S_p -decomposition.

Lemma 2.4. ([8]) *For positive integers m, n , and k , the graph $K_{m,n}$ is C_k -decomposable if and only if m, n , and k are even, $k \geq 4$, $\min\{m, n\} \geq k/2$, and $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

Lemma 2.5. ([7]) *For positive integers k and n , $C_{n,n-1}$ is C_k -decomposable if and only if n is odd, k is even, $4 \leq k \leq 2n$, and $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

3 Packing numbers

In this section a complete solution to the maximum (C_k, S_k) -packing problem of $C_{n,n-1}$ is given.

Lemma 3.1. ([5]) *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then there exist $k/2 - 1$ edge-disjoint k -cycles in $C_{k/2, k/2-1} \cup K_{k/2, k/2}$.*

Lemma 3.2. ([5]) *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{k+1, k}$ is not (C_k, S_k) -decomposable.*

Lemma 3.3. *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{k+1, k}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing with leave $S_{k/2} \cup M_{k/2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have that $C_{k+1, k}$ is not (C_k, S_k) -decomposable. Let $H_0 = C_{k+1, k}[\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k/2-1}\} \cup \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}\}]$, $H_1 = C_{k+1, k}[\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k/2-1}\} \cup \{b_k\}]$ and $H_2 = C_{k+1, k}[\{a_{k/2}, a_{k/2+1}, \dots, a_k\} \cup \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k\}]$. Clearly, $C_{k+1, k} = \cup_{i=0}^2 H_i$. Note that H_0 is isomorphic to $C_{k/2, k/2-1} \cup K_{k/2, k/2}$, H_1 is isomorphic to $S_{k/2}$ and H_2 is isomorphic to $K_{k/2+1, k/2} \cup C_{k/2+1, k/2}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have H_0 can be decomposed into $k/2 - 1$ edge-disjoint k -cycles and a $k/2$ -matching. In addition, since $\deg_{H_2} a_i = k$ for $k/2 \leq i \leq k$, it follows that H_2 is S_k -decomposable. Hence $C_{k+1, k}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing with leave $S_{k/2} \cup M_{k/2}$. \square

Therefore, with the results of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. $p(C_{k+1, k}; C_k, S_k) = k$.

Lemma 3.5. ([5]) *If k is an even integer with $k \geq 4$, then $C_{2k, 2k-1}$ is (C_k, S_k) -decomposable.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k < n-1 < 2k-1$. If $(n-k)(n-k-1) < k$, then $C_{n, n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing with leave $C_{n-k, n-k-1}$.*

Proof. Let $n - 1 = k + r$. The assumption $k < n - 1 < 2k - 1$ implies $0 < r < k - 1$. Let $H'_0 = C_{k+r+1, k+r}[\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cup \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k\}]$, $H'_1 = C_{k+r+1, k+r}[\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \cup \{b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, b_{k+r}\}]$, $H'_2 = C_{k+r+1, k+r}[\{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+r}\} \cup \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}\}]$ and $H'_3 = C_{k+1, k}[\{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+r}\} \cup \{b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+r}\}]$. Clearly, $C_{k+r+1, k+r} = \cup_{i=0}^3 H'_i$. Note that H'_0 is isomorphic to $C_{k+1, k}$, H'_1 and H'_2 are isomorphic to $K_{k, r}$ and H'_3 is isomorphic to $C_{r+1, r}$. By Lemma 2.5, H'_0 is C_k -decomposable. In addition, by Lemma 2.1, H'_1 and H'_2 are S_k -decomposable. Note that $|E(H'_3)| = (n - k)(n - k - 1) < k$, $C_{n, n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing with leave H'_3 , that is, $C_{n-k, n-k-1}$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k < n - 1 < 2k - 1$. If $(n - k)(n - k - 1) \geq k$, then $C_{n, n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing \mathcal{P} with $|\mathcal{P}| = \lfloor n(n - 1)/k \rfloor$.*

Proof. Let $n - 1 = k + r$. From the assumption $k < n - 1 < 2k$, we have $0 < r < k - 1$. Since $(n - k)(n - k - 1) \geq k$, let $m = (n - k)(n - k - 1) = r(r + 1) = tk + s$, where $t \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq k - 1$. The proof is divided into two parts according to the value of t .

