

$(0, 1)$ -Matrices, Discrepancy, and Preservers II^{*†}

LeRoy B. Beasley
Box C-3, Ste 317
Clocktower Plaza, 550 N. Main
Logan, Utah 84321, U.S.A
leroy_beas@aol.com

Abstract

Let m and n be positive integers, and let $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ and $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be non-negative integral vectors. Let $A(R, S)$ be the set of all $m \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices with row sum vector R and column vector S . Let R and S be non increasing, and let $F(R, S)$ be the $m \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrix where for each i , the i^{th} row of $F(R, S)$ consists of r_i 1's followed by $n - r_i$ 0's, called Ferrers matrices. The discrepancy of an $m \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrix A , $\text{disc}(A)$, is the number of positions in which $F(R, S)$ has a 1 and A has a 0. In this paper we investigate linear operators mapping $m \times n$ matrices over the binary Boolean semiring to itself that preserve sets related to the discrepancy. In particular we characterize linear operators that preserve both the set of Ferrers matrices and the set of matrices of discrepancy one.

*AMS Classification number: 15A04, 15A21, 15A86, 05B20, 05C50.

†Key words and phrases: Ferrers matrix, row-dense matrix, discrepancy, linear preserver, strong linear preserver

1 Introduction

In the area of graph theory or discrete matrix theory, determining if an object belongs to a specified set may be a difficult problem. In the current topic, finding the discrepancy of a $(0, 1)$ -matrix is an NP-complete problem, [4]. One method of identifying objects in a set is to identify a known set of matrices with that property and apply transformations that preserve the property to expand the known set.

In the study of plant species versus biological pollinators, bipartite graph is an obvious tool for analysis. To study bipartite graphs we often use the reduced adjacency matrix (a $(0, 1)$ matrix). Thus, we will study $(0, 1)$ -matrices whose arithmetic is Boolean, that is the same arithmetic as for the real numbers except that $1+1=1$. With this arithmetic there is an isomorphism between the set of bipartite graphs (using union and intersection as the arithmetic) and the set of $m \times n$, Boolean, $(0, 1)$ matrices. We let $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ denote this set of all $m \times n$ Boolean $(0, 1)$ -matrices. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ which has exactly one nonzero entry, a one in the (i, j) position. Let $J_{m,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ denote the matrix of all ones, $O_{m,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ denote the zero matrix and I_n denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix. To avoid confusion, we suppress the subscripts and write J, O, I . If A and B are matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$, and $b_{i,j} = 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$, we say that B dominates A and write $B \supseteq A$ or $A \subseteq B$.

A linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a mapping T which is additive, that is $T(A + B) = T(A) + T(B)$, and homogeneous, $T(\alpha A) = \alpha T(A)$. It is easily seen that a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is any additive map such that $T(O) = O$. Let $T: \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. We say that T preserves a set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ if $A \in \mathcal{X}$ implies that $T(A) \in \mathcal{X}$. The operator *strongly preserves* the set \mathcal{X} if $A \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $T(A) \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus, “ T strongly preserves the set \mathcal{X} ” is equivalent to saying “ T preserves the set \mathcal{X} and T preserves its complement”.

ment, $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \mathcal{X}$.

Let f be a function on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. We say that T preserves f if T preserves the set $\{X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \mid f(X) = r\}$ for each r in the image of f . That is,

T preserves f if and only if, for each r in the image of f ,

$$T \text{ (strongly) preserves } f^{-1}(r). \quad (1)$$

In [1] there were four equivalent definitions for Ferrers matrices given. Here we shall prefer:

Definition 1.1 *An $m \times n$ matrix A of zeros and ones is called a Ferrers matrix if $a_{i,j} = 1$ implies that for all $k \leq i$ and $\ell \leq j$ $a_{k,\ell} = 1$,*

Note that every $1 \times n$ and $m \times 1$ matrix of zeros and ones which has non increasing row and column sums is a Ferrers matrix, and the transpose of a Ferrers matrix is a Ferrers matrix. So, henceforth we assume that $2 \leq m \leq n$.

Let \mathbb{Z}_+ denote the set of all nonnegative integers so that \mathbb{Z}_+^k is the set of all k tuples of nonnegative integers. Let $Q(m, n) = \{(R, S) \mid R \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, S \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, n \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_m, m \geq s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n\}$. That is, $Q(m, n)$ is the set of ordered tuples of non increasing sequences of length m and n from $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ respectively.

