Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 122: 3–11 [DOI:10.61091/jcmcc122-01](http://dx.doi.org/10.61091/jcmcc122-01) <http://www.combinatorialpress.com/jcmcc> Received 09 April 2024, Accepted 16 September 2024, Published 30 September 2024



*Article*

# **Cyclic Decompositions of** *λKn* **into LWO Graphs**

## Derek W. Hein<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Southern Utah University, Department of Mathematics, Cedar City, UT, 84720.

\* **Correspondence:** hein@suu.edu

**Abstract:** In this paper, we identify LWO graphs, find the minimum  $\lambda$  for decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  into these graphs, and show that for all viable values of  $\lambda$ , the necessary conditions are sufficient for LWO–decompositions using cyclic decompositions from base graphs.

**Keywords:** cyclic graph decompositions, LWO graph

### **1. Introduction**

Decompositions of graphs into subgraphs is a well–known classical problem. For an excellent survey on graph decompositions, see [\[1\]](#page-7-0). Recently, several people including Chan [\[4\]](#page-7-1), El–Zanati, Lapchinda, Tangsupphathawat and Wannasit [\[5\]](#page-7-2), Hein [\[6](#page-7-3)[–9\]](#page-7-4), Hurd [\[13\]](#page-7-5), Malick [\[14\]](#page-7-6), Sarvate [\[10–](#page-7-7)[12\]](#page-7-8), Winter [\[16,](#page-7-9) [17\]](#page-8-0) and Zhang [\[18\]](#page-8-1) have results on decomposing  $\lambda K_n$  into multigraphs. In fact, similar decompositions have been attempted earlier in various papers (see [\[15\]](#page-7-10)). Ternary designs also provide such decompositions (see [\[2,](#page-7-11) [3\]](#page-7-12)).

Hein  $[6-9]$  $[6-9]$  showed how to decompose  $\lambda K_n$  into LO, LE, LW, OW, LOW and OLW graphs. In this paper's extension of the previous work, we show how to decompose  $\lambda K_n$  into LWO graphs. Though the main technique used is to construct appropriate base graphs and to develop them cyclically, an additional approach is needed in this type of decomposition.

### **2. Preliminaries**

For simplicity of notation, we use the "alphabetic labeling" used in  $[6-12, 16-18]$  $[6-12, 16-18]$  $[6-12, 16-18]$  $[6-12, 16-18]$ :

**Definition 1.** An LWO graph *(denoted*  $[a, b, c, d]$ *) on*  $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$  *is a graph with* 7 edges *where the frequencies of edges*  $\{a, b\}$ *,*  $\{b, c\}$  *and*  $\{c, d\}$  *are 1, 4 and 2 (respectively).* 



**Definition 2.** For positive integers  $n \geq 4$  and  $\lambda \geq 4$ , an LWO–decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  (denoted  $LWO(n, \lambda)$ ) is a collection of LWO graphs such that the multiunion of their edge sets contains *λ copies of all edges in a Kn.*

One of the powerful techniques to construct combinatorial designs is based on *difference sets* and *difference families* (see [\[19\]](#page-8-2) for details). This technique is modified to achieve our decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  — in general, we exhibit the *base graphs*, which can be developed to obtain the decomposition.

**Example 1.** *Considering the set of points to be*  $V = \mathbb{Z}_5$ *, the LWO base graphs*  $(0, 1, 2, 4)$  *and*  $[0, 2, 4, 3]$  when developed modulo 5 constitute an  $LWO(5, 7)$ .



We note that special attention is needed with base graphs containing the "dummy element"  $\infty$ . The non– $\infty$  elements are developed, while  $\infty$  is simply rewritten each time.

**Example 2.** Considering the set of points to be  $V = \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup \{ \infty \}$ , the LWO base graphs  $[0, 1, 2, \infty)$ *and*  $(0, \infty, 1, 2)$  *when developed modulo 3 constitute an LWO* $(4, 7)$ *.* 



#### **3. LWO–Decompositions**

We first address the minimum values of  $\lambda$  in an LWO( $n, \lambda$ ). Recall that  $\lambda \geq 4$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.** Let  $n \geq 4$ . The minimum values of  $\lambda$  for which an LWO( $n, \lambda$ ) could exist are  $\lambda = 4$  when  $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $\lambda = 7$  when  $n \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{7}$ .

*Proof.* Since there are  $\frac{\lambda n(n-1)}{2}$  edges in a  $\lambda K_n$ , and 7 edges in an LWO graph, we must have that  $\lambda n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$  (where  $n \geq 4$  and  $\lambda \geq 4$ ) for LWO–decompositions. The result follows from cases on *n* (mod 14).  $\square$ 

We are now in a position to prove the main claim of the paper. We first remark that an LWO graph has 4 vertices; that is, we consider  $n \geq 4$ . We use difference sets to achieve our decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$ . In general, we exhibit the base graphs, which can be developed (modulo either *n* or  $n - 1$ ) to obtain the decomposition. We also note that the frequency of the edges is fixed by position, as per the LWO graph.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 2.** The minimum number copies of  $K_n$  as given in Theorem [1](#page-1-0) can be decomposed *into LWO graphs.*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 4$ . We proceed by cases on *n* (mod 14).