Case 1. $t = 1$. Since $4 \leq k \leq r(r + 1)$, we have $r \geq 2$. We distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $r = 2$, then $k = 4$ or 6 . For the case that $k = 4$, then $n = 7$. Let $E_0 = C_{7,6}[\{a_0, a_1\} \cup B]$, $E_1 = C_{7,6}[\{a_2, a_3\} \cup B]$, $E_2 = C_{7,6}[\{a_4, a_5\} \cup B]$ and $E_3 = C_{7,6}[\{a_6\} \cup B]$. Clearly, $C_{7,6} = \cup_{i=0}^3 E_i$. Note that E_i is isomorphic to $C_{2,1} \cup K_{2,5}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $E_3 = S_4 \cup S_2$. Since E_i can be decomposed into two copies of S_4 and one copy of C_4 for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, it follows that $C_{7,6}$ has a (C_4, S_4) -packing \mathcal{P}_1 with leave S_2 and $|\mathcal{P}_1| = 3 \cdot (2 + 1) + 1 = 10$.

For the other case that $k = 6$, then $n = 9$. We have that $C_{9,8} = \cup_{i=0}^2 C_{9,8}[\{a_{3i}, a_{3i+1}, a_{3i+2}\} \cup B] = 3C_{3,2} \cup 3K_{3,6} = 3C_6 \cup 9S_6$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Hence, $C_{9,8}$ is (C_6, S_6) -decomposable, that is, $C_{9,8}$ has a (C_6, S_6) -packing \mathcal{P}_2 with leave \emptyset and $|\mathcal{P}_2| = 3 \cdot (1 + 3) = 12$.

Subcase 1.2. $r \geq 3$. Let $A_0 = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{m}{2}-1}\}$, $B_0 = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\frac{m}{2}-1}\}$, $D_0 = C_{n, n-1}[A_0 \cup B_0]$, $D_1 = C_{n, n-1}[(A \setminus A_0) \cup B_0]$, and $D_2 = C_{n, n-1}[A \cup (B \setminus B_0)]$. Clearly $C_{n, n-1} = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup D_2$. Note that D_0 is isomorphic to $C_{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-1}$, D_1 is isomorphic to $K_{k+r+1-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}}$, and D_2 is isomorphic to $C_{k+r+1-\frac{m}{2}, k+r-\frac{m}{2}} \cup K_{\frac{m}{2}, k+r+1-\frac{m}{2}}$.

Claim. $C_{n, n-1}$ can be decomposed into k -stars together with a m -cycle.

Check. Let $C = (b_1, a_0, b_2, a_1, b_3, a_2, \dots, b_{\frac{m}{2}-1}, a_{\frac{m}{2}-2}, b_0, a_{\frac{m}{2}-1})$ and $D = D_0 - E(C)$. Trivially, C is a m -cycle in D_0 and $D = C_{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}-3}$. Note that $r - 2 < \frac{m}{2} - r - 1$ for $r \geq 3$ and $\frac{m}{2}(r - 2) = r(r + 1)(r - 2)/2 = r(\frac{m}{2} - r - 1)$. Thus there exists a spanning subgraph X of D such that $\deg_X b_j = r - 2$ for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$ and X has an $S_{\frac{m}{2}-r-1}$ -decomposition \mathcal{D} with $|\mathcal{D}| = r$ by

Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, each $S_{\frac{m}{2}-r-1}$ has its center in A_0 since $\deg_X b_j = r-2 < \frac{m}{2}-r-1$. Suppose that the centers of the $(\frac{m}{2}-r-1)$ -stars in \mathcal{D} are a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r} . Let $S(w)$ be the $(\frac{m}{2}-r-1)$ -star with center a_{i_w} in \mathcal{D} , and let $Y = D - E(X) \cup D_1$. Note that $\deg_Y b_j = (\frac{m}{2}-3-(r-2)) + (k+r+1-\frac{m}{2}) = k$ for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2}-1$. Hence Y is S_k -decomposable. For $w \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, define $S'(w) = D_2[\{a_{i_w}\} \cup (B \setminus B_0)]$ and $Z = D_2 - E(\bigcup_{w=1}^r S'(w))$. Clearly $S'(w)$ is a $(k+r+1-\frac{m}{2})$ -star with center a_{i_w} in D_2 , and $S(w) \cup S'(w)$ is a k -star. Moreover, $\deg_Z b_j = k+r-r = k$ for $\frac{m}{2} \leq j \leq k+r$. Thus Z is S_k -decomposable. This completes the check of Claim.

