# On the Ramsey Numbers $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$

ROLAND LORTZ and INGRID MENGERSEN

Technische Universität Braunschweig Institut Computational Mathematics AG Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik 38092 Braunschweig, Germany r.lortz@tu-braunschweig.de

Moorhüttenweg 2d 38104 Braunschweig, Germany ingrid.mengersen@t-online.de

#### Abstract

For every connected graph F with n vertices and every graph G with chromatic surplus  $s(G) \leq n$  the Ramsey number r(F,G) satisfies  $r(F,G) \geq (n-1)(\chi(G)-1)+s(G)$ , where  $\chi(G)$  denotes the chromatic number of G. If this lower bound is attained, then F is called G-good. For all connected graphs G with at most six vertices and  $\chi(G) \geq 4$ , every tree  $T_n$  of order  $n \geq 5$  is G-good. In case of  $\chi(G) = 3$  and  $G \neq K_6 - 3K_2$  every non-star tree  $T_n$  is G-good except for some small n, whereas  $r(S_n, G)$  for the star  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$  in a few cases differs by at most 2 from the lower bound. In this note we prove that the values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are considerably larger for sufficiently large n. Furthermore, exact values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are obtained for small n.

KEYWORDS: Ramsey number, Ramsey goodness, star, small graph

#### 1 Introduction

Let G be a graph with chromatic number  $\chi(G)$ . The chromatic surplus s(G) is defined to be the smallest number of vertices in a color class under any  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of the vertices of G. It is well-known (cf. [3]) that for any connected graph F with n vertices and any graph G with  $s(G) \leq n$  the Ramsey number r(F,G) satisfies

$$r(F,G) \ge (n-1)(\chi(G)-1) + s(G).$$
 (1)

When equality occurs in (1), F is said to be G-good. The concept of G-goodness generalizes a classical result of Chvátal [2] who proved that

 $r(T_n, K_m) = (n-1)(m-1) + 1$  for any tree  $T_n$  with n vertices. Results concerning the G-goodness of trees have been obtained for various classes of graphs G and also for small graphs G. The Ramsey number  $r(T_n, G)$  for connected graphs G with at most 5 vertices was studied in [3],  $r(T_n, G)$  for connected graphs with six vertices was investigated in [5] and [6]. These results show that every tree  $T_n$  with  $n \geq 5$  is G-good if G is a connected graph with at most six vertices and  $\chi(G) \geq 4$ . In case of  $\chi(G) = 3$  and  $G \neq K_6 - 3K_2$  every non-star tree  $T_n$  is G-good except for some small n, whereas  $r(S_n, G)$  for the star  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$  in a few cases differs by at most 2 from the lower bound (1). For graphs G with  $\chi(G) = 2$  and at most six vertices the values of  $r(T_n, G)$  are not completely determined, but it is known that for some G, especially for non-star complete bipartite graphs, they differ considerably from the lower bound (1) (see [1, 7, 8]). Here we will prove that also the values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are much larger. We present a lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  depending on  $r(S_n, C_4)$  which implies that  $r(S_n, K_6-3K_2) \ge 2n+\lfloor \sqrt{n-1}\rfloor-1$  if  $n=q^2+1$  or  $n=q^2+2$  where q is any prime power and that  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) > 2n - 2 + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} - 6(n-1)^{11/40} \rfloor$ for all sufficiently large n. For  $n \leq 10$ , our lower bound matches the exact value of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  or differs from it by at most 1.

Some specialized notation will be used. A coloring of a graph always means a 2-coloring of its edges with colors red and green. An  $(F_1, F_2)$ -coloring is a coloring containing neither a red copy of  $F_1$  nor a green copy of  $F_2$ . We use V to denote the vertex set of  $K_n$  and define  $d_r(v)$  to be the number of red edges incident to  $v \in V$  in a coloring of  $K_n$ . Moreover,  $\Delta_r = \max_{v \in V} d_r(v)$ . The set of vertices joined red to v is denoted by  $N_r(v)$ . Similarly we define  $d_g(v)$ ,  $\Delta_g$  and  $N_g(v)$ . For  $U \subseteq V(K_n)$ , the subgraph induced by U is denoted by [U]. Furthermore,  $[U]_r$  and  $[U]_g$  denote the red and the green subgraph induced by U. We write  $G' \subseteq G$  if G' is a subgraph of G. For disjoint subsets  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V(K_n)$ ,  $q_r(U_1, U_2)$  denotes the number of red edges between  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , and  $Q_g(U_1, U_2)$  is defined similarly.

