

Packing 5-cycles into balanced complete n -partite graphs for even n : the 1-factor leave*

Hongjuan Liu^a, Zhen Wang^a, Lidong Wang^b

^aDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering,
Langfang Polytechnic Institute, Langfang 065000, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Basic Courses, China People's Police University,
Langfang 065000, P. R. China

email address (lidongwang@aliyun.com)

Abstract

Let K_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n} be a complete n -partite graph with partite sets of sizes g_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A complete n -partite is balanced if each partite set has g vertices. In this paper, we will solve the problem of finding a maximum packing of the balanced complete n -partite graph, n even, with edge-disjoint 5-cycles when the leave is a 1-factor.

1 Introduction

Let H be a simple graph and \mathcal{G} a set of simple graphs. An (H, \mathcal{G}) -packing is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where X is the vertex set of H and \mathcal{B} is a collection of subgraphs (called *blocks*) of H , such that each block is isomorphic to a graph of \mathcal{G} , and each edge of H is contained in at most one blocks of \mathcal{B} . If \mathcal{G} contains a single graph G , we speak of an (H, G) -packing.

The *leave* L of an (H, G) -packing (X, \mathcal{B}) is the subgraph induced by the set of edges of H that do not occur in any block $B \in \mathcal{B}$. For fixed H and G , an (H, G) -packing (X, \mathcal{B}) is called *maximum* if it has the *minimum* leave L , a leave with minimum number of edges, among all (H, G) -packings. If

*Supported by Langfang Science and Technology Project of China under Grant 2018011001, and Hebei Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant A2019507002 (H. Liu), Cultivation Project of NSFC of CPPU under Grant ZKJJPY201703 (L. Wang).

the leave of an (H, G) -packing is null, then such a packing is maximum, and referred to as an (H, G) -design.

Let K_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n} be a complete n -partite graph with partite sets of sizes g_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A complete n -partite graph is *balanced* if each partite set has g vertices, which is denoted by $K_{n(g)}$. A $(K_{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t}, G)$ -packing is said to be a *group divisible packing* (each partite set is called a *group*), written as a G -GDP of type $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$. The multiset $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$ is called the *group type* (or *type*) of the GDP. For simplicity, we will use an "exponential" notation to describe group types: type $g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r}$ indicates that there are n_i groups of size g_i . A $(K_{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t}, G)$ -design is often said to be a *group divisible design*, denoted by G -GDD of type $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$. We always write G -MGDP instead of maximum G -GDP.

When G is C_k , a cycle of length k , the existence problem for C_k -GDDs of type g^n has been studied for more than 40 years. The necessary and sufficient conditions have been determined for $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ [1, 2]. For general n , the spectrum has also been determined for $k = 3$ [12]; $k = 5$ [5]; $k \in \{4, 6, 8\}$ [9]; prime $k \geq 7$ [15]; k twice or thrice a prime [19, 20] and prime square [21, 22]. For recent progress on C_k -GDDs, the readers may refer to [7, 17].

However, if we turn to the C_k -MGDPs of type g^n , relatively little is known. The problem has been solved only for $k = 3$ [6, 13, 25], $k = 4$ [3, 4] and $k = 6$ [11]. For the case of $k = 5$, some partial solutions to this problem have been obtained. The existence problem for C_5 -GDDs of type g^n has been completely solved by Billington et al. [5].

Lemma 1 [5] *There exists a C_5 -GDD of type g^n if and only if $n \geq 3$, $g(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $n(n-1)g^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$.*

Cavenagh et al. [10] considered the existence problem for C_5 -GDDs of type g^2x^1 .

Lemma 2 [10] *There exists a C_5 -GDD of type g^2x^1 if and only if $g/3 \leq x \leq 2g$, $g-x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and $g(g+2x) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.*

For two graphs G and H , the notation $G \cup H$ represents the union of graphs G and H without common vertices. We give one possible leave of a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n in Table 1 in which the rows and the columns are indexed by congruence classes of n and g modulo 10. Here F is a 1-factor, F_i denotes a graph on gn vertices with $gn/2 + i$ edges and each vertex has odd degree, and $2C_3$ represents two C_3 with one vertex in common.