Let S be the k -star containing the edge $a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_1$ in the decomposition in Claim. We can see that

$$C \cup S = (C - e + a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_1) \cup (S - a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_1 + e),$$

where $e \in E(C)$ and $e = \begin{cases} a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, & \text{if the center of } S \text{ in } A_0, \\ a_{\frac{m}{2}-1}b_1, & \text{if the center of } S \text{ in } B_0. \end{cases}$

Then the graph $C - e + a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_1$ is the union of a k -cycle $C' : (b_1, a_0, b_2, a_1, b_3, a_2, \dots, b_{\frac{k}{2}-1}, a_{\frac{k}{2}-2}, b_{\frac{k}{2}}, a_{\frac{k}{2}-1})$ and a $(m-k)$ -path P' , where

$$P' = \begin{cases} b_{\frac{k}{2}+1}a_{\frac{k}{2}}b_{\frac{k}{2}+2}a_{\frac{k}{2}+1} \cdots b_{\frac{m}{2}-1}a_{\frac{m}{2}-2}b_0a_{\frac{m}{2}-1}b_1, & \text{if the center of } S \text{ in } A_0, \\ a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_{\frac{k}{2}+1}a_{\frac{k}{2}}b_{\frac{k}{2}+2} \cdots b_{\frac{m}{2}-1}a_{\frac{m}{2}-2}b_0a_{\frac{m}{2}-1}, & \text{if the center of } S \text{ in } B_0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the graph $S - a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}b_1 + e$ is still a k -star. Hence $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing \mathcal{P}_3 with leave P' and $|\mathcal{P}_3| = r + (k+r+1) + 1 = k+2r+2 = \lfloor n(n-1)/k \rfloor$, $|P'| = s$. This settles Case 1.

To illustrate the decomposition in Subcase 1.2 of Lemma 3.7, in Figure 1 we give the maximum (C_{12}, S_{12}) -packing of $C_{17,16}$.

Step 1: C_{20} ($m = 20$)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	C	C														
1		X	C	C													
2			X	C	C												
3				X	C	C											
4					X	C	C										
5						X	C	C									
6							X	C	C								
7								X	C	C							
8	C								X	C							
9	C	C								X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Step 2: 4 copies of S_5 ($r = 4$, $\frac{m}{2} - r - 1 = 5$)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	C	C			-	-	-	-								
1		X	C	C		-	-	-	-								
2			X	C	C												
3				X	C	C											
4					X	C	C										
5	-	-	-	-	-	X	C	C									
6	-	-	-	-	-		X	C	C								
7								X	C	C							
8	C								X	C							
9	C	C								X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Step 3: the transformation of a_5b_1 and a_5b_7

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	x	C	C														
1		x	C	C													
2			x	C	C												
3				x	C	C											
4					x	C	C										
5						x	C	C									
6							x	C	C								
7								x	C	C							
8	C								x	C							
9	C	C								x							
10											x						
11												x					
12													x				
13														x			
14															x		
15																x	
16																	x

Step 4: the leave P'

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	x	C'	C'														
1		x	C'	C'													
2			x	C'	C'												
3				x	C'	C'											
4					x	C'	C'										
5						x	C'										
6							x	P'	P'								
7								x	P'	P'							
8	P'								x	P'							
9	P'	P'								x							
10											x						
11												x					
12													x				
13														x			
14															x		
15																x	
16																	x

(The rows are numbered $0, 1, 2, \dots, 16$ from top to bottom and the columns are numbered $0, 1, 2, \dots, 16$ from left to right. The mark \times means no edge. The (i, j) -position is marked "C", "C'", "P'", "-", or " " according to a edge of the m -cycle, k -cycle, leave, star $a_i b_j$ with center a_i , or b_j .)

Figure 1: The maximum (C_{12}, S_{12}) -packing of $C_{17,16}$

Case 2. $t \geq 2$.