## 2 Results

The following theorem establishes a general lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6-3K_2)$  depending on  $r(S_n, C_4)$ .

Theorem 1 Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \ge r(S_n, C_4) + \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $m = r(S_n, C_4) - 1$ . Take an  $(S_n, C_4)$ -coloring of  $K_m$ . For n odd, add a red  $K_{n-1}$ , and, for n even, a  $K_n$  with n/2 independent green edges and all other edges colored red. Join the vertices of the  $K_m$  and the vertices of the  $K_{n-1}$  or  $K_n$ , respectively, by green edges. Obviously, no red  $S_n$  occurs. Now consider any subgraph H of order six. If at least four vertices of H belong to the  $K_m$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq H$  is impossible since deleting any two vertices of a  $K_6 - 3K_2$  leaves a graph of order four containing a  $C_4$ . Otherwise, at least three vertices of H belong to the  $K_{n-1}$  or  $K_n$ . Then adjacent red edges occur in H and again a green  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq H$  is impossible. Thus, the lower bound is established.

Exact results on the values of  $r(S_n,C_4)$  are known only in special cases. Parsons [7] proved that  $r(S_n,C_4)=n+\lfloor \sqrt{n-1}\rfloor$  if  $n=q^2+1$  or  $n=q^2+2$  where q is any prime power. Burr, Erdös, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [1] showed that  $r(S_n,C_4)>n-1+\lfloor \sqrt{n-1}-6(n-1)^{11/40}\rfloor$  for all sufficiently large n. From these results and Theorem 1 we obtain the following lower bounds on  $r(S_n,K_6-3K_2)$ .

#### Corollary 1

(i) Let  $n = q^2 + 2$  where q is any power of 2 or  $n = q^2 + 1$  where q is any odd prime power. Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \ge 2n + \left\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \right\rfloor.$$

(ii) Let  $n = q^2 + 1$  where q is any power of 2 or  $n = q^2 + 2$  where q is any odd prime power. Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \ge 2n - 1 + \left\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \right\rfloor.$$

(iii) If n is sufficiently large, then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) > 2n - 2 + \left\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} - 6(n-1)^{11/40} \right\rfloor.$$

Using recent results on  $r(S_n, C_4)$  of Wu Yali, Sun Yongqi, Zhang Rui and Radziszowski [8], further lower bounds on  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  can be obtained from Theorem 1. The next theorem shows that the lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  given in Theorem 1 matches the exact value of the Ramsey number if  $n \le 6$  or n = 8 and differs by at most 1 from it if n = 7 or  $0 \le n \le 10$ . The value of  $r(S_5, K_6 - 3K_2)$  has already been obtained by Gu Hua, Song Hongxue and Liu Xiangyang [4] using a different method.

Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** The red subgraph of an  $(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_9$  is isomorphic to  $K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or to  $C_4 \cup C_5$ .

**Proof.**  $S_4 \not\subseteq [V]_r$  implies  $\Delta_r \leq 2$ . Thus, every component of  $[V]_r$  has to be a path or a cycle. If the union of all paths in  $[V]_r$  contains at least three vertices, then it is a subgraph of a cycle. Moreover,  $2K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . Hence,  $[V]_r \subseteq H$  where  $H \in \{C_9, C_3 \cup C_6, C_4 \cup C_5, 3C_3, K_2 \cup C_7, K_2 \cup C_3 \cup C_4, K_1 \cup C_8, K_1 \cup C_3 \cup C_5, K_1 \cup 2C_4\}$ . Except for  $[V]_r = H = K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or  $[V]_r = H = C_4 \cup C_5$  we find a forbidden  $K_6 - 3K_2$  in  $[V]_g$ .

**Lemma 2**  $r(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2) \le 10$ .

**Proof.** Assume that an  $(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_{10}$  exists. Delete one vertex  $v \in V$ . By Lemma 1, the red subgraph of  $[V \setminus \{v\}]$  has to be isomorphic to  $K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or to  $C_4 \cup C_5$ . Moreover,  $\Delta_r \leq 2$  forces only green edges from v to the vertices of  $V \setminus \{v\}$  belonging to a red cycle. Thus, in both cases we find a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$ , a contradiction.

Lemma 3  $r(S_6, K_6 - 3K_2) \le 14$ .

**Proof.** Assume that we have an  $(S_6, K_6-3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_{14}$ . This implies  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  and  $W_4 = K_5 - 2K_2 \subseteq [V]_g$  because  $r(S_6, W_4) = 13$  (see [3]). We distinguish three cases.

Case 1.  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$ . For any  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$  with vertex set U and any two vertices  $w, w' \in V \setminus U$  joined green with  $q_r(w, U) = q_r(w', U) = 2$  the following property pr(w, w', U) must be fulfilled:  $|N_r(w) \cap N_r(w') \cap U| \in \{0, 2\}$ . Otherwise w and w' would have exactly one common red neighbor  $u \in U$  and

this would yield  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [(U \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{w, w'\}]_g$ , a contradiction. We distinguish two subcases.

Case 1.1.  $2K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be the vertex sets of two vertex-disjoint green copies of  $K_5$  and let  $W = V \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$ . Then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  forces  $q_r(w, U_1) \geq 2$  and  $q_r(w, U_2) \geq 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Using  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ , we obtain that  $q_r(w, U_1) = q_r(w, U_2) = 2$  for every  $w \in W$ ,  $q_r(W, U_1) = q_r(W, U_2) = 8$  and  $[W]_g = K_4$ . Moreover,  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  forces  $q_r(u, U_1) \geq 2$  for every  $u \in U_2$  and  $q_r(u, U_2) \geq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1$ . Thus,  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  implies  $q_r(u, W) \leq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1 \cup U_2$ . If there are vertices  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $u_2 \in U_2$  such that  $q_r(u_1, W) = q_r(u_2, W) = 0$ , then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [W \cup \{u_1, u_2\}]_g$ , a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $q_r(u, W) \geq 1$  for every  $u \in U_1$ . Since  $q_r(u, W) \leq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1$  and  $q_r(w, U_1) = 8$  there must be two vertices  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in  $U_1$  with  $q_r(u_1, W) = q_r(u_2, W) = 1$ , and  $q_r(u, W) = 2$  for every  $u \in U_1 \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ . Hence, the bipartite graph  $[W \cup U_1]_r$  is isomorphic to  $C_6 \cup P_3$ , to  $C_4 \cup P_5$  or to  $P_9$ . In all three cases we find two vertices  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  with exactly one common red neighbor  $u \in U_1$ , contradicting  $pr(w_1, w_2, U_1)$ .

Case 1.2.  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$  and  $2K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a green  $K_5$  and let  $W = V \setminus U$ . Since  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ ,  $q_r(w, U) \ge 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Thus,  $\Delta_r \le 4$  forces only vertices of degree less or equal 2 in  $[W]_r$ . As  $K_5 \not\subseteq [W]_g$ , we obtain  $[W]_r = C_4 \cup C_5$  by Lemma 1. Moreover,  $q_r(w, U) = 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Let  $W_1 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $W_2 = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$  be the vertex sets of the red  $C_4$  and the red  $C_5$  in [W], where  $w_i w_{i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8,  $w_4 w_1$  and  $w_9 w_5$  are red. We may assume that  $w_1 u_1$  and  $w_1 u_2$  are red and use that pr(w, w', U) holds for any two vertices  $w, w' \in W_2$  joined green.

First let  $|N_r(w_1) \cap N_r(w) \cap U| = 0$  for every  $w \in W_2$ . Thus,  $N_r(w) \cap U \subseteq \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  for every  $w \in W_2$ . We may assume that  $w_5u_3$  and  $w_5u_4$  are red. From  $pr(w_5, w, U)$  for  $w \in \{w_7, w_8\}$  we derive  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for  $w \in \{w_7, w_8\}$ . Now apply  $pr(w_6, w_8, U)$  and  $pr(w_7, w_9, U)$ . Hence, also  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . It follows that  $d_r(u_3) \geq 5$ , contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

The remaining case is that  $|N_r(w_1) \cap N_r(w) \cap U| = 2$  for some  $w \in W_2$ , say  $w = w_5$ . Then  $\{w_1, w_5, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  induces a green  $K_5$ . Consequently,  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  implies  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) = 2$  for every  $w \in \{w_3, w_7, w_8\}$  and  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) \ge 1$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . Because of  $pr(w_1, w, U)$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ , we obtain  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) = 2$  also for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $w_3u_3$  and  $w_3u_4$  are red. Note that  $pr(w_3, w, U)$  holds

for every  $w \in \{w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$ . Thus,  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for ever  $w \in \{w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$ . This implies  $d_r(u_3) \geq 5$  contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

Case 2.  $K_5 - e \subseteq [V]_g$  and  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a  $K_5 - e \subseteq [V]_g$ . We may assume that  $u_1u_5$  is red. If a vertex  $w \in W = V \setminus U$  exists such that  $q_r(w, U) \leq 1$ , then we either find a gree  $K_6 - 3K_2$  or a green  $K_5$ , both a contradiction. Thus,  $q_r(w, U) \geq 2$  for ever  $w \in W$ . Note that  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  and  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Hence,  $[W]_r = C_4 \cup C_5$  be Lemma 1. Again let  $W_1 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $W_2 = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$  be the vertex sets of the red  $C_4$  and the red  $C_5$  in [W], where  $w_iw_{i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8,  $w_4w_1$  and  $w_9w_5$  are red. From  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  we obtain that  $u_1$  must have a green neighbor in  $W_2$ , say  $w_5$ . Now consider the two green copies of  $K_5 - e$  induced by  $W_3 = \{w_1, w_3, w_5, w_6, w_8\}$  and  $W_4 = \{w_2, w_4, w_5, w_7, w_9\}$ . Mind that  $W_3 \cap W_4 = \{w_5\}$ . If  $q_r(u_1, W_3) \leq 1$  of  $q_r(u_1, W_4) \leq 1$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  or a green  $K_5$  would occur in  $[W_3 \cup \{u_1\}]$  or  $[W_4 \cup \{u_1\}]$ . Otherwise  $d_r(u_1) \geq 5$ , contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

Case 3.  $K_5-2K_2\subseteq [V]_g$  and  $K_5-e\not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U=\{u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5\}$  b the vertex set of a  $K_5-2K_2\subseteq [V]_g$ . We may assume that  $u_1u_5$  and  $u_2u_4$  ar red. If a vertex  $w\in W=V\setminus U$  exists such that  $q_r(w,U)\le 1$  we either fine a green  $K_6-3K_2$  or a green  $K_5-e$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $q_r(w,U)\ge 2$  fo every  $w\in W$ . Note that  $\Delta_r\le 4$ . Hence,  $[W]_r=K_1\cup 2C_4$  or  $[W]_r=C_4\cup C_5$  by Lemma 1. But then  $K_5-e\subseteq [W]_g\subseteq [V]_g$ , a contradiction.

Lemma 4 Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$r(S_{n+2}, K_6 - 3K_2) \le r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) + 5.$$

**Proof.** Let  $m = r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) + 5$ . By (1),  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \ge 2n$ , and this implies  $m \ge 2n + 5$ . Assume that an  $(S_{n+2}, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_m$  exists. Since  $r(S_n, W_4) = 2n + 1$  if n is even and  $r(S_n, W_4) = 2n - 1$  if n is odd (see [3]) we obtain  $r(S_{n+2}, W_4) \le 2n + 5 \le m$ . Thus,  $S_{n+2} \not\subseteq [V]_r$  forces  $W_4 \subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a green  $W_4 = K_5 - 2K_2$  and  $W = V \setminus U$ . Note that  $|W| = r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . Hence,  $S_n \subseteq [W]_r$  and a vertex  $w^* \in W$  exists with degree at least n - 1 in  $[W]_r$ . From  $S_{n+2} \not\subseteq [V]_r$  it follows that  $q_r(w^*, U) \le 1$ , i.e.  $q_g(w^*, U) \ge 4$ .

If  $[U]_g = K_5$ , then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [\{w^*\} \cup U]_g$ , a contradiction, and we may assume that  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Now let  $[U]_g = K_5 - e$  assuming that the edge  $u_1u_5$  is red. If  $w^*$  is joined green to  $u_1$  and  $u_5$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  is

contained in  $[\{w^*\} \cup U]$ . Otherwise  $w^*$  is joined red to  $u_1$  or to  $u_5$ , say to  $u_1$ , but this implies that  $[\{w^*\} \cup \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}]$  is a green  $K_5$ . Again we have obtained a contradiction and we may assume that  $K_5 - e \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . It remains that  $[U]_g = K_5 - 2K_2$ . Here we may assume that the edges  $u_1u_2$  and  $u_4u_5$  are red. If  $w^*$  is joined red to  $u_3$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  is contained in  $[\{w^*\} \cup U]$ . Otherwise  $w^*$  is joined green to  $u_3$  and to at least three vertices in  $\{u_1, u_2, u_4, u_5\}$ , say to  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_4$ . But this gives a forbidden green  $K_5 - e$  in  $[\{w^*\} \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}]$ , and we are done.

Now we will use the results obtained in Theorem 1 and Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 to prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** At first we will show that the given values are lower bounds for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . The exact results of  $r(S_n, C_4)$  for  $n \le 10$  can be found in [1], namely

Applying Theorem 1, we obtain the desired lower bounds. It remains to establish the given values as upper bounds for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . Obviously,  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \le 6$  for  $2 \le n \le 3$ . The other cases are settled by Lemmas 2, 3 and 4.

From Lemma 4 and the exact results for  $5 \le n \le 6$  in Theorem 2 we obtain a general upper bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $n \geq 5$ . Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \le \left\lfloor \frac{5n - 2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

**Proof.** For  $5 \le n \le 6$  the upper bound matches the exact values in Theorem 2. For  $n \ge 7$ , induction on n using Lemma 4, separately for n even and n odd, yields the desired upper bound.

## References

[1] S. A. Burr, P. Erdős, R. J. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau and R. H. Schelp, Some complete bipartite graph-tree Ramsey numbers, Ann. Discrete Math. 41 (1989), 79–89.

- [2] V. Chvátal, Tree-complete graph Ramsey numbers, J. Graph Theory 1 (1977), 93.
- [3] R. J. FAUDREE, C. C. ROUSSEAU and R. H. SCHELP, Small order graph-tree Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Math.* 72 (1988), 119–127.
- [4] Gu Hua, Song Hongxue and Liu Xfangyang, Ramsey numbers  $r(K_{1,4},G)$  for all three-partite graphs G of order six, J. Southeast Univ. (English Edition) **20** (2004), 378–380.
- [5] R. LORTZ and I. MENGERSEN, On the Ransey numbers for stars versus connected graphs of order six, Australas. J. Combin., to appear.
- [6] R. LORTZ and I. MENGERSEN, On the Ransey numbers for non-star trees versus connected graphs of order six, submitted.
- [7] T. D. PARSONS, Ramsey graphs and block designs I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 209 (1975), 33-44.
- [8] Yali Wu, Yongqi Sun, Rui Zhang and S. P. Radziszowski, Ramsey numbers of  $C_4$  versus wheels and stars, *Graphs Combin.* **31** (2015), 2437–2446.