If  $n = 14t$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t-1} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*, 4) is  $\frac{4(14t)(14t-1)}{14} = 4t(14t-1)$ . Thus, we need 4*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t* − 1). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t - 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t - 1$ . For the first four base graphs, we use  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 3t, 6t - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 3t + 1, 6t \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 3t + 2, 6t \rrbracket$  and  $\int \infty$ *,* 0*,* 3*t* + 3*,* 6*t* + 1 $\vert$ *)*. We also use the 4*t*−4 base graphs  $\int \{0, 1, 3t + 5, 6t + 2\}$ ,  $\int \{0, 1, 3t + 6, 6t + 3\}$ ,  $(0, 1, 3t+7, 6t+3), (0, 1, 3t+8, 6t+4), (0, 2, 3t+10, 6t+5), (0, 2, 3t+11, 6t+6), (0, 2, 3t+12, 6t+6),$  $(0, 2, 3t + 13, 6t + 7), \ldots, (0, t - 1, 8t - 5, 9t - 4), (0, t - 1, 8t - 4, 9t - 3), (0, t - 1, 8t - 3, 9t - 3)$ and  $[0, t - 1, 8t - 2, 9t - 2]$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(14t, 4) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 1$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+1}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+1, 4) is  $\frac{4(14t+1)(14t)}{14} = 4t(14t+1)$ . Thus, we need 4*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t*+1). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t$ . We use the base graphs

 $(0, 1, 3t + 2, 6t + 2), (0, 1, 3t + 3, 6t + 3), (0, 1, 3t + 4, 6t + 3), (0, 1, 3t + 5, 6t + 4), (0, 2, 3t + 7, 6t + 5),$  $(0, 2, 3t + 8, 6t + 6), (0, 2, 3t + 9, 6t + 6), (0, 2, 3t + 10, 6t + 7), \ldots, (0, t, 8t - 3, 9t - 1), (0, t, 8t - 2, 9t),$  $[0, t, 8t - 1, 9t]$  and  $[0, t, 8t, 9t + 1]$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 1, 4) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 2$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+1} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 2, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+2)(14t+1)}{14} = (7t+1)(14t+1)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+1$  base graphs (modulo 14 $t+1$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t+1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t$ . For the first two base graphs, we use  $(0, \infty, 1, 7t + 1)$  and  $(0, 7t, 14t, \infty)$ . We also use the  $7t - 1$ base graphs  $(0, 1, 2, 7t + 1)$ ,  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 2)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 3)$ , ...,  $(0, 7t - 3, 14t - 6, 14t - 3)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4, 14t - 2)$  and  $(0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2, 14t - 1)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14t + 2*,* 7) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 3$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+3}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 3, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+3)(14t+2)}{14} = (7t+1)(14t+3)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+1$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 3$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 3$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 1$ . For the first three base graphs, we use  $(0, 7t + 1, 7t + 2, 14t + 2)$ ,  $(0, 7t, 14t + 1, 14t + 2)$  and  $[0, 1, 7t + 1, 14t + 2]$ . We also use the  $7t - 2$  base graphs  $[0, 2, 4, 7t + 3]$ ,  $[0, 3, 6, 7t + 4]$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t+5), \ldots, (0, 7t-3, 14t-6, 14t-2), (0, 7t-2, 14t-4, 14t-1)$  and  $(0, 7t-1, 14t-2, 14t)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 3, 7)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 4$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+3} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+4, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+4)(14t+3)}{14} = (7t+2)(14t+3)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+2$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 3$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 3$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 1$ . For the first two base graphs, we use  $(0, \infty, 1, 7t + 2)$  and  $(0, 7t + 1, 14t + 2, \infty)$ . We also use the 7t base graphs  $(0, 1, 2, 7t + 2), (0, 2, 4, 7t + 3), (0, 3, 6, 7t + 4), \ldots, (0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4, 14t - 1),$  $(0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2, 14t)$  and  $(0, 7t, 14t, 14t + 1)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 4*,* 7) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 5$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+5}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 5, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+5)(14t+4)}{14} = (7t+2)(14t+5)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+2$  base graphs (modulo 14*t* + 5). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 5$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 2$ . When  $t = 0$  (that is, when  $n = 5$ ), we use the base graphs  $(0, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $(1, 0, 2, 4)$ . When  $t \ge 1$  (that is, when  $n \geq 19$ , we use the base graphs  $(0, 7t + 2, 7t + 3, 14t + 4)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 1, 14t + 3, 14t + 4)$ and  $[0, 1, 7t + 2, 14t + 4]$  as well as the  $7t - 1$  base graphs  $[0, 2, 4, 7t + 4]$ ,  $[0, 3, 6, 7t + 5]$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t + 6), \ldots, (0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4, 14t), (0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2, 14t + 1)$  and  $(0, 7t, 14t, 14t + 2)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 5, 7)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 6$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+5} \cup \{\infty\}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+6, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+6)(14t+5)}{14} = (7t+3)(14t+5)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+3$  base graphs (modulo  $14t+5$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t+5$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t+2$ . For the first two base graphs, we use  $(0, \infty, 1, 7t+3)$  and  $(0, 7t+2, 14t+4, \infty)$ . We also use the  $7t+1$ base graph(s)  $(0, 1, 2, 7t+3), (0, 2, 4, 7t+4), \ldots, (0, 7t, 14t, 14t+2)$  and  $(0, 7t+1, 14t+2, 14t+3)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 6, 7)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 7$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+6} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+7, 4) is  $\frac{4(14t+7)(14t+6)}{14} = (4t+2)(14t+6)$ . Thus, we need  $4t+2$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 6$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 6$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 3$ . For the first two base graphs, we use  $[0, 7t+3, 14t+5, \infty)$  and  $[0, 7t+3, 14t+4, \infty)$ . We also use the 4t base graphs  $(0, 1, 5t + 1, 10t + 2)$ ,  $(0, 1, 5t, 10t + 1)$ ,  $(0, 1, 5t - 1, 10t + 1)$ ,  $(0, 1, 5t - 2, 10t)$ ,  $(0, 2, 5t-2, 10t+1), (0, 2, 5t-3, 10t), (0, 2, 5t-4, 10t), (0, 2, 5t-5, 10t-1), \ldots, (0, t, 2t+4, 9t+3),$  $[0, t, 2t + 3, 9t + 2], [0, t, 2t + 2, 9t + 2]$  and  $[0, t, 2t + 1, 9t + 1]$  if necessary. Hence, in this case,  $LWO(14t + 7, 4)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 8$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+8}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 8, 4) is  $\frac{4(14t+8)(14t+7)}{14} = (4t+2)(14t+8)$ . Thus, we need  $4t+2$  base graphs (modulo 14*t* + 8). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 8$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 4$ . When