Let $(R, S) \in Q(m, n)$ and define $A(R, S)$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ consisting of matrices with r_i nonzero entries in row i and s_j nonzero entries in column j where r_i is the i^{th} component of R and s_j is the j^{th} component of S . Note that in order that $A(R, S) \neq \emptyset$, we must have that $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n$.

Definition 1.2 *Let $B \in A(R, S)$ for some $(R, S) \in Q(m, n)$. The discrepancy of B , $\text{disc}(B)$, is the minimum number of ones*

exchanged with zeros in the same row of B that yields a Ferrers matrix.

It was shown in [1] that the discrepancy of a zero one matrix is not independent of permutation of columns which maintains the non increasing nature of the columns.

The discrepancy is only defined for matrices in $A(R, S)$ for $(R, S) \in Q(m, n)$. For matrices not in $A(R, S)$ for some $(R, S) \in Q(m, n)$, let the discrepancy be ∞ .

2 Preservers.

Note that for transformations $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ for \mathcal{K} a monoid T is nonsingular means only that $T(X) = O$ only if $X = O$. Unlike transformations on vector spaces (over a field), being nonsingular does not imply invertibility. If Z is a matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ and $Y \subseteq Z$, let $Z \setminus Y = Q$ where $q_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $z_{i,j} = 1$ and $y_{i,j} = 0$.

In [1] the following theorem was established:

Theorem 2.1 [1, Theorem 4.2] *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a bijective linear operator that maps the set of Ferrers matrices to itself. Then either:*

1. T is the identity; or
2. $m = n$ and T is the transpose operator.

We now continue that investigation into the preservers sets of $(0, 1)$ -matrices defined by discrepancy.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then T is nonsingular.*

Proof. Let $J_{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{k,n} \\ O_{m-k,n} \end{bmatrix}$. Suppose $T(X) = O$ for some $X \neq O$. Then there exists (k, ℓ) such that $T(E_{k,\ell}) = O$.

Case 1. $\ell < n$. In this case, $T(J_{(k)}) = T(J_{(k)} \setminus E_{k,\ell})$, while $\text{disc}(J_{(k)}) = 0$ and $\text{disc}(J_{(k)} \setminus E_{k,\ell}) = 1$, which contradicts that T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices.

Case 2. $\ell = n$. In this case, $T(J_{(k)} \setminus (E_{k,n-1} \cup E_{k,n})) = T(J_{(k)} \setminus E_{k,n-1})$ since $T(E_{k,n}) = O$. But $\text{disc}(J_{(k)} \setminus (E_{k,n-1} \cup E_{k,n})) = 0$ while $\text{disc}(J_{(k)} \setminus E_{k,n-1}) = 1$ contradicting that T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices.

Having arrived at a contradiction in both cases we have that T is nonsingular. \blacksquare

Suppose that A is a matrix of 0's and 1's of discrepancy 1. Then, there is exactly one entry equal to 1 that can be exchanged with a zero entry in the same row to give a Ferrers matrix. Call that entry an *exchangeable 1*.

Example 2.1 Let F_2, F_3, \dots, F_m be Ferrers matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that for $i = 2, \dots, m$, F_i has only zero entries in rows $i, i+1, \dots, m$. Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be defined by $T(E_{i,j}) = E_{i,j}$ for all (i, j) such that $j < n$, $T(E_{1,n}) = E_{1,n}$, and $T(E_{i,n}) = E_{i,n} + F_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$. Then T preserves the set of matrices of discrepancy 0 (Ferrers matrices) and the set of matrices of discrepancy 1. To verify this observe that if the last column of a matrix is zero its image is itself. If A is a Ferrers matrix with nonzero last column, then by definition 1.1, the last column of A consists of j ones followed by $m-j$ zeros for some j , and the first $n-1$ columns is a Ferrers matrix. So $T(A)$ has last column the same as the last column of A and the first $n-1$ columns is the sum of the first $n-1$ columns of A plus $j-1$ Ferrers matrices whose only nonzero entries are in the first $j-1$

rows. That is $A = \begin{bmatrix} J_{j,n} \\ F \end{bmatrix}$ where F is an $(m-j) \times n$ Ferrers matrix. Thus $T(A) = A$ and hence $T(A)$ is a Ferrers matrix.