Let $A'_0 = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{k}{2}-1}\}$, $A'_1 = \{a_{\frac{k}{2}}, a_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$, $A'_2 = A \setminus (A'_0 \cup A'_1)$, $B'_0 = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\frac{k}{2}-1}\}$, $B'_1 = \{b_{\frac{k}{2}}, b_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, $B'_2 = B \setminus (B'_0 \cup B'_1)$. Let $G_i = C_{n,n-1}[A'_i \cup B'_0 \cup B'_1]$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $G_3 = C_{n,n-1}[A \cup B'_2]$. Clearly $C_{n,n-1} = \cup_{i=0}^3 G_i$. Note that G_0 and G_1 are isomorphic to $C_{k/2, k/2-1} \cup K_{k/2, k/2}$, G_2 is isomorphic to $K_{r+1, k}$, which is S_k -decomposable by Lemma 2.1 and G_3 is isomorphic to $K_{k, r+1} \cup C_{r+1, r}$. Let $p_0 = \lceil (t-1)/2 \rceil$ and $p_1 = \lfloor (t-1)/2 \rfloor$. In the following, we will show that for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$, G_i can be decomposed into p_i copies of C_k and $k/2$ copies of S_{k-2p_i-1} , and G_3 can be decomposed into $k/2$ copies of S_{2p_i+1} and $r+1$ copies of $S_{k'}$, $k' \geq k$, such that the $(k-2p_i-1)$ -stars and $(2p_i+1)$ -stars have their centers in A'_i .

We first show the required decomposition of G_i for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $r < k-1$, we have $r+1 < k$, and in turn $t < r$. Thus, $p_0 = \lceil (t-1)/2 \rceil \leq t/2 \leq (r-1)/2 < (k-2)/2 = k/2 - 1$, which implies $p_i < k/2 - 1$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. This assures us that there exist p_i edge-disjoint k -cycles in G_i by Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $Q_{i,0}, \dots, Q_{i,p_i-1}$ are edge-disjoint k -cycles in G_i . Let $F_i = G_i - E(\cup_{h=0}^{p_i-1} Q_{i,h})$ and $X_{i,j} = F_i[\{a_{ik/2+j}\} \cup (B - B'_2)]$ where $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}$. Since $\deg_{G_i} a_{ik/2+j} = k-1$ and each $Q_{i,h}$ uses two edges incident with $a_{ik/2+j}$ for each i and j , we have

$\deg_{F_i} a_{ik/2+j} = k - 2p_i - 1$. Hence $X_{i,j}$ is a $(k - 2p_i - 1)$ -star with center $a_{ik/2+j}$.

Next we show the required star decomposition of G_3 . For $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2 - 1\}$, let

$$X'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (a_j; b_{k+(2p_0+1)j}, b_{k+(2p_0+1)j+1}, \dots, b_{k+(2p_0+1)j+2p_0}), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (a_{\frac{k}{2}+j}; b_{(p_0+\frac{3}{2})k+(2p_1+1)j}, b_{(p_0+\frac{3}{2})k+(2p_1+1)j+1}, \\ \dots, b_{(p_0+\frac{3}{2})k+(2p_1+1)j+2p_1}), & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

where the subscripts of b 's are taken modulo $r + 1$ in the set of numbers $\{k, k+1, \dots, k+r\}$. Since $2p_1 + 1 \leq 2p_0 + 1 \leq t + 1 \leq r$, this assures us that there are enough edges for the construction of $X'_{0,j}$ and $X'_{1,j}$. Note that $X'_{i,j}$ is a $(2p_i + 1)$ -star and $X_{i,j} \cup X'_{i,j}$ is a k -star for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k/2 - 1\}$.