 $t = 0$  (that is, when  $n = 8$ ), we use the base graphs  $(0, 4, 5, 2)$  and  $(0, 4, 6, 3)$ . When  $t \ge 1$  (that is, when  $n \ge 22$ , we use the base graphs  $(0, 7t + 4, 7t + 5, 7t + 8)$  and  $(0, 7t + 4, 7t + 6, 7t + 9)$ as well as  $(0, 7t + 3, 13t + 6, 13t + 10)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 3, 13t + 5, 13t + 9)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 3, 13t + 4, 13t + 9)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 3, 13t + 3, 13t + 8)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 2, 13t + 1, 13t + 7)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 2, 13t, 13t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 2, 13t 1, 13t + 6$ ,  $(0, 7t + 2, 13t - 2, 13t + 5)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(0, 6t + 4, 8t + 11, 10t + 13)$ ,  $(0, 6t + 4, 8t + 10, 10t + 12)$ .  $(0, 6t + 4, 8t + 9, 10t + 12)$  and  $(0, 6t + 4, 8t + 8, 10t + 11)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 8*,* 4) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 9$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+9}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 9, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+9)(14t+8)}{14} = (7t+4)(14t+9)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+4$  base graphs (modulo 14*t*+9). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t+9$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t+4$ . We use the base graphs  $(0, 7t+4, 7t+5, 14t+8)$ ,  $(0, 7t+3, 14t+7, 14t+8)$  and  $(0, 1, 7t+4, 14t+8)$  as well as  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 7)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t + 8)$ , ...,  $(0, 7t, 14t, 14t + 14)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 1, 14t + 2, 14t + 5)$ and  $(0, 7t + 2, 14t + 4, 14t + 6)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 9, 7) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 10$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+9} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 10, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+10)(14t+9)}{14} = (7t+5)(14t+9)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+5$ base graphs (modulo  $14t + 9$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 9$ ) are 1, 2, . . . , 7*t* + 4. For the first five base graphs, we use  $(0, 7t + 4, ∞, 1)$ ,  $(∞, 0, 7t + 4, 14t + 8)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 3, 7t + 4, 14t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 2, 14t + 5, 14t + 6)$  and  $(0, 1, 7t + 3, 14t + 6)$ . We also use the 7t base graphs  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 5)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t + 7)$ , ...,  $(0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2, 14t + 2)$ ,  $(0, 7t, 14t, 14t+3)$  and  $(0, 7t+1, 14t+2, 14t+4)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t+10, 7)$ exists.

If  $n = 14t + 11$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+11}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 11, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+11)(14t+10)}{14} = (7t+5)(14t+11)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+5$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 11$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 11$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 5$ . For the first three base graphs, we use  $(0, 7t + 5, 7t + 6, 14t + 10)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 4, 14t + 9, 14t + 10)$ and  $(0, 1, 7t + 5, 14t + 10)$ . We also use the  $7t + 2$  base graphs  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 7)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 8)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t+9), \ldots, (0, 7t+1, 14t+2, 14t+6), (0, 7t+2, 14t+4, 14t+7)$  and  $(0, 7t+3, 14t+6, 14t+8)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 11, 7)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 12$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+11} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 12, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+12)(14t+11)}{14} = (7t+6)(14t+11)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+6$ base graphs (modulo  $14t + 11$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 11$ ) are 1, 2, . . . , 7*t* + 5. For the first two base graphs, we use  $(0, 7t+5, ∞, 1)$  and  $(∞, 0, 7t+5, 14t+10)$ . We also use the  $7t + 4$  base graphs  $(0, 1, 2, 7t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 7)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 8)$ , ...,  $(0, 7t +$  $2, 14t + 4, 14t + 7$ ,  $(0, 7t + 3, 14t + 6, 14t + 8)$  and  $(0, 7t + 4, 14t + 8, 14t + 9)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 12, 7)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 13$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+13}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 13, 7) is  $\frac{7(14t+13)(14t+12)}{14} = (7t+6)(14t+13)$ . Thus, we need  $7t+6$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 13$ ). Then, the differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 13$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 6$ . For the first three base graphs, we use  $(0, 7t + 6, 7t + 7, 14t + 12)$ ,  $(0, 7t + 5, 14t + 11, 14t + 12)$ and  $(0, 1, 7t + 6, 14t + 12)$ . We also use the  $7t + 3$  base graphs  $(0, 2, 4, 7t + 8)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, 7t + 9)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t + 10), \ldots, (0, 7t + 2, 14t + 4, 14t + 8), (0, 7t + 3, 14t + 6, 14t + 9)$  and  $(0, 7t + 4, 14t + 8, 14t + 10)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14t + 13, 7) exists. 8*,*  $14t + 10$ . Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t + 13, 7)$  exists.