Rosa and Znám [18] first discussed the C_5 -packing problem and showed that a C_5 -MGDP of type 1^n with leave given in Table 1 always exists.

Table 1: Possible leave of a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n

$n \setminus g$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	\emptyset	F	\emptyset	F	\emptyset	F	\emptyset	F	\emptyset	F
1	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset
2	\emptyset	F	C_4	F_1	$2C_3$	F	$2C_3$	F_2	C_4	F_2
3	\emptyset	C_3	$C_3 \cup C_4$	$C_3 \cup C_4$	C_3	\emptyset	C_3	$C_3 \cup C_4$	$C_3 \cup C_4$	C_3
4	\emptyset	F_4	C_4	F_3	$2C_3$	F	$2C_3$	F	C_4	F_3
5	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset	\emptyset
6	\emptyset	F_2	\emptyset	F_1	\emptyset	F	\emptyset	F_4	\emptyset	F_3
7	\emptyset	$2C_3$	C_4	C_4	$2C_3$	\emptyset	$2C_3$	C_4	C_4	$2C_3$
8	\emptyset	F_4	$C_3 \cup C_4$	F	C_3	F	C_3	F_4	$C_3 \cup C_4$	F_2
9	\emptyset	$2C_3$	C_4	C_4	$2C_3$	\emptyset	$2C_3$	C_4	C_4	$2C_3$

Lemma 3 [18] *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type 1^n with leave L in Table 1 for each integer $n \geq 3$.*

Huang et al. [14] investigated the case of $g > 1$ and determined the leave of a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n for any positive integer $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 4 [14] *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave L in Table 1 for each odd integer $n \geq 3$.*

This paper is a continuation of [14], and we are concerned about C_5 -MGDPs of type g^n with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. As the main result of this paper, we show that a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F (those in Table 1) always exists, i.e., we are to prove

Theorem 5 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) $n \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$, $n \geq 3$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$;
- (2) $n \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$, $n \geq 3$ and $g \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{10}$;
- (3) $n \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$, $n \geq 3$ and $g \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{10}$;
- (4) $n \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$, $n \geq 3$ and $g \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$;
- (5) $n \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$, $n \geq 3$ and $g \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{10}$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we introduce two auxiliary designs, i.e., holey group divisible design and incomplete group divisible packing respectively, to build new combinatorial constructions for C_5 -MGDPs of type g^n . Finally, we obtain the main result of this paper in Section 4.

2 Holey group divisible designs

In what follows, we always assume that $I_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and denote by Z_v the additive group of integers modulo v .

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{t+1} be disjoint subsets of the vertex set of the balanced complete n -partite graph $K_{n(ht+w)}$ with partite sets G_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfying $|S_j| = hn$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, $|S_{t+1}| = wn$, and $|G_i \cap S_j| = h$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$, $|G_i \cap S_{t+1}| = w$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $K[S_j]$ be the subgraph of $K_{n(ht+w)}$ induced by S_j . A $(K_{n(ht+w)} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{t+1} K[S_j], G)$ -design is referred to as a *holey group divisible design* and each S_j is called a *hole*. Such a design is denoted by a G -HGDD of type $(n, h^t w^1)$.

The following “filling in holes” construction is straightforward.

Construction 6 Suppose that there exists a G -HGDD of type $(n, h^t w^1)$ with the hole set $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{t+1}\}$. If there exist a G -GDP of type h^n with leave L_j for each hole S_j , $1 \leq j \leq t$, and a G -GDP of type w^n with leave L_{t+1} for the hole S_{t+1} , then there exists a G -GDP of type $(ht + w)^n$ with leave $\cup_{j=1}^{t+1} L_j$.

We quote the following result for later use.

Lemma 7 [24] There exists a C_3 -HGDD of type (n, h^t) if and only if $n, t \geq 3$, $(t-1)(n-1)h \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and $t(t-1)n(n-1)h^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Lemma 8 [16] There exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 1^t)$ if and only if $n, t \geq 3$, $(t-1)(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $t(t-1)n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$.

We need to construct some more C_5 -HGDDs.

Construction 9 If there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $g^t x^1$, then there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, g^t x^1)$ for any $n \geq 3$.

Proof Suppose \mathcal{A} is a set of blocks of a C_5 -GDD of type $g^t x^1$ on vertex set X with group set $\{G_i : 1 \leq i \leq t+1\}$. Suppose \mathcal{B} is a set of blocks of a C_3 -HGDD of type $(3, 1^n)$ (from Lemma 7) on $I_3 \times I_n$ with group set $\{\{i\} \times I_n : i \in I_3\}$ and hole set $\{I_3 \times \{j\} : j \in I_n\}$. For each $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B = \{(0, x), (1, y), (2, z)\} \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$A_B = ((x, a), (y, b), (z, c), (x, d), (y, e)).$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (\cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} A_B)$. It is readily checked that \mathcal{C} forms a set of blocks of the desired C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, g^t x^1)$ on $I_n \times X$ with group set $\{\{i\} \times X : i \in I_n\}$ and hole set $\{I_n \times G_i : 1 \leq i \leq t+1\}$. \square

Lemma 10 There exists a C_5 -GDD of type $5^t x^1$ if $x \in \{3, 7\}$ and $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof When $t = 2$, a C_5 -GDD of type $5^2 x^1$ exists by Lemma 2. When $(t, x) = (4, 3)$, we construct the required design on vertex set $X = I_{23}$ with group set $\{\{0, 4, 8, 12, 16\} + i : 0 \leq i \leq 3\} \cup \{\{20, 21, 22\}\}$. We list all the 42 blocks as follows.

(0, 18, 21, 9, 6),	(0, 10, 15, 1, 11),	(0, 7, 16, 1, 19),	(0, 5, 14, 3, 9),
(0, 13, 6, 20, 14),	(0, 15, 22, 16, 17),	(0, 20, 8, 10, 21),	(0, 22, 4, 19, 2),
(1, 4, 2, 7, 12),	(1, 20, 11, 22, 18),	(0, 3, 12, 22, 1),	(1, 14, 16, 9, 2),
(1, 21, 13, 11, 6),	(1, 7, 10, 19, 8),	(2, 21, 16, 18, 20),	(1, 10, 17, 4, 3),
(2, 11, 4, 18, 17),	(2, 8, 7, 18, 15),	(2, 12, 5, 3, 16),	(2, 22, 7, 14, 13),
(2, 3, 6, 16, 5),	(3, 22, 5, 6, 17),	(3, 10, 9, 4, 13),	(3, 18, 19, 5, 8),
(4, 14, 9, 19, 20),	(3, 20, 7, 4, 21),	(4, 10, 22, 17, 15),	(5, 15, 12, 6, 7),
(4, 6, 15, 20, 5),	(9, 15, 21, 14, 22),	(6, 8, 22, 19, 21),	(6, 19, 16, 13, 22),
(5, 10, 12, 17, 11),	(7, 13, 15, 8, 9),	(7, 17, 14, 12, 21),	(10, 13, 8, 17, 20),
(10, 16, 15, 14, 11),	(9, 20, 12, 18, 11),	(5, 21, 11, 8, 18),	(9, 12, 19, 13, 18),
(8, 21, 17, 19, 14),	(11, 16, 20, 13, 12).		

When $(t, x) = (4, 7)$, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $10^2 4^1$ by Lemma 2. Take three infinite points and fill in the groups of size ten with the above C_5 -GDD of type $5^2 3^1$ to obtain a C_5 -GDD of type $5^4 7^1$. When $t \geq 6$, by Lemma 1, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $10^{t/2}$. Take x infinite points and fill in the groups with a C_5 -GDD of type $5^2 x^1$ from Lemma 2 to obtain a C_5 -GDD of type $5^t x^1$. \square

Lemma 11 *There exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 5^t x^1)$ for any $n \geq 3$, $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $x \in \{3, 7\}$.*

Proof By Lemma 10, there is a C_5 -GDD of type $5^t x^1$. Apply Construction 9 to complete the proof. \square

Lemma 12 *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a C_5 -HGDD of type (n, g^t) for $g(t-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t(t-1)g^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$ and $t \geq 3$.*

Proof By Lemma 1, we have C_5 -GDD of type g^t . Apply Construction 9 to complete the proof. \square

3 Incomplete group divisible packings

A G -GDP of type $g^n(gt)^1$ is called an *incomplete group divisible packing* of type $g^{(n+t,t)}$ (briefly G -IGDP of type $g^{(n+t,t)}$), where the group of size gt is said to be the *hole*. The following construction is simple but very useful.

Construction 13 *If there exist a G -IGDP of type $g^{(n,t)}$ with leave L_1 and a G -GDP of type g^t with leave L_2 , then there exists a G -GDP of type g^n with leave $L_1 \cup L_2$.*

To present a construction for IGDPs, we shall introduce a special kind of IGDP. A G -IGDP of type $g^{(n,t)}$ is said to have the “star” property, denoted by G -IGDP* of type $g^{(n,t)}$, if the leave L of this IGDP forms a 1-factor of the points outside the hole. It is readily checked that a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(n,t)}$ has $\frac{(n(n-1)-t(t-1))g^2-g(n-t)}{2|E(G)|}$ blocks. Note that a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(n,0)}$ is actually a G -GDP of type g^n with leave F .

Example 14 *There exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $g^{(n,t)}$ for $(g, n, t) \in \{(5, 6, 2), (3, 18, 8), (7, 14, 4)\}$.*

Proof For $(g, n, t) = (5, 6, 2)$, the design is constructed on $Z_{20} \cup Y$ with group set $\{\{0, 4, 8, 12, 16\} + i : 0 \leq i \leq 3\} \cup \{Y\}$, where $Y = \{a, b, c, d, e\} \times Z_2$. All the 68 blocks can be obtained by developing the following base blocks by $+5 \pmod{20}$. For $(x, i) \in Y$, $(x, i) + 5 = (x, j)$, where $j \equiv i + 5 \pmod{2}$. The leave F is $\{\{i, 10 + i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 9\}$. We write x_i instead of (x, i) to save space.

$(0, c_0, 14, 5, 6),$	$(0, 11, 17, 4, 13),$	$(0, e_1, 4, 18, 19),$	$(1, d_0, 19, 17, a_1),$
$(0, 2, c_1, 1, 14),$	$(0, 15, c_0, 3, a_0),$	$(1, 2, b_1, 17, 18),$	$(1, 16, 18, a_0, 19),$
$(0, a_1, 2, d_1, 7),$	$(0, 3, 12, 18, e_0),$	$(1, b_0, 9, 14, a_0),$	$(2, c_0, 19, e_1, 17),$
$(0, d_0, 3, 5, b_1),$	$(1, c_0, 8, 13, e_0),$	$(1, 8, b_1, 13, d_1),$	$(2, 19, b_1, 11, e_1),$
$(0, d_1, 9, 6, 17).$			

For $(g, n, t) = (3, 18, 8)$, we construct the required design on $Z_{30} \cup Y$ with group set $\{\{0, 10, 20\} + i : 0 \leq i \leq 9\} \cup \{Y\}$, where $Y = \{a, b, c, d\} \times Z_6$. All the 222 blocks can be obtained by developing the following base blocks ($+10 \pmod{30}$ for the first fourteen base blocks and $+1 \pmod{30}$ for the last six base blocks). For $x_i \in Y$, $x_i + 1 = x_j$, where $j \equiv i + 1 \pmod{6}$. The leave F is $\{\{i, 15 + i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 14\}$.

$(0, 1, 28, 6, 14),$	$(0, 29, 7, 18, 19),$	$(0, 22, 14, 5, 8),$	$(0, 11, 19, 5, 13),$
$(0, 27, 6, 7, 21),$	$(1, 2, 3, 24, 23),$	$(1, 12, 9, 26, 15),$	$(1, 22, 8, 29, 18),$
$(2, 13, 10, 27, 16),$	$(1, 14, 15, 7, 4),$	$(5, 2, 15, 4, 18),$	$(5, 26, 29, 20, 6),$
$(4, 23, 7, 8, 17),$	$(3, 16, 13, 29, 12),$	$(0, a_0, 1, 7, b_0),$	$(2, a_0, 3, 5, b_0),$
$(4, a_0, 5, 9, b_0),$	$(0, c_0, 1, 13, d_0),$	$(2, c_0, 3, 10, d_0),$	$(5, c_0, 4, 9, d_0).$

For $(g, n, t) = (7, 14, 4)$, we construct the required design on $Z_{70} \cup Y$ with group set $\{\{0, 10, \dots, 60\} + i : 0 \leq i \leq 9\} \cup \{Y\}$, where $Y = \{a, b\} \times Z_{14}$. All the 826 blocks can be obtained by developing the following base blocks ($+1 \pmod{70}$ for the first eleven base blocks and $+10 \pmod{70}$ for the last eight base blocks). For $x_i \in Y$, $x_i + 1 = x_j$, where $j \equiv i + 1 \pmod{14}$. The leave F is $\{\{i, 35 + i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 34\}$.

(0, 56, a_0 , 63, 9),	(0, 41, 43, 62, 44),	(0, 59, 44, 12, 57),	(0, 12, 65, 22, 28),
(0, 62, a_7 , 25, b_0),	(0, 3, b_0 , 68, a_2),	(0, 65, 18, b_5 , 66),	(0, a_{13} , 35, a_4 , 24),
(0, 36, b_{13} , 51, b_7),	(0, a_5 , 49, b_1 , 37),	(0, a_3 , 8, 39, b_{10}),	(0, 48, 69, 62, 63),
(0, 69, 47, 48, 49),	(1, 49, 56, 57, 50),	(3, 51, 58, 65, 66),	(0, 22, 15, 64, 1),
(5, 26, 47, 54, 53),	(4, 53, 32, 31, 52),	(18, 66, 44, 45, 67).	

The following construction is a generalization of Construction 4.21 in [23]. It is a routine matter to check the “star” property.

Construction 15 Suppose a G -GDD of type $(gt_0)^1(gt_1)^{n_1}(gt_2)^{n_2}\dots(gt_q)^{n_q}$ exists. If there exists a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(t_i+e,e)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq q$, then there exists a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(n+e,t_0+e)}$, where $n = t_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q t_i n_i$. If further there exists a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(t_0+e,e)}$, then there exists a G -IGDP* of type $g^{(n+e,e)}$.

Now we apply Construction 15 to give some existence results of C_5 -IGDP*s.

Lemma 16 There exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $5^{(n,6)}$ for any $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 14$.

Proof By Lemma 1, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $20^{(n-2)/4}$. Apply Construction 15 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $5^{(6,2)}$ (from Example 14) to obtain a C_5 -IGDP* of type $5^{(n,6)}$. \square

Lemma 17 There exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(n,8)}$ for any $n \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$ and $n \geq 18$.

Proof For $n = 18$, by Example 14, there exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(18,8)}$. For $n = 28$, by Lemma 2, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $30^2 24^1$. Filling in the groups of size 30 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(10+0,0)}$, which is also a C_5 -GDP of type 3^{10} (from Lemma 19), we have a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(28,8)}$ by Construction 15. For $n \geq 38$, by Lemma 1, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $30^{(n-8)/10}$. Filling in the groups with the above C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(18,8)}$, we have a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(n,8)}$ by Construction 15. \square

Lemma 18 There exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(n,4)}$ for any $n \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ and $n \geq 14$.

Proof For $n = 14$, by Example 14, there exists a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(14,4)}$. For $n = 24$, by Lemma 2, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $70^2 28^1$. Filling in the groups of size 70 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(10+0,0)}$ (from Lemma 19), we have a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(24,4)}$ by Construction 15. For $n \geq 34$, by Lemma 1, there exists a C_5 -GDD of type $70^{(n-4)/10}$. Apply Construction 15 with the above C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(14,4)}$, we have a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(n,4)}$. \square

Remark: The results of Lemma 19 are used in Lemmas 17 and 18, respectively. Note that the constructions of Lemma 19 only need the conclusions of Lemmas 3 and 12.

4 Main result

To avoid confusion, in the proof of the following lemmas, we write $F(g, n)$ instead of F to distinguish different F for different g and n .

Lemma 19 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F for any $g \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$.*

Proof For $g = 1$, the conclusion holds by Lemma 3. For $g \geq 3$, by Lemma 8, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 1^g)$. Filling in the holes with a C_5 -MGDP of type 1^n with leave $F(1, n)$ (from Lemma 3), we have a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave $F(g, n)$ by Construction 6. \square

Lemma 20 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F for any $g \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$ and $n \geq 12$.*

Proof For $g = 1$, the conclusion holds by Lemma 3. For $g \geq 11$, by Lemma 8, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 1^g)$. Fill in the holes with a C_5 -MGDP of type 1^n with leave $F(1, n)$ (from Lemma 3) to obtain a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave $F(g, n)$ by Construction 6. \square

Lemma 21 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave F for any $n \in \{4, 6, 8\}$.*

Proof Let the vertex set be Z_{5n} and the group set $\{\{i, n+i, \dots, 4n+i\} : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$. For $n = 4$, all the blocks are listed below.

(0, 1, 7, 14, 3),	(0, 9, 4, 18, 19),	(0, 2, 4, 5, 6),	(0, 5, 7, 2, 11),
(0, 15, 2, 8, 17),	(0, 13, 4, 3, 18),	(0, 14, 4, 10, 7),	(1, 4, 19, 6, 3),
(1, 19, 2, 9, 6),	(1, 16, 18, 5, 10),	(1, 8, 13, 6, 11),	(2, 12, 5, 3, 16),
(4, 6, 8, 7, 17),	(1, 2, 17, 18, 12),	(3, 8, 14, 15, 9),	(1, 14, 17, 6, 15),
(3, 10, 15, 16, 13),	(2, 3, 12, 14, 13),	(4, 7, 9, 8, 11),	(5, 15, 17, 10, 11),
(5, 14, 19, 17, 16),	(5, 19, 9, 18, 8),	(6, 7, 16, 19, 12),	(7, 18, 15, 12, 13),
(8, 10, 19, 13, 15),	(9, 16, 14, 11, 12),	(9, 11, 18, 13, 10),	(10, 16, 11, 17, 12).

For $n = 6$, all the blocks can be obtained by developing the following base blocks by $+10 \pmod{30}$.

(0, 1, 2, 3, 4),	(0, 2, 4, 1, 3),	(0, 5, 1, 6, 7),	(0, 8, 1, 9, 10),
(0, 9, 2, 5, 13),	(0, 11, 1, 10, 26),	(0, 14, 1, 12, 17),	(0, 19, 2, 6, 22),
(0, 23, 1, 15, 25),	(0, 27, 1, 17, 28),	(1, 18, 2, 13, 22),	(1, 24, 2, 15, 26),
(1, 28, 2, 25, 29),	(2, 12, 7, 3, 29),	(3, 5, 4, 6, 8),	(3, 6, 5, 7, 14),
(3, 13, 4, 7, 16),	(3, 17, 4, 8, 19),	(3, 26, 4, 14, 28),	(4, 9, 6, 15, 29),
(4, 15, 7, 8, 25),	(5, 8, 9, 7, 28),	(6, 16, 8, 28, 19),	(6, 17, 27, 19, 29).

For $n = 8$, all the blocks can be obtained by developing the following base blocks by $+5 \pmod{40}$.

(0, 1, 2, 3, 4),	(0, 2, 4, 1, 3),	(0, 5, 1, 6, 12),	(0, 6, 2, 5, 14),
(0, 7, 2, 8, 10),	(0, 11, 1, 8, 13),	(0, 15, 1, 10, 27),	(0, 18, 1, 12, 19),
(0, 21, 2, 11, 23),	(0, 22, 3, 6, 28),	(0, 29, 1, 14, 33),	(0, 34, 1, 16, 39),
(1, 19, 2, 12, 27),	(1, 28, 2, 13, 39),	(2, 14, 3, 7, 29),	(2, 33, 3, 9, 39),
(3, 18, 9, 14, 39).			

The leave is $\{\{0, 10\}, \{1, 18\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 17\}, \{4, 15\}, \{6, 16\}, \{7, 12\}, \{8, 19\}, \{9, 14\}, \{11, 13\}\}$ for $n = 4$, and the leave is $\{\{i, 5n/2+i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 5n/2-1\}$ for $n \in \{6, 8\}$. \square

Lemma 22 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F for any $g \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $n \geq 4$.*

Proof For $(g, n) \in \{(5, 4), (5, 6), (5, 8)\}$, we construct the required C_5 -MGDPs directly in Lemma 21.

For $(g, n) = (5, 10)$, by Lemma 8, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(10, 1^5)$. Apply Construction 6 with a C_5 -MGDP of type 1^{10} with leave $F(1, 10)$ from Lemma 3 to obtain a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^{10} with leave $F(5, 10)$.

For $g = 5$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 12$, start from a C_5 -GDD of type $20^{n/4}$, which exists by Lemma 1. Apply Construction 15 with a C_5 -IGDP*

of type $5^{(4,0)}$ (which is the above C_5 -MGDP of type 5^4 with leave $F(5, 4)$) to obtain a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave $F(5, n)$.

For $g = 5$, $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 14$, apply Construction 13 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $5^{(n,6)}$ (from Lemma 16) and a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^6 with leave $F(5, 6)$ to obtain a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave $F(5, n)$.

For $g \geq 15$, by Lemma 12, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 5^{g/5})$. Filling in the holes with the above C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave $F(5, n)$, we have a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave $F(g, n)$ by Construction 6. \square

Lemma 23 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F for any $g \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ and $n \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$.*

Proof For $(g, n) = (3, 8)$, we construct a C_5 -MGDP of type 3^8 with leave $F(3, 8)$ on Z_{24} , where the group set is $\{\{i, 8+i, 16+i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 7\}$. All the blocks can be obtained by developing $(0, 1, 3, 6, 10)$ and $(0, 5, 11, 4, 13)$ by $+1 \pmod{24}$. Here the leave is $\{\{i, 12+i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 11\}$.

For $g = 3$, $n \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$ and $n \geq 18$, applying Construction 13 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $3^{(n,8)}$ from Lemma 17 and the above C_5 -MGDP of type 3^8 with leave $F(3, 8)$, we have a C_5 -MGDP of type 3^n with leave $F(3, n)$.

When $g \geq 13$, let $g = 5t+3$. Then $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By Lemma 11, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 5^t 3^1)$. Filling in the holes with a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave $F(5, n)$ from Lemma 22 and a C_5 -MGDP of type 3^n with leave $F(3, n)$, we have a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave $F(g, n)$ by Construction 6. \square

Lemma 24 *There exists a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave F for any $g \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$.*

Proof For $(g, n) = (7, 4)$, we construct a C_5 -MGDP of type 7^4 with leave $F(7, 4)$ on Z_{28} , where the group set is $\{\{0, 4, \dots, 24\} + i : 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$. All the blocks can be obtained by developing the following eight base blocks by $+4 \pmod{28}$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0, 1, 2, 3, 5), & (0, 9, 2, 8, 19), & (0, 6, 1, 7, 10), & (0, 17, 26, 7, 18), \\ (0, 2, 4, 1, 3), & (0, 7, 2, 5, 15), & (0, 13, 3, 10, 21), & (0, 23, 1, 14, 27). \end{array}$$

Here the leave is $\{\{i, 14+i\} : 0 \leq i \leq 13\}$.

For $g = 7$, $n \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ and $n \geq 14$, applying Construction 13 with a C_5 -IGDP* of type $7^{(n,4)}$ from Lemma 18 and the above C_5 -MGDP

of type 7^4 with leave $F(7, 4)$, we have a C_5 -MGDP of type 7^n with leave $F(7, n)$.

When $g \geq 17$, let $g = 5t + 7$. Clearly, $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By Lemma 11, there exists a C_5 -HGDD of type $(n, 5^t 7^1)$. Filling in the holes with a C_5 -MGDP of type 5^n with leave $F(5, n)$ from Lemma 22 and a C_5 -MGDP of type 7^n with leave $F(7, n)$, we have a C_5 -MGDP of type g^n with leave $F(g, n)$ by Construction 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 5: The conclusion follows from Lemmas 19-24.

References

- [1] E. J. Billington, N. J. Cavenagh and B. R. Smith, *Path and cycle decompositions of complete equipartite graphs: 4 parts*. Disc. Math. 309(2009), 3061-3073.
- [2] E. J. Billington, N. J. Cavenagh and B. R. Smith, *Path and cycle decompositions of complete equipartite graphs: 3 and 5 parts*. Disc. Math. 310(2010), 241-254.
- [3] E. J. Billington, H. L. Fu and C. A. Rodger, *Packing complete multipartite graphs with 4-cycles*. J. Combin. Des. 9(2001), 107-127.
- [4] E. J. Billington, H. L. Fu and C. A. Rodger, *Packing λ -fold complete multipartite graphs with 4-cycles*. Graphs Combin. 21(2005), 169-185.
- [5] E. J. Billington, D. G. Hoffman and B. M. Maenhaut, *Group divisible pentagon systems*. Utilitas Math. 55(1999), 211-219.
- [6] E. J. Billington, C. C. Lindner, *Maximum packings of uniform group divisible triple systems*. J. Combin. Des. 4(1996), 397-404.
- [7] M. Buratti, H. Cao, D. Dai and T. Traetta, *A complete solution to the existence of (k, λ) -cycle frames of type g^u* . J. Combin. Des. 25(2017), 197-230.
- [8] N. J. Cavenagh, *Decompositions of complete tripartite graphs into k -cycles*. Australas. J. Combin. 18(1998), 193-200.
- [9] N. J. Cavenagh, E. J. Billington, *Decomposition of complete multipartite graphs into cycles of even length*. Graphs Combin. 16(2000), 49-65.
- [10] N. J. Cavenagh, E. J. Billington, *On decomposing complete tripartite graphs into 5-cycles*. Australasian J. Combin. 22(2000), 41-62.

- [11] H. L. Fu, M. H. Huang, *Packing balanced complete multipartite graphs with hexagons*. Ars Combin. 71 (2004), 49-64.
- [12] H. Hanani, *Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs*. Disc. Math. 11(1975), 255-369.
- [13] X. Hu, Y. Chang and T. Feng, *Group divisible packings and coverings with any minimum leave and minimum excess*. Graphs Combin. 32(2016), 1423-1446.
- [14] M. H. Huang, C. M. Fu and H. L. Fu, *Packing 5-cycles into balanced complete m -partite graphs for odd m* . J. Comb. Optim. 14(2007), 323-329.
- [15] R. S. Manikandan, P. Paulraja, *C_p -decompositions of some regular graphs*. Disc. Math. 306(2006), 429-451.
- [16] R. S. Manikandan, P. Paulraja, *C_5 -decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs*. Australas. J. Combin. 37(2007), 285-294.
- [17] A. Muthusamy, A. Shanmuga Vadivu, *Cycle frames of complete multipartite multigraphs-III*. J. Combin. Des. 22(2014), 473-487.
- [18] A. Rosa, S. Znám, *Packing pentagons into complete graphs: how clumsy can you get*. Disc. Math. 128(1994), 305-316.
- [19] B. R. Smith, *Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into cycles of length $2p$* . J. Combin. Des. 16(2008), 244-252.
- [20] B. R. Smith, *Complete equipartite $3p$ -cycle systems*. Australas. J. Combin. 45(2009), 125-138.
- [21] B. R. Smith, *Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into odd square-length cycles: number of parts odd*. J. Combin. Des. 18(2010), 401-414.
- [22] B. R. Smith, N. J. Cavenagh, *Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into odd square-length cycles: number of parts even*. Disc. Math. 312(2012), 1611-1622.
- [23] L. Wang, Y. Chang, *Determination of sizes of optimal three-dimensional optical orthogonal codes of weight three with the AM-OPP restriction*. J. Combin. Des. 25(2017), 310-334.
- [24] R. Wei, *Group divisible designs with equal-sized holes*. Ars Combin. 35(1993), 315-323.
- [25] J. Yin, *Packing designs with equal-sized holes*. J. Stat. Plan. Infer. 94(2001), 393-403.