On the other hand, let $s = \alpha(r + 1) + \beta$ where $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq r$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |E(G_3)| - |E(\cup_{i \in \{0,1\}} \cup_{j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}} X'_{i,j})| \\ &= (k+r)(r+1) - (2p_0 + 2p_1 + 2)(k/2) \\ &= (k+r)(r+1) - tk \\ &= (k+r)(r+1) - r(r+1) + s \\ &= k(r+1) + \alpha(r+1) + \beta \\ &= (k+\alpha)(r+1) + \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a decomposition \mathcal{D} of $G_3 - E(\cup_{i \in \{0,1\}} \cup_{j \in \{0, \dots, k/2-1\}} X'_{i,j})$ into $r+1-\beta$ copies of $(k+\alpha)$ -star with center b_w for $w = k, k+1, \dots, k+r-\beta$ and β copies of $(k+\alpha+1)$ -star with center b_w for $w = k+r-\beta+1, k+r-\beta+2, \dots, k+r$. Let

$$Y_w = \begin{cases} S_{k+\alpha}, & \text{if } w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+r-\beta\}, \\ S_{k+\alpha+1}, & \text{if } w \in \{k+r-\beta+1, k+r-\beta+2, \dots, k+r\} \end{cases}$$

in \mathcal{D} . Note that any endvertex a_i of Y_w , we have that $i \leq k - 1$.

Define a star Y'_w as follows:

$$Y'_w = \begin{cases} S(b_w; a_{w+1}, a_{w+2}, \dots, a_{w+\alpha}), & \text{if } w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+r-\beta\}, \\ S(b_w; a_{w+1}, a_{w+2}, \dots, a_{w+\alpha+1}), & \text{if } w \in \{k+r-\beta+1, \\ & k+r-\beta+2, \dots, k+r\}, \end{cases}$$

where the subscripts of a 's are taken modulo $r + 1$ in the set of numbers $\{k, k+1, \dots, k+r\}$. Since $\alpha(r+1) + \beta = s = r(r+1) - tk < r(r+1)$,

it follows that $\alpha < r$. This assures us that there are enough edges for the construction of Y'_w . It is easy to see that $Y_w - E(Y'_w)$ is a k -star. Hence $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing \mathcal{P}_4 with leave $\cup_{w \in \{k, k+1, \dots, k+r\}} Y'_w$ and $|\mathcal{P}_4| = (k+r+1) + (r+1) + (t-1) = k+2r+1+t = \lfloor n(n-1)/k \rfloor$. This completes the proof. \square

To illustrate the decomposition in Case 2 of Lemma 3.7, in Figure 2 we give the maximum (C_{10}, S_{10}) -packing of $C_{17,16}$. Note that $n = 17, k = 10, r = 6, t = 4, p_0 = 2$ and $p_1 = 1$. The number s in the row i , column j indicates that the cycle C_{10} contains the edge $a_i b_j$.

Step 1: 3 copies of C_{10} ($k = 10, p_0 + p_1 = 3$)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	1	1	2	2												
1	2	X	1	1	2												
2	2	2	X	1	1												
3	1	2	2	X	1												
4	1	1	2	2	X												
5						X	3	3									
6							X	3	3								
7								X	3	3							
8						3			X	3							
9						3	3			X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Step 2: $X'_{0j} = S_5$ for $0 \leq j \leq 4$ ($2p_0 + 1 = 5$)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	1	1	2	2												
1	2	X	1	1	2												
2	2	2	X	1	1												
3	1	2	2	X	1												
4	1	1	2	2	X												
5						X	3	3									
6							X	3	3								
7								X	3	3							
8						3			X	3							
9						3	3			X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Step 3: $X'_{1j} = S_3$ for $0 \leq j \leq 4$ ($2p_1 + 1 = 3$)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	1	1	2	2												
1	2	X	1	1	2												
2	2	2	X	1	1												
3	1	2	2	X	1												
4	1	1	2	2	X												
5						X	3	3									
6							X	3	3								
7								X	3	3							
8						3			X	3							
9						3	3			X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Step 4: the leave $Y'_{15} \cup Y'_{16}$ (the shaded regions)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	X	1	1	2	2												
1	2	X	1	1	2												
2	2	2	X	1	1												
3	1	2	2	X	1												
4	1	1	2	2	X												
5						X	3	3									
6							X	3	3								
7								X	3	3							
8						3			X	3							
9						3	3			X							
10											X						
11												X					
12													X				
13														X			
14															X		
15																X	
16																	X

Figure 2: The maximum (C_{10}, S_{10}) -packing of $C_{17,16}$

Theorem 3.8. *Let k be a positive even integer and let n be a positive integer with $4 \leq k \leq n - 1$, then*

$$p(C_{n,n-1}; C_k, S_k) = \begin{cases} \lfloor n(n-1)/k \rfloor, & \text{if } k < n-1, \\ k, & \text{if } k = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Obviously, $p(C_{n,n-1}; C_k, S_k) \leq \lfloor n(n-1)/k \rfloor$. Let $n-1 = qk + r$, where q and r are integers with $q \geq 1$, $0 \leq r \leq k-1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $r = 0$.

For $q = 1$, the result follows from Corollary 3.4. If $q \geq 2$, then

$$C_{n,n-1} = C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k} \cup K_{(q-1)k,k} \cup K_{k,(q-1)k} \cup C_{k+1,k}.$$

Trivially, $|E(C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k})|$, $|E(K_{(q-1)k,k})|$ and $|E(K_{k,(q-1)k})|$ are multiples of k . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have that $C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k}$, $K_{(q-1)k,k}$ and $K_{k,(q-1)k}$ have S_k -decompositions \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' with $|\mathcal{F}| = (q-1)((q-1)k+1)$, $|\mathcal{F}'| = |\mathcal{F}''| = k(q-1)$. In addition, by Lemma 2.5, $C_{k+1,k}$ has a C_k -decomposition \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| = k+1$. Hence $C_{n,n-1}$ is (C_k, S_k) -decomposable, that is, $C_{n,n-1}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{F}'' \cup \mathcal{C}$ with cardinality $(q-1)((q-1)k+1) + k(q-1) + k(q-1) + k+1 = q(qk+1) = n(n-1)/k$.

Case 2. $r > 0$.

For $q = 1$, the result follows from Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7. If $q \geq 2$, then

$$C_{n,n-1} = C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k} \cup K_{(q-1)k,k+r} \cup K_{k+r,(q-1)k} \cup C_{k+r+1,k+r}.$$

Note that $C_{k+r+1,k+r}$ has a (C_k, S_k) -packing \mathcal{D} with $|\mathcal{D}| = \lfloor (k+r+1)(k+r)/k \rfloor$. Trivially, $C_{(q-1)k+1,(q-1)k}$, $K_{(q-1)k,k+r}$ and $K_{k+r,(q-1)k}$ have S_k -decompositions \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'' with $|\mathcal{D}| = (q-1)((q-1)k+1)$, $|\mathcal{D}'| = |\mathcal{D}''| = (q-1)(k+r)$. Hence $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}' \cup \mathcal{D}'' \cup \mathcal{D}$ is a (C_k, S_k) -packing of $C_{n,n-1}$ with cardinality $\lfloor (k+r+1)(k+r)/k \rfloor + (q-1)((q-1)k+1) + (q-1)(k+r) + (q-1)(k+r) = \lfloor (qk+r+1)(qk+r)/k \rfloor = \lfloor n(n-1)/k \rfloor$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] A. Abueida and M. Daven, *Multidesigns for graph-pairs of order 4 and 5*, *Graphs Combin.* **19** (2003), 433–447.
- [2] A. Abueida and M. Daven, *Multidecompositions of the complete graph*, *Ars Combin.* **72** (2004), 17–22.

- [3] A. Abueida, M. Daven and K. J. Roblee, *Multidesigns of the λ -fold complete graph for graph-pairs of order 4 and 5*, Australas J. Combin., **32** (2005), 125–136.
- [4] H. C. Lee, *Multidecompositions of complete bipartite graphs into cycles and stars*, Ars Combin. **108** (2013), 355–364.
- [5] H. C. Lee and J. J. Lin, *Decomposition of the complete bipartite graph with a 1-factor removed into cycles and stars*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013), 2354–2358.
- [6] C. Lin, J. J. Lin, T. W. Shyu, *Isomorphic star decomposition of multicrowns and the power of cycles*, Ars Combin. **53** (1999), 249–256.
- [7] J. Ma, L. Pu and H. Shen, *Cycle decompositions of $K_{n,n} - I$* , SIAM J. Discrete Math. **20** (2006), 603–609.
- [8] D. Sotteau, *Decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ ($K_{m,n}^*$) into cycles (circuits) of length $2k$* , J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B **30** (1981), 75–81.
- [9] S. Yamamoto, H. Ikeda, S. Shige-ede, K. Ushio and N. Hamada, *On claw decomposition of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs*, Hiroshima Math. J. **5** (1975), 33–42.