C_6 -DECOMPOSITION OF THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF COMPLETE GRAPHS

A. D AKWU AND O. OYEWUMI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a simple and finite graph. A graph is said to be decomposed into subgraphs H_1 and H_2 which is denoted by $G = H_1 \oplus H_2$, if G is the edge disjoint union of H_1 and H_2 . If $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k$, where $H_1, H_2, ..., H_k$ are all isomorphic to H, then G is said to be H-decomposable. Futhermore, if H is a cycle of length m then we say that G is C_m -decomposable and this can be written as $C_m|G$. Where $G \times H$ denotes the tensor product of graphs G and H, in this paper, we prove that the necessary conditions for the existence of C_6 -decomposition of $K_m \times K_n$ are sufficient. Using these conditions it can be shown that every even regular complete multipartite graph G is C_6 -decomposable if the number of edges of G is divisible by G.

1. Introduction

Let C_m , K_m and $K_m - I$ denote cycle of length m, complete graph on m vertices and complete graph on m vertices minus a 1-factor respectively. By an m-cycle we mean a cycle of length m. All graphs considered in this paper are simple and finite. A graph is said to be decomposed into subgraphs H_1 and H_2 which is denoted by $G = H_1 \oplus H_2$, if G is the edge disjoint union of H_1 and H_2 . If $G = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k$, where H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k are all isomorphic to H, then G is said to be H-decomposable. Futhermore, if H is a cycle of length m then we say that G is C_m -decomposable and this can be written as $C_m|G$. A k-factor of G is a k-regular spanning subgraph. A k-factorization of a graph G is a partition of the edge set of G into k-factors. A C_k -factor of a graph is a 2-factor in which each component is a cycle of length k. A resolvable k-cycle decomposition (for short k-RCD) of G denoted by $C_k||G$, is a 2-factorization of G in which each 2-factor is a C_k -factor.

For two graphs G and H their tensor product $G \times H$ has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which two vertices (g_1, h_1) and (g_2, h_2) are adjacent whenever

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C70.

Key words and phrases. cycle decomposition, tensor product.

Present Address: Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

 $g_1g_2 \in E(G)$ and $h_1h_2 \in E(H)$. From this, note that the tensor product of graphs is distributive over edge disjoint union of graphs, that is if G = $H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k$, then $G \times H = (H_1 \times H) \oplus (H_2 \times H) \oplus \cdots \oplus (H_k \times H)$. Now, for $h \in V(H)$, $V(G) \times h = \{(v,h)|v \in V(G)\}$ is called a column of vertices of $G \times H$ corresponding to h. Further, for $y \in V(G)$, $y \times V(H) =$ $\{(y,v)|v\in V(H)\}$ is called a layer of vertices of $G\times H$ corresponding to y. The problem of finding C_k -decomposition of K_{2n+1} or $K_{2n}-I$ where I is a 1-factor of K_{2n} , is completely settled by Alspach, Gavlas and Sagna in two different papers (see [1, 13]). A generalization to the above complete graph decomposition problem is to find a C_k -decomposition of $K_m * \overline{K}_n$, which is the complete m-partite graph in which each partite set has nvertices. The study of cycle decompositions of $K_m * \overline{K}_n$ was initiated by Hoffman et al. [5]. In the case when p is a prime, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of C_p -decomposition of $K_m * \overline{K}_n$, $p \geq 5$ is obtained by Manikandan and Paulraja in [7, 8, 10]. Billington [2] has studied the decomposition of complete tripartite graphs into cycles of lenght 3 and 4. Furthermore, Cavenagh and Billington [4] have studied 4-cycle, 6-cycle and 8-cycle decomposition of complete multipartite graphs. Billington et al. [3] have solved the problem of decomposing $(K_m * \overline{K}_n)$ into 5-cycles. Similarly, when $p \geq 3$ is a prime, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of C_{2p} -decomposition of $K_m * K_n$ is obtained by Smith (see [14]). For a prime $p \geq 3$, it was proved in [15] that C_{3p} decomposition of $K_m * \overline{K}_n$ exists if the obvious necessary conditions are satisfied. As the graph $K_m \times K_n \cong K_m * \overline{K}_n - E(nK_m)$ is a proper regular spanning subgraph of $K_m * \overline{K}_n$. It is natural to think about the cycle decomposition of $K_m \times K_n$. The results in [7, 8, 10] also give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a p-cycle decomposition, (where $p \geq 5$ is a prime number) of the graph $K_m \times K_n$. In [9] it was shown that the tensor product of two regular complete multipartite graph is Hamilton cycle decomposable. Muthusamy and Paulraja in [11] proved the existence of C_{kn} -factorization of the graph $C_k \times K_{mn}$, where $mn \neq 2 \pmod{4}$ and k is odd. While Paulraja and Kumar [12] showed that the necessary conditions for the existence of a resolvable k-cycle decomposition of tensor product of complete graphs are sufficient when k is even.