We now address the sufficiency of existence of  $LWO(n, \lambda)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 3.** Let  $n \geq 4$  and  $\lambda \geq 4$ . For existence of LWO( $n, \lambda$ ), the necessary condition for *n is that*  $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{7}$  *when*  $\lambda \neq 0 \pmod{7}$ *. There is no condition for n when*  $\lambda \equiv 0$ (mod 7)*.*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem [1,](#page-1-0) but by cases on  $\lambda$  (mod 14). □

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 1.** *There exists an LWO*( $n$ , 4) *for necessary*  $n > 4$ *.* 

*Proof.* From Theorem [3,](#page-3-0) the necessary condition is  $n \equiv 0, 1, 7, 8 \pmod{14}$ . In these cases, LWO( $n, 4$ ) exists from Theorem [2.](#page-1-1) □

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Lemma 2.** *There does not exist an*  $LWO(n, 5)$ *.*

*Proof.* The only edge frequencies in an LWO graph are 1, 2 and 4. The only ways to write  $\lambda = 5$ as a sum of 1s, 2s and 4s are as  $5 = 4+1$ ,  $5 = 2+2+1$ ,  $5 = 2+1+1+1$  and  $5 = 1+1+1+1+1$ . In an  $LWO(n, 5)$ , the number of times each edge needs to occur with frequency 4 is always the same as the number of times it needs to occur with frequency 1. Every other way to realize  $\lambda = 5$  using edge frequencies of 2 will contribute at least one more unmatched edge frequency of 1. Thus, such a decomposition is not possible.  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**Lemma 3.** *There exists an LWO*(*n, 6) for necessary*  $n \geq 4$ *.*

*Proof.* From Theorem [3,](#page-3-0) the necessary condition is  $n \equiv 0, 1, 7, 8 \pmod{14}$ .