If A is a matrix of discrepancy 1, Suppose that the exchangeable 1 is in the first $n-1$ columns. Then A has all nonzero entries in any row that has an entry in the last column, so that $T(A) = A$ has discrepancy 1. Suppose that the exchangeable 1 is in the last column. say the exchangeable 1 is the (i, n) entry. Then $T(A \setminus E_{i,n}) = A \setminus E_{i,n}$. Thus, $T(A) = T((A \setminus E_{i,n}) + E_{i,n}) = T(A \setminus E_{i,n}) + T(E_{i,n}) = A + F_i$. Further, $\text{disc}(A + F_i) = 1$ since F_i has only nonzero entries in the first $i-1$ rows and is a Ferrers matrix. Thus $T(A)$ has discrepancy 1.

If $U \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ let $\langle U \rangle$ denote the semimodule consisting of all matrices dominated by U , and let $|U|$ denote the number of nonzero entries in U .

Lemma 2.2 Let $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ with $|U| \geq |V|$. If $T : \langle U \rangle \rightarrow \langle V \rangle$ is nonsingular and for any cell $E \subseteq U$, $T(U \setminus E) = T(U) - T(E)$, then $|U| = |V|$ and T is bijective.

Proof. Let $q = |U|$. Suppose that $|T(E)| > 1$ for some cell $E \subseteq U$. Order the cells H_i of $\langle U \rangle$ by $H_1 = E$ and H_i is chosen arbitrarily from the remaining $|U| - i$ cells. If for any $i > 1$, $T(H_1 + \dots + H_i) = T(H_1 + \dots + H_{i-1})$ then $T(U \setminus H_i) = T(U) - T(H_i) = T(U) - T(H_1 + \dots + H_{i-1}) = T(U) - T(U) = 0$, a contradiction. But then, we must have $|T(H_1 + \dots + H_q)| = |T(U)| < |U| = q \geq |V|$, a contradiction. Thus $|T(H_i)| = 1$ for all i . That is T maps cells to cells since T is nonsingular.

If $T(E) = T(F)$ for two distinct cells E and F dominated by U , then $T(U) = T((U \setminus (F)) + (F)) = T(U \setminus F) + T(F) = T(U \setminus F) + T(E) = T(U \setminus F)$ since $E \subseteq U \setminus F$, a contradiction. Thus, $|U| = |V|$ and T is a bijection.

Lemma 2.3 Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set

Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then the restriction of the map T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ maps $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ bijectively onto $\langle T([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) \rangle$.

Proof. We first show that T is bijective. Let $U = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]$. Suppose that $|T(U)| > m(n-2)$.

Let $X_{0,0} = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]$. We now define matrices lexicographically starting with $X_{1,1} = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] + E_{1,n} = X_{0,0} + E_{1,n}$, and $X_{1,2} = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] + E_{1,n} + E_{1,n-1} = X_{1,1} + E_{1,n-1}$, etc. Continuing we get:

$$\begin{array}{ll} X_{1,1} = X_{0,0} + E_{1,n} & \text{and} \quad X_{1,2} = X_{1,1} + E_{1,n-1} \\ X_{2,1} = X_{1,2} + E_{2,n} & \text{and} \quad X_{2,2} = X_{2,1} + E_{2,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{j,1} = X_{j-1,2} + E_{j,n} & \text{and} \quad X_{j,2} = X_{j,1} + E_{j,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{m,1} = X_{m-1,2} + E_{m,n} & \text{and} \quad X_{m,2} = X_{m,1} + E_{m,n-1} \end{array}$$

so that $X_{m,2} = J_{m,n}$.

Since $|T(U)| > m(n-2)$ and $|T(X_{m,2})| \leq mn$, there is some j such that either $|T(X_{j,1})| = |T(X_{j-1,2})|$ or $|T(X_{j,2})| = |T(X_{j,1})|$. But since $X_{j,1} \supseteq X_{j-1,2}$ and $X_{j,2} \supseteq X_{j,1}$ we have that either $T(X_{j,1}) = T(X_{j-1,2})$ or $T(X_{j,2}) = T(X_{j,1})$. Now, since for any $k \geq 1$, $\text{disc}(X_{k,1}) = 1$ and $\text{disc}(X_{k,2}) = 0$, we have contradicted that T preserves both the set of matrices of discrepancy 0 and the set of matrices of discrepancy 1. Thus, $|T(U)| \leq m(n-2)$.

By Lemma 2.2, the restriction of operator T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ maps $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ bijectively onto $\langle T([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) \rangle$. ■

Let X be any matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. Let $R_i(X)$ denote the $1 \times n$ matrix consisting of the i^{th} row of X , and $C_j(X)$ denote the $m \times 1$ matrix consisting of the j^{th} column of X .

Lemma 2.4 Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then $T([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]$.

Proof. Let $U = T([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}])$ and let L be the restriction of T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$. That is, $L : \langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle \rightarrow \langle U \rangle$ is a linear operator that preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$). By Lemma 2.3 L is bijective.

Since L is bijective, $L(E_{1,1}) = E_{r,s}$ for some r, s . But the only Ferrers matrix that has only one nonzero entry is $E_{1,1}$. Thus $L(E_{1,1}) = E_{1,1}$.

Consider $L(E_{1,2})$. The only two Ferrers matrices with exactly two nonzero entries are $E_{1,1} + E_{1,2}$ and $E_{1,1} + E_{2,1}$.

Case 1: $L(E_{1,2}) = E_{1,2}$. Then, $L(E_{1,3})$ must be $E_{1,3}$ because L is bijective and, hence, $L(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{1,3})$ must be a Ferrers matrix and the only possible other choice would be $E_{2,1}$ which is impossible because then $L(E_{1,1} + E_{1,3})$ which has discrepancy would be a Ferrers matrix, contradicting that L preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$. Following this type of argument, we arrive at $T(R_1([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) \subseteq R_1(U)$.

Continuing in like manner we get that $L(C_1) = C_1$, and since $L(E_{j,1}) = E_{j,1}$ we get that $L(R_i([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) \subseteq R_i(U)$. But since $|U| = |[J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]|$ we must have that each row of U has the same number of nonzero entries as does $[J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]$. That is $U = [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]$.

Case 2: $L(E_{1,2}) = E_{2,1}$. Then, $L(E_{1,3})$ must be $E_{3,1}$ because L is bijective and, hence, $L(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{1,3})$ must be a Ferrers matrix and the only possible other choice would be $E_{1,2}$ which is impossible because then $L(E_{1,1} + E_{1,3})$ which has discrepancy 1 would be a Ferrers matrix, contradicting that L preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$.

Following this pattern, we arrive at $L(R_1([J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}]) = R_1(U)$.

$C_1(U)$. But for any $j > 2$, $E_{1,1} + E_{1,j}$ has discrepancy 1 while the sum of any two cells in column one has either discrepancy zero or infinity. Thus, case 2 is impossible and the lemma follows. ■

In the following lemma, for α and β sequences of positive integers, let $A[\alpha|\beta]$ denote the submatrix of A on rows indexed by α and columns indexed by β .

Lemma 2.5 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then the restriction of the map T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ is the identity map from $\langle [J_{m,n-2} \ O_{m,2}] \rangle$ onto itself.*

Proof. Let $L : \mathcal{M}_{m,n-2}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n-2}(\mathbb{B})$ be defined for $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n-2}(\mathbb{B})$, $L(X) = T([X \ O_{m,2}])[1, 2, \dots, m|1, 2, \dots, n-2]$. Then, by the above lemmas, L is bijective and preserves the set of Ferrers matrices and the set of matrices of discrepancy 1. By Theorem 2.1, L is either the identity, or $m = n - 2$ and L is the transpose operator. However, considering the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, whose discrepancy is 1 but whose transpose has discrepancy 2, one sees that the transpose operator does not preserve discrepancy 1, hence L is the identity operator. The lemma now follows by the definition of L . ■

In the following lemma let $J^{(\ell)} = [J_{m,\ell} \ O_{m,n-\ell}]$ and let $X^{(\ell)} = J^{(n-2)} + E_{1,n-1} + E_{2,n-1} + \dots + E_{\ell,n-1}$.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then the restriction of the map T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-1} \ O_{m,1}] \rangle$ is the identity map from $\langle [J_{m,n-1} \ O_{m,1}] \rangle$ onto itself.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, for any $j \leq n-2$ and any i , $T(E_{i,j}) \subseteq E_{i,j}$. Consider $T(E_{i,n-1})$. Say for some $(r,s) \neq (i,n-1)$ the cell $E_{r,s} \subseteq T(E_{i,n-1})$. Let k be the smallest k such that some such cell is dominated by $T(E_{k,n-1})$, that is $E_{r,s} \subseteq T(E_{k,n-1})$ for some $(r,s) \neq (k,n-1)$.

Case 1: $s \leq n-2$, or $r < k$ and $s = n-1$. In this case $X^{(k)} \supseteq E_{r,s}$ and hence $T(X^{(k)}) = T(X^{(k)} \setminus E_{r,s})$. Let $Y = (X^{(k)} + E_{r,n}) \setminus E_{r,s}$ and $Z = X^{(k)} + E_{r,n}$. Then, $T(Y) = T(Z)$ but $\text{disc}(Y) = 1$ while $\text{disc}(Z) = 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $r > k$ and $s = n-1$. Let $Y = X^{(r)} + E_{1,n} + E_{2,n} + \dots + E_{r,n}$ and $Z = Y \setminus E_{r,n-1}$. Then, $T(Y) = T(Z)$, but $\text{disc}(Y) = 0$ while $\text{disc}(Z) = 1$, a contradiction.

Case 3: $s = n$. Note that by the above cases and Corollary 2.5 if $E_{r,n-1} \subseteq T(X^{(i)})$ then $r = i$.

Subcase 1: $r > k$. In this case, $T(X^{(k)})$ must have discrepancy 1 while $\text{disc}(X^{(k)}) = 0$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2: $r < k$. Let $Y = J^{(n-3)} + (E_{1,n-2} + E_{2,n-2} + \dots + E_{k-1,n-2}) + E_{k,n-1}$. Then, by the above cases, $\text{disc}(Y) = 1$ while $\text{disc}(T(Y)) \geq 2$ since $T(Y)$ has zeros in entries $(k,n-2)$ and $(r,n-1)$ and ones in entries $(k,n-1)$ and (r,n) . This contradicts that T preserves discrepancy 1.

Subcase 3: $r = k$. Let $Y = J^{(n-4)} + (E_{1,n-3} + E_{2,n-3} + \dots + E_{k-1,n-3}) + (E_{1,n-2} + E_{2,n-2} + \dots + E_{k-1,n-2}) + E_{k,n-1}$. Then $\text{disc}(Y) = 1$ and $\text{disc}(T(Y))$ is at least two, a contradiction.

Since a contradiction has arisen in all the above cases and since T is nonsingular by Lemma 2.1 we have that $T(E_{i,n-1}) \subseteq E_{i,n-1}$ for all i . That is, the restriction of the map T to the semimodule $\langle [J_{m,n-1} \ O_{m,1}] \rangle$ is the identity map.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then the image of T does not dominate $E_{r,s}$ only for $r < k$ unless $(r,s) = (k,n)$, the*

$$T(E_{k,n}) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} J_{k-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}.$$

Proof. Throughout this proof, we shall use Lemma 2.6 without specific mention.

Suppose that T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), and that for some $(r, s) \neq (k, n)$, $T(E_{k,n}) \supseteq E_{r,s}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $r \geq k$ and $s \leq n - 1$. Here, let $Y = \begin{bmatrix} J_{r-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{r,1} + \dots + E_{r,s-1} + E_{r,s+1} + \dots + E_{r,n}$. Then $T(Y) \supseteq E_{r,s}$ and $T(E_{r,s}) = E_{r,s}$, so that $T(Y) = T(Y + E_{r,s})$. But, $\text{disc}(Y) = 1$ while $\text{disc}(Y + E_{r,s}) = 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $r > k$ and $s = n$, Then, since $\begin{bmatrix} J_{r-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}$ is a Ferrers matrix, so is $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_{r-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}\right)$. But $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_{r-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}\right) \supseteq E_{r,n}$, so by the second equivalent definition of a Ferrers matrix we have that $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_{r-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}\right) \supseteq E_{r,n-1}$, a contradiction by Case 1 above.

Thus, if $T(E_{n,k}) \supseteq E_{r,s}$ we have that either $r < k$ or $(r, s) = (k, n)$. That is $T(E_{k,n}) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} J_{k-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}$. ■

Lemma 2.8 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then $T(E_{k,n}) \supseteq E_{k,n}$.*

Proof. Let k be the first positive integer such that $T(E_{k,n}) \not\supseteq E_{k,n}$. By Lemma 2.7, $T(E_{k,n}) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} J_{(k-1),n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}$. Thus if $T(E_{k,n}) \not\supseteq E_{k,n}$ then $T(E_{k,n}) \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} J_{k-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}$. But then, the

discrepancy of $\begin{bmatrix} J^{(k-1),n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}$ is 1 while, the discrepancy of $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} J^{(k-1),n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}\right)$ is 0 since $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} J^{(k-1),n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} + E_{k,n}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} J^{(k-1),n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.9 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. If T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), then*

$$\left[\left(T(E_{k,n}) \circ \begin{bmatrix} J^{k-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix} \right) + (E_{1,1} + E_{2,1} + \dots + E_{k-1,1}) \right]$$

is a Ferrers matrix.

Proof. Consider the matrix $Z = (E_{1,1} + E_{2,1} + \dots + E_{k-1,1}) + E_{k,n}$. Then $T(Z)$ must be a matrix of discrepancy 1 and $T(Z)$ has a zeros in rows k, \dots, m except the $(k, n)^{\text{th}}$ entry.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator. Then, T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$), if and only if there are matrices F_2, F_3, \dots, F_m in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $F_i \circ \begin{bmatrix} O_{i-1,n} \\ J_{m-i+1,n} \end{bmatrix} = O$ and $F_i + (E_{1,1} + E_{2,1} + \dots + E_{i-1,1})$ is a Ferrers matrix, $i = 2, \dots, m$ and such that $T(E_{i,j}) = E_{i,j}$ for $j < n$, $T(E_{1,n}) = E_{1,n}$, and $T(E_{i,n}) = E_{i,n} + F_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Suppose that T preserves the set $\{A \mid \text{disc}(A) = 1\}$ and the set of Ferrers matrices ($\text{disc}(A) = 0$). By Lemma 2.9, $T(E_{i,j}) = E_{i,j}$ for $j < n$. Let $F_i = T(E_{i,n}) \circ \begin{bmatrix} J_{i-1,n} \\ O \end{bmatrix}$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$. By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 $T(E_{i,n}) = F_i + E_{i,n}$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$ and $T(E_{1,n}) = E_{1,n}$. By Lemma 2.9, $F_i + (E_{1,1} + E_{2,1} + \dots + E_{i-1,1})$ is a Ferrers matrix, $i = 2, \dots, m$.

Now suppose there are matrices, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_m in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $F_i \circ \begin{bmatrix} O_{i-1,n} \\ J_{m-i+1,n} \end{bmatrix} = O$ and $F_i + (E_{1,1} + E_{2,1} + \dots + E_{i-1,1})$ is a Ferrers matrix, $i = 2, \dots, m$, and such that $T(E_{i,j}) = E_{i,j}$ for $j < n$, $T(E_{1,n}) = E_{1,n}$, and $T(E_{i,n}) = E_{i,n} + F_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$. By the proof in Example 2.1 T preserves the set of Ferrers matrices and the set of matrices of discrepancy 1. ■

References

- [1] L. B. Beasley, “(0, 1)-Matrices, Discrepancy, and Preservers”, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, To appear.
- [2] L. B. Beasley, N. J. Pullman: Linear operators preserving properties of graphs. *Congressus Num.* 70 (1990) 105-112. Zbl 0696.05049, MR1041590
- [3] A. Berger: The isomorphic version of Brualdi’s and Sanderson’s nestedness is in P. arXiv:1602.02536v2
- [4] A. Berger, B. Schreck: The isomorphic version of Brualdi’s and Sanderson’s nestedness. *Algorithms (Basel)* 10 (2017), no. 3, Paper No. 74, 12 pp. MR3708470
- [5] R. A. Brualdi, G.J. Sanderson: Nested species subsets, gaps and discrepancy. *Oecologia* 119 (1999) 256–264.
- [6] R. A. Brualdi, J. Snen: Discrepancy of matrices of zeros and ones. *Electron. J. Comb.* 6(1999) 1–12. Zbl 0936.76029, MR1674136
- [7] S.M. Motlaghian, A. Armandnejad, F. J. Hall: Linear preservers of row-dense matrices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 141(2016) 847–858. Zbl 0664.4037, MR3846382