In this paper, we prove that the obvious necessary conditions for $K_m \times K_n$, $2 \leq m, n$, to have a C_6 -decomposition are also sufficient. Among other results, here we prove the following main results.

It is not surprising that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are "symmetric" with respect to m and n since $K_m \times K_n \cong K_n \times K_m$.

Theorem 1.1. For $2 \le m, n, C_6 | K_m \times K_n$ if and only if $m \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ or $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let m be an even integer and $m \ge 6$, then $C_6|K_m - I \times K_n$ if and only if $m \equiv 0$ or 2 (mod 6)

2. C_6 Decomposition of $C_3 \times K_n$

Theorem 2.1. For all n, $C_6|C_3 \times K_n$.

Proof. Following from the definition of tensor product of graphs, let $U^1 = \{u_1, v_1, w_1\}, U^2 = \{u_2, v_2, w_2\}, ..., U^n = \{u_n, v_n, w_n\}$ form the partite set of vertices in $C_3 \times K_n$. Also, U^i and U^j has an edge in $C_3 \times K_n$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $i \ne j$ if the subgraph induce $K_{3,3} - I$, where I is a 1-factor of $K_{3,3}$. Now, each subgraph $U^i \cup U^j$ is isomorphic to $K_{3,3} - I$. But $K_{3,3} - I$ is a cycle of length six. Hence the proof.

Example 2.2. The graph $C_3 \times K_7$ can be decomposed into cycles of length 6.

Solution. Let the partite sets (layers) of the tripartite graph $C_3 \times K_7$ be $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_7\}$, $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_7\}$ and $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_7\}$. We assume that the vertices of U, V and W having same subscripts are the corresponding vertices of the partite sets. A 6-cycle decomposition of $C_3 \times K_7$ is given below:

```
 \{u_1, v_2, w_1, u_2, v_1, w_2\}, \{u_1, v_3, w_1, u_3, v_1, w_3\}, \{u_2, v_3, w_2, u_3, v_2, w_3\}, \\ \{u_1, v_4, w_1, u_4, v_1, w_4\}, \{u_2, v_4, w_2, u_4, v_2, w_4\}, \{u_3, v_4, w_3, u_4, v_3, w_4\}, \\ \{u_1, v_5, w_1, u_5, v_1, w_5\}, \{u_2, v_5, w_2, u_5, v_2, w_5\}, \{u_3, v_5, w_3, u_5, v_3, w_5\}, \\ \{u_4, v_5, w_4, u_5, v_4, w_5\}, \{u_1, v_6, w_1, u_6, v_1, w_6\}, \{u_2, v_6, w_2, u_6, v_2, w_6\}, \\ \{u_3, v_6, w_3, u_6, v_3, w_6\}, \{u_4, v_6, w_4, u_6, v_4, w_6\}, \{u_5, v_6, w_5, u_6, v_5, w_6\}, \\ \{u_1, v_7, w_1, u_7, v_1, w_7\}, \{u_2, v_7, w_2, u_7, v_2, w_7\}, \{u_3, v_7, w_3, u_7, v_3, w_7\}, \\ \{u_4, v_7, w_4, u_7, v_4, w_7\}, \{u_5, v_7, w_5, u_7, v_5, w_7\}, \{u_6, v_7, w_6, u_7, v_6, w_7\}.
```

Theorem 2.3. [6] Let m be an odd integer and $m \ge 3$. If $m \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ then $C_3|K_m$.

Theorem 2.4. [13] Let n be an even integer and m be an odd integer with $3 \le m \le n$. The graph $K_n - I$ can be decomposed into cycles of length m whenever m divides the number of edges in $K_n - I$.

3. C_6 Decomposition of $C_6 \times K_n$

Theorem 3.1. [13] Let n be an odd integer and m be an even integer with $3 \leq m \leq n$. The graph K_n can be decomposed into cycles of length m whenever m divides the number of edges in K_n .

Lemma 3.2. $C_6|C_6 \times K_2$

Proof. Let the partite set of the bipartite graph $C_6 \times K_2$ be $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_6\}$, $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_6\}$. We assume that the vertices having the same subscripts are

the corresponding vertices of the partite sets. Now $C_6 \times K_2$ can be decomposed into 6-cycles which are $\{u_1, v_2, u_3, v_4, u_5, v_6\}$ and $\{v_1, u_2, v_3, u_4, v_5, u_6\}$.

Theorem 3.3. For all n, $C_6|C_6 \times K_n$.