If  $n = 14t$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t-1} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*, 6) is  $\frac{6(14t)(14t-1)}{14} = 6t(14t-1)$ . Thus, we need 6*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t* − 1). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t - 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t - 1$ . For the first six base graphs, we use  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2 \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4 \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket \infty, 0, 7t - 3, 14t - 6 \rrbracket$ ,  $\langle \infty, 0, 7t-4, 14t-8 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \infty, 0, 7t-5, 14t-10 \rangle$  and  $\langle \infty, 0, 7t-6, 14t-12 \rangle$ . We also use the 6*t*−6 base graphs  $(0, 1, 7t - 6, 14t - 13)$ ,  $(0, 1, 7t - 7, 14t - 15)$ ,  $(0, 1, 7t - 8, 14t - 17)$ ,  $(0, 1, 7t - 9, 14t - 19)$ ,  $(0, 1, 7t-10, 14t-21), (0, 1, 7t-11, 14t-23), \ldots, (0, t-1, 2t+4, 3t+9), (0, t-1, 2t+3, 3t+7),$  $(0, t-1, 2t+2, 3t+5)$ ,  $(0, t-1, 2t+1, 3t+3)$ ,  $(0, t-1, 2t, 3t+1)$  and  $(0, t-1, 2t-1, 3t-1)$ if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t,* 6) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 1$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+1}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+1, 6) is  $\frac{6(14t+1)(14t)}{14} = 6t(14t+1)$ . Thus, we need 6*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t*+1). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t$ . We use the base graphs  $(0, 1, 7t + 1, 14t), (0, 1, 7t, 14t), (0, 1, 7t - 1, 14t - 3), (0, 1, 7t - 2, 14t - 5), (0, 1, 7t - 3, 14t - 7),$  $(0, 1, 7t-4, 14t-9), \ldots, (0, t, 2t+6, 3t+12), (0, t, 2t+5, 3t+10), (0, t, 2t+4, 3t+8), (0, t, 2t+7),$ 3,  $3t+6$ ,  $(0, t, 2t+2, 3t+4)$  and  $(0, t, 2t+1, 3t+2)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14t+1, 6) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 7$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+6} \cup \{\infty\}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 7, 6) is  $\frac{6(14t+7)(14t+6)}{14} = (6t+3)(14t+6)$ . Thus, we need  $6t+3$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 6$ ). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 6$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 3$ . When  $t = 0$  (that is, when  $n = 7$ ), we use the base graphs  $(0, 3, \infty, 1)$ ,  $(0, 3, 4, 2)$  and  $(0, 3, 5, 4)$ . When  $t > 1$  (that is, when  $n > 21$ ), for the first three base graphs we use  $(0, 7t + 3, \infty, 1)$ ,  $[0, 7t + 3, 7t + 4, 13t + 6]$  and  $[0, 7t + 3, 7t + 5, 13t + 6]$ . We also use the 6*t* base graphs  $(0, 7t+2, 7t+5, 13t+5), (0, 7t+2, 7t+6, 13t+5), (0, 7t+2, 7t+7, 13t+5), (0, 7t+2, 7t+8, 13t+5),$  $(0, 7t + 2, 7t + 9, 13t + 5), (0, 7t + 2, 7t + 10, 13t + 5), \ldots, (0, 6t + 3, 12t, 12t + 6), (0, 6t + 3, 12t +$  $1, 12t + 6$ ,  $(0, 6t + 3, 12t + 2, 12t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 6t + 3, 12t + 3, 12t + 6)$ ,  $(0, 6t + 3, 12t + 4, 12t + 6)$ and  $(0, 6t + 3, 12t + 5, 12t + 6)$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 7, 6) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 8$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+8}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t* + 8, 6) is  $\frac{6(14t+8)(14t+7)}{14} = (6t+3)(14t+8)$ . Thus, we need  $6t+3$  base graphs (modulo  $14t + 8$ ). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 8$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t + 4$ . When  $t = 0$  (that is, when  $n = 8$ ), we use the base graphs  $(0, 4, 5, 2)$ ,  $(0, 4, 7, 5)$  and  $(0, 4, 6, 7)$ . When  $t \geq 1$  (that is, when  $n \geq 22$ ), for the first three base graphs we use  $(0, 7t + 4, 7t + 5, 13t + 8)$ ,  $[0, 7t + 4, 7t + 6, 13t + 8]$  and  $[0, 7t + 4, 7t + 7, 13t + 8]$ . We also use the 6*t* base graphs  $(0, 7t+3, 7t+7, 13t+7), (0, 7t+3, 7t+8, 13t+7), (0, 7t+3, 7t+9, 13t+7), (0, 7t+3, 7t+10, 13t+7),$  $(0, 7t + 3, 7t + 11, 13t + 7), (0, 7t + 3, 7t + 12, 13t + 7), \ldots, (0, 6t + 4, 12t + 2, 12t + 8), (0, 6t + 1, 13t + 7), (0, 1, 1$ 4,  $12t+3$ ,  $12t+8$ ,  $(0, 6t+4, 12t+4, 12t+8)$ ,  $(0, 6t+4, 12t+5, 12t+8)$ ,  $(0, 6t+4, 12t+6, 12t+8)$ <br>and  $(0, 6t+4, 12t+7, 12t+8)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO $(14t+8, 6)$  exists. and  $(0, 6t + 4, 12t + 7, 12t + 8)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t* + 8*,* 6) exists.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 4.** *There exists an LWO(n, 7) for any*  $n > 4$ *.* 

If  $n = 2t + 1$  (for  $t \ge 2$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{2t+1}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(2*t* + 1, 7) is  $\frac{7(2t+1)(2t)}{14} = t(2t+1)$ . Thus, we need *t* base graphs (modulo 2*t* + 1). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $2t + 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, t$ . When  $t = 2$  (that is, when  $n = 5$ ), we use the base graphs  $(0, 1, 2, 4)$  and  $(0, 2, 4, 3)$ . When  $t \geq 3$  (that is, when  $n \geq 7$ ), for the first three base graphs we use  $(0, t, 2t - 1, 2t)$ ,  $(0, 1, t + 1, 2t)$  and  $(0, t - 1, t, 2t)$ . We also use the  $t-3$  base graphs  $(0, 2, 4, t+2)$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, t+3)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, t+4)$ , . . .,  $(0, t-4, 2t-8, 2t-4)$ ,  $[0, t-3, 2t-6, 2t-3]$  and  $[0, t-2, 2t-4, 2t-2]$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(2*t*+1, 7) exists.

If  $n = 2t$  (for  $t \geq 2$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{2t-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(2*t*, 7) is  $\frac{7(2t)(2t-1)}{14} = t(2t-1)$ . Thus, we need *t* base graphs (modulo 2*t* − 1). The differences we must achieve (modulo 2*t*−1) are 1*,* 2*, . . . , t*−1. For the first two base graphs, we use  $[0, t-1, ∞, t]$  and  $[∞, 0, t-1, 2t-2]$ . We also use the  $t-2$  base graphs  $[0, 1, 2, t]$ ,  $[0, 2, 4, t+1]$ ,  $(0, 3, 6, t + 2), \ldots, (0, t - 4, 2t - 8, 2t - 5), (0, t - 3, 2t - 6, 2t - 4)$  and  $(0, t - 2, 2t - 4, 2t - 3)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(2t, 7) exists. necessary. Hence, in this case,  $LWO(2t, 7)$  exists.

The following examples play important roles in this paper.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Example 3.** The LWO graphs  $(1, 2, 6, 4)$ ,  $(1, 3, 5, 7)$ ,  $(1, 4, 2, 5)$ ,  $(1, 7, 2, 5)$ ,  $(2, 3, 4, 5)$ ,  $(2, 5, 1, 4)$ ,  $(2, 5, 7, 6)$ ,  $(2, 7, 1, 5)$ ,  $(3, 6, 4, 7)$ ,  $(4, 2, 1, 3)$ ,  $(4, 3, 2, 1)$ ,  $(4, 5, 6, 7)$ ,  $(4, 6, 7, 5)$ ,  $(4, 7, 2, 5)$ ,  $(5, 1, 6, 3)$ ,  $(5, 2, 6, 1)$ ,  $(5, 3, 1, 4)$ ,  $(6, 1, 7, 4)$ ,  $(6, 2, 3, 4)$ ,  $(6, 3, 7, 4)$ ,  $(6, 3, 7, 4)$ ,  $(6, 4, 1, 1)$ 5,  $(6, 4, 2, 1)$ ,  $(6, 5, 4, 3)$ ,  $(7, 3, 6, 1)$ ,  $(7, 5, 3, 1)$  and  $(7, 6, 5, 4)$  constitute an example of an *LWO*(7,9) *with point set*  $V = \{1, ..., 7\}$ .

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Example 4.** The LWO graphs  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ ,  $(1, 3, 4, 6)$ ,  $(1, 5, 2, 6)$ ,  $(1, 6, 8, 2)$ ,  $(1, 7, 2, 8)$ ,  $(2, 5, 7, 8)$ ,  $(2, 6, 1, 4)$ ,  $(2, 6, 4, 8)$ ,  $(3, 2, 4, 1)$ ,  $(3, 7, 1, 4)$ ,  $(3, 8, 5, 6)$ ,  $(4, 1, 5, 7)$ ,  $(4, 2, 1, 3)$ ,  $(4, 2, 3, 6)$ ,  $(4, 2, 8, 1)$ ,  $(4, 3, 5, 6)$ ,  $(4, 5, 1, 6)$ ,  $(4, 5, 3, 6)$ ,  $(4, 5, 6, 7)$ ,  $(4, 6, 3, 7)$ ,  $(5, 3, 1, 4)$ ,  $(5, 6, 7, 6)$  $\{8\}, \{5, 8, 1, 6\}, \{6, 2, 5, 4\}, \{6, 3, 7, 2\}, \{6, 7, 8, 1\}, \{6, 8, 5, 7\}, \{7, 2, 1, 3\}, \{7, 3, 8, 4\}, \{7, 3, 8, 6\},$  $(7, 4, 8, 6)$ ,  $(7, 5, 4, 3)$ ,  $(8, 1, 7, 6)$ ,  $(8, 2, 6, 4)$ ,  $(8, 4, 7, 2)$  and  $(8, 7, 4, 2)$  constitute an example *of an LWO*(8*,9*) *with point set*  $V = \{1, ..., 8\}$ *.* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Example 5.** The LWO graphs  $[a, 1, b, 2]$ ,  $[a, 2, b, 3]$ ,  $[a, 3, b, 4]$ ,  $[a, 4, b, 6]$ ,  $[a, 5, b, 4]$ ,  $[a, 7, b, 6]$ ,  $(b, 2, a, 4)$ ,  $(b, 3, a, 4)$ ,  $(b, 4, a, 3)$ ,  $(b, 5, a, 7)$ ,  $(b, 6, a, 5)$ ,  $(b, 7, a, 6)$ ,  $(1, b, 7, a)$ ,  $(3, a, 1, b)$ ,  $(3, a, 2, b)$ ,  $(3, b, 5, a)$ ,  $(3, b, 6, a)$  and  $(6, a, 1, b)$  constitute an example of an LWO–decomposition *of* 9*K*{*a,b*}*,*{1*,*2*,*3*,*4*,*5*,*6*,*7}*.*

Sarvate, Winter and Zhang [\[16,](#page-7-9)[17\]](#page-8-0) have obtained several results on such multigraph decompositions of bipartite graphs.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Lemma 5.** *There exists an LWO*(*n, 9*) *for necessary*  $n > 4$ *.* 

*Proof.* From Theorem [3,](#page-3-0) the necessary condition is  $n \equiv 0, 1, 7, 8 \pmod{14}$ .

If  $n = 14t$  (for  $t \geq 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t-1} \cup {\infty}$ ). The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*, 9) is  $\frac{9(14t)(14t-1)}{14} = 9t(14t-1)$ . Thus, we need 9*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t*−1). The differences we must achieve (modulo 14*t*−1) are 1*,* 2*, . . . ,* 7*t*−1. For the first nine base graphs, we use  $(0, \infty, 1, 7t)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 1, 14t - 3, \infty)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4, \infty)$ ,  $(0, 1, 2, 7t + 1)$ ,  $(0, 7t-1, 14t-4, 14t-3), (0, 7t-3, 14t-6, 14t-5), (0, 2, 4, 7t+3), (0, 7t-1, 14t-5, 14t-3)$ and  $[0, 7t - 4, 14t - 8, 14t - 6]$ . We also use the  $9t - 9$  base graphs  $[0, 3, 6, 7t + 1]$ ,  $[0, 7t - 1]$  $5, 14t-11, 14t-8$ ,  $(0, 7t-6, 14t-12, 14t-9)$ ,  $(0, 4, 8, 7t+3)$ ,  $(0, 7t-5, 14t-12, 14t-8)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 7, 14t - 14, 14t - 10)$ ,  $(0, 5, 10, 7t + 5)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 5, 14t - 13, 14t - 8)$ ,  $(0, 7t - 8, 14t - 14, 14t - 16)$  $16, 14t-11$ , ...,  $(0, 3t-3, 6t-6, 9t-3)$ ,  $(0, 3t+3, 6t+5, 9t+2)$ ,  $(0, 3t+2, 6t+4, 9t+1)$ ,  $(0, 3t-2, 6t-4, 9t-1), (0, 3t+3, 6t+4, 9t+2), (0, 3t+1, 6t+2, 9t), (0, 3t-1, 6t-2, 9t+1),$ 

 $(0, 3t + 3, 6t + 3, 9t + 2)$  and  $(0, 3t, 6t, 9t - 1)$  if necessary. Hence, in this case, LWO(14*t*, 9) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 1$  (for  $t \ge 1$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\mathbb{Z}_{14t+1}$ . The number of graphs required for LWO(14*t*+1,9) is  $\frac{9(14t+1)(14t)}{14} = 9t(14t+1)$ . Thus, we need 9*t* base graphs (modulo 14*t*+1). The differences we must achieve (modulo  $14t + 1$ ) are  $1, 2, \ldots, 7t$ . We use the base graphs  $(0, 1, 2, 7t + 2), (0, 7t, 14t - 1, 14t), (0, 7t - 1, 14t - 2, 14t - 1), (0, 2, 4, 7t + 4), (0, 7t, 14t - 2, 14t),$  $(0, 7t - 2, 14t - 4, 14t - 2), (0, 3, 6, 7t + 6), (0, 7t, 14t - 3, 14t), (0, 7t - 3, 14t - 6, 14t - 3),$  $(0, 4, 8, 7t + 4), (0, 7t - 4, 14t - 9, 14t - 5), (0, 7t - 5, 14t - 10, 14t - 6), (0, 5, 10, 7t + 6), (0, 7t - 10, 14t - 10)$  $4,14t-10,14t-5$ ,  $(0,7t-6,14t-12,14t-7)$ ,  $(0,6,12,7t+8)$ ,  $(0,7t-4,14t-11,14t-5)$ ,  $(0, 7t-7, 14t-14, 14t-8), \ldots, (0, 3t-2, 6t-4, 9t), (0, 3t+4, 6t+7, 9t+5), (0, 3t+3, 6t+6, 9t+4),$  $(0, 3t-1, 6t-2, 9t+2), (0, 3t+4, 6t+6, 9t+5), (0, 3t+2, 6t+4, 9t+3), (0, 3t, 6t, 9t+4),$  $[0, 3t + 4, 6t + 5, 9t + 5]$  and  $[0, 3t + 1, 6t + 2, 9t + 2]$ . Hence, in this case, LWO(14t+1, 9) exists.

If  $n = 14t + 7$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{14t}, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_7\}$ . To obtain an LWO(14*t* + 7, 9), we use an LWO(14*t*, 9) on  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{14t}\}$  (given two cases above) if necessary, an LWO(7,9) on  $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_7\}$  (as in Example [3\)](#page-5-0), and an LWO–decomposition of  $9K_{\{a_{2i-1},a_{2i}\},\{b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4,b_5,b_6,b_7\}}$  for all  $i=1,2,\ldots,7t$  (as in Example [5\)](#page-5-1) if necessary. Hence, in this case,  $LWO(14t + 7, 9)$  exists.

If  $n = 14t + 8$  (for  $t \ge 0$ ), we consider the set *V* as  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_8, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{14t}\}$ . To obtain an LWO(8 + 14*t*, 9), we use an LWO(8, 9) on  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_8\}$  (as in Example [4\)](#page-5-2), an LWO(14*t*, 9) on  $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{14t}\}$  (given three cases above) if necessary, and an LWO–decomposition of  $9K_{\{a_{2i-1},a_{2i}\},\{b_{7j-6},b_{7j-5},b_{7j-4},b_{7j-3},b_{7j-2},b_{7j-1},b_{7j}\}}$  for all  $i=1,2,3,4$  and for all  $j=1,2,\ldots,2t$ (as in Example [5\)](#page-5-1) if necessary. Hence, in this case,  $LWO(14t + 8, 9)$  exists. □

**Theorem 4.** An  $LWO(n, \lambda)$  exists for all  $\lambda \geq 4$  except  $\lambda = 5$  (according to Lemma [2\)](#page-4-0), for *corresponding necessary*  $n \geq 4$ *.* 

*Proof.* We proceed by cases on  $\lambda$  (mod 7).

For  $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t$  for  $t > 1$ ), by taking t copies of an LWO(*n*, 7) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1), we have an  $LWO(n, 7t)$ .

For  $\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 1 = 7(t - 1) + 8$  for  $t > 1$ ), we first take two copies of an LWO(*n*, 4) (given in Lemma [1\)](#page-3-1). (This gives us  $\lambda = 8$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to  $t - 1$ copies of an LWO(*n*, 7) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1) if necessary. Hence, we have an LWO(*n*,  $7t + 1$ ).

For  $\lambda \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 2 = 7(t-1) + 9$  for  $t \ge 1$ ), we first take an LWO(*n*, 9) (given in Lemma [5\)](#page-5-3). (This gives us  $\lambda = 9$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to  $t - 1$  copies of an LWO(*n*, 7) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1) if necessary. Hence, we have an LWO(*n*,  $7t + 2$ ).

For  $\lambda \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 3 = 7(t-1) + 10$  for  $t > 1$ ), we first take an LWO(*n*, 4) (given in Lemma [1\)](#page-3-1) and an LWO(*n*, 6) (given in Lemma [3\)](#page-4-2). (This gives us  $\lambda = 10$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to  $t-1$  copies of an LWO( $n, 7$ ) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1) if necessary. Hence, we have an  $LWO(n, 7t + 3)$ .

For  $\lambda \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 4$  for  $t \ge 0$ ), we first take an LWO(*n*, 4) (given in Lemma [1\)](#page-3-1). (This gives us  $\lambda = 4$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to *t* copies of an LWO(*n*, 7) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1) if necessary. Hence, we have an  $LWO(n, 7t + 4)$ .

For  $\lambda \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 5 = 7(t - 1) + 12$  for  $t > 1$ ), we first take two copies of an LWO( $n, 6$ ) (given in Lemma [3\)](#page-4-2). (This gives us  $\lambda = 12$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to  $t-1$ copies of an LWO( $n$ ,  $7$ ) (given in Lemma [4\)](#page-4-1) if necessary. Hence, we have an LWO( $n$ ,  $7t + 5$ ).

For  $\lambda \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$  (so that  $\lambda = 7t + 6$  for  $t \ge 0$ ), we first take an LWO(*n*, 6) (given in Lemma [3\)](#page-4-2). (This gives us  $\lambda = 6$  thus far.) We then adjoin this to *t* copies of an LWO(*n*, 7)  $(\text{given in Lemma 4})$  if necessary. Hence, we have an  $LWO(n, 7t + 6)$ .

## **4. Conclusion**

We have identified LWO graphs, found the minimum  $\lambda$  for decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  into these graphs, and shown that for all viable values of  $\lambda$ , the necessary conditions are sufficient for LWO–decompositions.

We leave it as an open problem to find decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  into the (unnamed) graphs  $\Leftrightarrow$ .

#### **Conflict of interest**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

r

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Adams, P., Bryant, D. and Buchanan, M., 2008. A survey on the existence of G-designs. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs, 16* (5), pp.373-410.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>2. Billington, E.J., 1984. Balanced n-ary designs: a combinatorial survey and some new results. *Ars Combinatoria, 17,* pp.37-72.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>3. Billington, E.J., 1989. Designs with repeated elements in blocks: a survey and some recent results. *Congressus Numerantium, 68*, pp.123-146.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>4. Chan, H. and Sarvate, D.G., 2012. Stanton graph decompositions. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl, 64*, pp.21-29.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>5. El–Zanati, S., Lapchinda, W., Tangsupphathawat, P. and Wannasit, W., 2013. The spectrum for the Stanton 3–cycle, *Bulletin of the ICA, 69*, pp.79–88.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>6. Hein, D. W., 2017. A new construction for decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  into LE graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 100*, pp.37–43.
- 7. Hein, D. W., 2019. Decompositions of *λK<sup>n</sup>* into LOW and OLW graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* (2019), accepted.
- 8. Hein, D. W. 2017. Decompositions of *λK<sup>n</sup>* into LW and OW graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 102*, pp.63–75.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>9. Hein, D. W., 2017. Generalized Stanton–type graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.,101*, pp.59–71.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>10. Hein, D. W. and Sarvate, D. G., 2016. Decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  into LEO and ELO graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 98*, pp.125–137.
- 11. Hein, D. W. and Sarvate, D. G., 2015. Decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  into  $S(4,3)$ 's, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 94*, pp.3–14.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>12. Hein, D. W. and Sarvate, D. G., 2014. Decompositions of  $\lambda K_n$  using Stanton–type graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 90*, pp.185–195.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>13. Hurd, S. P. and Sarvate, D. G., 2016. Graph decompositions of *K*(*v, λ*) into modified triangles using Langford and Skolem sequences, *Ars Combin., 129*, pp.403–416.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>14. Malick, S. and Sarvate, D. G., 2013. Decomposition of  $\lambda K_v$  into multigraphs with four vertices and five edges, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 86*, pp.221—237.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>15. Priesler, M. and Tarsi, M., 2005. Multigraph decomposition into stars and into multistars. *Discrete mathematics, 296* (2-3), pp.235-244.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>16. Sarvate, D. G., Winter, P. A. and Zhang, L., 2016. A fundamental theorem of multigraph decomposition of a  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ , *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 96, pp.201–216.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>17. Sarvate, D. G., Winter, P. A. and Zhang, L., 2016. Decomposition of a  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  into graphs on four vertices and five edges, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 98*, pp.139–150.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>18. Sarvate, D.G. and Zhang, L., 2017. Decompositions of lambda Kn into LOE and OLE Graphs. *Ars Combinatoria, 133*, pp.93-105.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>19. Stinson, D.R., 2008. Combinatorial designs: constructions and analysis. *ACM SIGACT News, 39* (4), pp.17-21.



©2024 the Author(s), licensee Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)