Proof. Let the partite set of the 6-partite graph $C_6 \times K_n$ be $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$, $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$, $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$, $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ and $Z = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\}$: we assume that the vertices of U, V, W, X, Y and Z having the same subscripts are the corresponding vertices of the partite sets. Let $U^1 = \{u_1, v_1, w_1, x_1, y_1, z_1\}$, $U^2 = \{u_2, v_2, w_2, x_2, y_2, z_2\}$, ..., $U^n = \{u_n, v_n, w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n\}$ be the sets of these vertices having the same subscripts. By the definition of the tensor product, each U^i , $1 \le i \le n$ is an independent set and the subgraph induced by each $U^i \cup U^j$, $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $i \ne j$ is isomorphic to $C_6 \times K_2$. Now by Lemma 3.2 the graph $C_6 \times K_2$ admits a 6-cycle decomposition. This completes the proof.

4. C_6 Decomposition of $K_m \times K_n$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $C_6|K_m \times K_n$ for some m and n with $2 \le m, n$. Then every vertex of $K_m \times K_n$ has even degree and 6 divides in the number of edges of $K_m \times K_n$. These two conditions translate to (m-1)(n-1) being even and 6|m(m-1)n(n-1) respectively. Hence, by the first fact m or n has to be odd, i.e., has to be congruent to 1 or 3 or 5 (mod 6). The second fact can now be used to show that they cannot both be congruent to 5 (mod 6). It now follows that $m \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6) or $n \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6). Conversely, let $m \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6). By Theorem 2.3, $C_3|K_m$ and hence $K_m \times K_n = ((C_3 \times K_n) \oplus \cdots \oplus (C_3 \times K_n))$. Since $C_6|C_3 \times K_n$ by Theorem 2.1.

Finally, if $n \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6), the above argument can be repeated with the roles of m and n interchanged to show again that $C_6|K_m \times K_n$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $C_6|K_m-I\times K_n, m\geq 6$. Certainly, 6|mn(m-2)(n-1). But we know that if 6|m(m-2) then 6|mn(m-2)(n-1). But m is even therefore $m\equiv 0$ or $2\pmod 6$.

Conversely, let $m \equiv 0$ or 2 (mod 6). Notice that for each m, $\frac{m(m-2)}{2}$ is a multiple of 3. Thus by Theorem 2.4 $C_3|K_m-I$ and hence $K_m-I\times K_n=((C_3\times K_n)\oplus\cdots\oplus(C_3\times K_n))$. From Theorem 2.1 $C_6|C_3\times K_n$. The proof is complete.

5. CONCLUSION

In view of the results obtained in this paper we draw our conclusion by the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. For any simple graph G. If

- (1) $C_3|G$ then $C_6|G \times K_n$, whenever $n \geq 2$.
- (2) $C_6|G$ then $C_6|G \times K_n$, whenever $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We only need to show that $C_3|G$. Applying Theorem 2.1 gives the esult.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for helpful suggestions, including the present form of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alspach and H. Gavlas, Cycle decompositions of K_n and $K_n I$, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 81 (2001) 77-99.
- [2] E.J. Billington, Decomposing complete tripartite graphs into cycles of length 3 and 4, Discrete Math. 197/198 (1999) 123-135.
- [3] E.J. Billington, D.G. Hoffman and B.M. Maenhaut, Group divisible pentagon systems, Util. Math. 55 (1999) 211-219.
- [4] N.J. Cavenagh and E.J. Billington, Decompositions of complete multipartite graphs into cycles of even length, Graphs Combin. 16 (2000) 49-65.
- [5] D. G. Hoffman, C. C. Linder and C. A. Rodger, On the construction of odd cycle systems, J. Graph Theory 13 (1989) 417-426.
- [6] C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger, Design Theory (CRC Press New York, 1997).
- [7] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, C_p -decompositions of some regular graphs, Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 429-451.
- [8] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, C_5 -decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 37 (2007) 285-293.
- [9] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, Hamilton cycle decompositions of the tensor product of complete multipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008)3586-3606.
- [10] R.S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, C7-decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 37 (2017) 523-535.
- [11] A. Muthusamy and P. Paulraja, Factorizations of product graphs into cycles of uniform length, Graphs Combin. 11 (1995) 69-90.
- [12] P. Paulraja and S. S. Kumar, Resolvable even cycle decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs. Discrete Math. 311 (2011) 1841-1850.
- [13] M. Sajna, Cycle decompositions III: complete graphs and fixed length cycles, J. Combin. Designs 10 (2002) 27-78.
- [14] B. R. Smith, Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into cycles of lenght 2p, J. Combin. Des. 16 (2006) 244-252.
- [15] B. R. Smith, Complete equipartite 3p-cycle systems, Australas J. Combin. 45 (2009) 125-138.
- A. D. AKWU AND O. OYEWUMI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, MAKURDI, NIGE-

RIA abolaopeyemi@yahoo.co.uk E-mail address:

opeyemioluwaoyewumi@gmail.com E-mail address: