Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 122: 91–105 [DOI:10.61091/jcmcc122-07](http://dx.doi.org/10.61091/jcmcc122-07) <http://www.combinatorialpress.com/jcmcc> Received 11 May 2024, Accepted 16 Septermber 2024, Published 30 September 2024

Article

On *δ* (*k*) **-colouring of Some Wheel Related Graphs**

Merlin Thomas Ellumkalayil¹ **, Sudev Naduvath**¹*,*[∗] **, and Sabitha Jose**¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Christ University, Bangalore-560029, India

* **Correspondence:** sudev.nk@christuniversity.in

Abstract: The question on how to colour a graph *G* when the number of available colours to colour *G* is less than that of the chromatic number $\chi(G)$, such that the resulting colouring gives a minimum number of edges whose end vertices have the same colour, has been a study of great interest. Such an edge whose end vertices receives the same colour is called a bad edge. In this paper, we use the concept of $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, where $1 \leq k \leq \chi(G) - 1$, which is a near proper colouring that permits a single colour class to have adjacency between the vertices in it and restricts every other colour class to be an independent set, to find the minimum number of bad edges obtained from the same for some wheel related graphs. The minimum number of bad edges obtained from $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of any graph *G* is denoted by $b_k(G)$.

Keywords: Improper colouring, Near proper colouring, $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, Bad edges

1. Introduction

For all terms and definitions, not defined specifically in this paper, we refer to [\[1–](#page-13-0)[3\]](#page-13-1) and for graph classes, we refer to [\[4,](#page-13-2)[5\]](#page-13-3). Further, for the terminology of graph colouring, see $[6-8]$ $[6-8]$. Unless mentioned otherwise, all graphs considered here are undirected, simple, finite and connected.

A graph *G* is (*k, t*)-colourable if the vertices of *G* can be coloured with *k* colours such that each vertex is adjacent to at most *t* vertices of same colour as itself. This concept of defective colouring or seldom called as improper or relaxed colouring was introduced in [\[9\]](#page-14-0). Substantial research findings have been done so far as part of variations in the concept of improper colourings. Interested readers can also refer to some recent studies seen in [\[10,](#page-14-1) [12\]](#page-14-2). A new variation in proper colouring called as near proper colouring is discussed in [\[11\]](#page-14-3). A *near proper colouring* is a colouring that minimises the number of bad edges by permitting few colour classes to have adjacency between the elements in it. An edge is a *bad edge* if it is joint by two vertices which receive a same colour. Further, a particular case of near proper colouring, called the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, is introduced (see [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Few interesting and forthcoming studies on the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of various graph classes can be seen in [\[13–](#page-14-4)[16\]](#page-14-5).

Definition 1. The $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is a near proper colouring of *G* consisting of *k* given colours where $1 \leq k \leq \chi(G) - 1$, which minimises the number of bad edges by permitting at most one colour class to have adjacency among the vertices in it.

The minimum number of bad edges obtained from $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of *G* is denoted by $b_k(G)$. Throughout the paper, the *k* colours considered are c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k and their respective colour classes are $C_1, C_2, \ldots C_k$. We consider the colour class C_1 to be the relaxed colour class, without loss of generality. Now, when $k = 1$, $b_k(G) = |E(G)|$. On account of this, we consider k to be $2 \leq k \leq \chi(G) - 1$ and do not consider the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of bipartite graphs as well. In this paper, the bad edges of the graphs are represented by dotted lines.

2. On the *δ* (*k*) **-Colouring of Wheel Related Graphs**

This section aims at determining a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring and its corresponding $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a few graph classes generated from a wheel graph. A $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of wheel graph $W_{1,n}$ and helm graph $H_{1,n}$ have already been discussed in Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, [\[11\]](#page-14-3). Now, the below results focuses on determining a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring and the minimum number of monochromatic edges resulting from a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of some more wheel related graphs. Note that, since a wheel-related graph has wheel graph as a subgraph, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a wheel-related graph is greater than or equal to the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a wheel graph.

A $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of a closed helm graph and the number of monochromatic edges obtained from the same are discussed in the following theorem.

Definition 2. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) A *closed helm graph*, denoted by $CH_{1,n}$, is a graph obtained by joining the pendant vertices of a helm graph

The vertices u_i 's; $1 \leq i \leq n$ and v_i 's; $0 \leq i \leq n$ considered in the below result are as per Definition [2.](#page-1-0)

Theorem 1. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a closed helm graph $CH_{1,n}$ *is*

$$
b_k(CH_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3, & \text{if } n \ge 5 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. When *n* is even, χ ($CH_{1,n}$) = 3 and when *n* is odd, χ ($CH_{1,n}$) = 4. Hence, when *n* is even *k* is 2 and when *n* is odd *k* is 2 and 3. Now, $CH_{1,n}$ has $W_{1,n}$ as its subgraph and hence $b_k(CH_{1,n}) \geq b_k(W_{1,n})$. Below are the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings of $CH_{1,n}$ for different values of *n* and *k*.

- 1) Let *n* be even and $k = 2$. When *n* is even, $b_2(W_{1,n}) = \frac{n}{2}$ (see Proposition 2.5, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Since *n* is even, the outer cycle formed by connecting $u_i u_{i+1}$ can be properly coloured with $k = 2$ cycle. Thus, when *n* is even, $b_2(CH_{1,n}) = \frac{n}{2}$ (see Figure [1b](#page-2-0) for illustration).
- 2) Let *n* be odd and $k = 2$. The minimum number of monochromatic edges obtained from a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of $W_{1,n}$, when *n* is odd and $k = 2$, is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ + 1. The outer odd cycle of $CH_{1,n}$ when coloured with $k = 2$ gives one more monochromatic edge. There is one more edge that is connected by an end vertex of the monochromatic edge in the rim of wheel subgraph and an end vertex of the monochromatic edge in outer cycle of $CH_{1,n}$. Thus, when *n* is even, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of $CH_{1,n}$ is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ + 3 (see Figure [1a](#page-2-0) for illustration).
- 3) Let *n* be odd and $k = 3$. When *n* is odd $b_3(W_{1,n}) = 1$. Now, the outer cycle of a $CH_{1,n}$ can be properly coloured with $k = 3$ colours. Thus, when *n* is odd $b_3(CH_{1,n}) = 1$

□

The following result discusses a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring and the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a web graph.

Definition 3. [\[18\]](#page-14-7) A *web graph*, denoted by $Wb_{1,n}$, is the graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge to each vertex of the outer cycle of the closed helm graph $CH_{1,n}$.

It can be noted that, the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered in the below discussion are as per Definition [3.](#page-1-1)

Figure 1. A $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of Closed Helm Graph

Theorem 2. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of web graph $Wb_{1,n}$ is

$$
b_k(Wb_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 3, & \text{if } n \ge 5 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof of $Wb_{1,n}$ for different parities of *n* and different values of *k* is the same as that of the proof of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of $CH_{1,n}$ and $b_k(Wb_{1,n}) = b_k(CH_{1,n})$ (see Theorem [1\)](#page-1-2). This is because, web graph has *n* additional pendant vertices attached to each of the vertices of the outer cycle of $CH_{1,n}$, which can be properly coloured with colours other than the colour assigned to its adjacent vertex. \Box

Definition 4. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) A *double wheel graph*, denoted by $DW_{1,n}$, is obtained from $CH_{1,n}$ by adding the vertices u_i ; where $1 \leq i \leq n$ of $CH_{1,n}$ to its central vertex v_0 , or in other words a *double-wheel graph*, denoted by $DW_{1,n}$, is the graph obtained by connecting the vertices of two (disjoint) cycles each of size *n* to a common vertex called central vertex. That is, $DW_{1,n} := 2C_n + K_1$.

It is to be noted that, the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered in the below discussion is as per Definition [4.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 3. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a double wheel graph $DW_{1,n}$ *is*

$$
b_k(DW_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ 2(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1), & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let c_i be the available *i* colours with their respective colour classes C_i ; $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let v_i and u_i ; $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the vertices of the two disjoint cycles of $DW_{1,n}$ and let v_0 be the central vertex. Now, v_0 is a universal vertex and hence if any colour other than the colour c_1 , say c_2 or c_3 , is assigned to it, then no other v_i 's or u_i 's can be assigned the same colour to satisfy the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. In each of the following cases different possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are explained.

1) Let *n* be even and $k = 2$. As mentioned above two different possible $\delta^{(2)}$ -colourings for this case are discussed. The first one is as follows. Assign the colour c_2 to the vertex *v*₀. Now, none of the remaining vertices can be assigned the colour c_2 and since $k = 2$,

every vertex of the two cycles are assigned the colour c_1 to meet the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. This colouring will yield 2*n* number of monochromatic edges in $DW_{1,n}$ (*n* monochromatic edges in each of the two cycles). Thus, when *n* is even, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number resulting from this colouring is 2*n*.

In the second $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring, assign the colour c_1 to the vertex v_0 and the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n are properly coloured with two colours c_1 and c_2 alternatively. This colouring will provide a scenario where there exists no monochromatic edges in the cycle. The vertices u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n of the outer cycle can also be coloured properly with $k = 2$ colours. Thus, the monochromatic edges in this case will arise from the vertices of both the cycles that are assigned the colour c_1 incident on the central vertex v_0 , where $c(v_0) = c_1$. There are each of $\frac{n}{2}$ number of vertices that receive the colour c_1 in both the cycles. Thus, there are *n* monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring. When both the above discussed $\delta^{(2)}$ -colourings are compared, it can be observed that the second gives the minimum possible number of monochromatic edges. Thus, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of $DW_{1,n}$ is *n*, when *n* is even (see Figure [2b](#page-4-0) for illustration).

- 2) Let *n* be odd and $k = 2$. The above-mentioned two $\delta^{(2)}$ -colourings can be followed in this case as well. As explained above the first $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring will give rise to 2*n* monochromatic edges. Thus, a detailed discussion on the second $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring is given as follows. For the second $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring, as explained in the second $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring of Case 1, the colour c_1 is assigned to the vertex v_0 . The vertices of the two cycles are coloured with the two colours c_1 and c_2 alternatively. The $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of odd cycles is 1 (see Proposition 2.3, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Here, both the cycles will have each of one monochromatic edge. Since *n* is odd and $k = 2$, both the cycles will have $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices that receive the colour c_1 , adjacent to the vertex v_0 . This results in $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between each of the cycles and v_0 . Thus, the total number of monochromatic edges obtained from the $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring in this case is $2(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$ $\frac{n}{2}$ + 1). Hence, when both the possible $\delta^{(2)}$ -colourings mentioned are compared, $b_2(DW_{1,n}) = 2(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$ $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$), when *n* is odd (see Figure [2a](#page-4-0) for illustration).
- 3) Let *n* be odd and $k = 3$. Since there are two odd cycles of length *n*, both can be properly coloured with three colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 . However, as v_0 is a universal vertex, it cannot be assigned any colours other than c_1 . Thus, between the two cycles and v_0 there can be a minimum of one monochromatic edge each. Hence, there are a total of two monochromatic edges obtained from this $\delta^{(3)}$ -colouring. Now, if the vertex v_0 is given the colour other than c_1 , say c_3 , then the vertices v_i 's and u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, of both the cycles are coloured with the colours c_1 and c_2 . This colouring will provide one monochromatic edge in each of the odd cycles (see Proposition 2.3, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Thus, this $\delta^{(3)}$ -colouring will also result in total of two monochromatic edges in $DW_{1,n}$. Hence, the $\delta^{(3)}$ -defect number of $DW_{1,n}$ is 2, when *n* is odd.

$$
\Box
$$

The minimum number of monochromatic edges resulting from a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of $F_{1,n}$ is discussed in the following theorem. Since $\chi(F_{1,n}) = 3$, when *n* is even and is 4, when *n* is odd, the two values that *k* can take are 2 and 3. A $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring for the different parities of *n* and distinct values of *k* is discussed in the following theorem.

Definition 5. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) A *flower graph*, $F_{1,n}$ is a graph obtained from a helm graph $H_{1,n}$ by joining each of its pendant vertices u_i 's to its central vertex v_0 .

Note that, the vertices u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and v_i 's, where $0 \leq i \leq n$, considered in the below discussion are as per Definition [5.](#page-3-0)

Figure 2. A $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring of Double Wheel Graph

Theorem 4. For a flower graph $F_{1,n}$, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number is

$$
b_k(F_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ n+1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let v_0 be the central vertex and let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n be the rim vertices of the rim. Let u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n be the pendant vertices corresponding to v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n of the rim respectively.

A common $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring irrespective of the parity of *n* and $k = 2$ is as follows. As explained in Theorem [3,](#page-2-2) since v_0 is a universal vertex, if $c(v_0) = c_2$, then the colour c_2 cannot be assigned to any of the remaining vertices. Hence, c_1 is assigned to every other vertex to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring graphs and this will yield *n* monochromatic edges in the rim and *n* monochromatic edges between the cycle and the pendant vertices. Thus, when $k = 2$, the total monochromatic edges obtained from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is 2*n*.

Now, other possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for different parities of *n* and different values of *k* are discussed as below.

- 1) Let *n* be even and $k = 2$. As mentioned in the above $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, the number of monochromatic edges obtained from the same is 2*n*. Now, the other possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is investigated as follows. Assign the colour c_1 to v_0 and v_i 's; $1 \leq i \leq n$ are properly assigned the colours c_1 and c_2 alternatively. No u_i 's are adjacent to each other and hence they result in no monochromatic edges. Also, no u_i 's, adjacent to their corresponding v_i 's, are given the same colour as that of $c(v_i)$. This results in a situation where there are no monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's. The only monochromatic edges obtained from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is between v_0 and v_i 's and v_0 and u_i 's respectively. Now, there are $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices among v_i 's and u_i 's that receive the colour c_1 and this results in $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges each. Thus, when *n* is even, $b_2(F_{1,n}) = n$, when both the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared (see Figure [3b](#page-5-0) for illustration).
- 2) Let *n* be odd and $k = 2$. A common $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring explained above result in 2*n* monochromatic edges. A different $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring that results in monochromatic edges less than 2*n* is as follows. Let $c(v_0) = c_1$. Since *n* is odd, assigning the colours c_1 and c_2 alternatively to v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ will results in one monochromatic edge v_1v_n . Between v_i 's and v_0 there are $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices that receive the colour c_1 which will cause $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between the same. Now, u_i 's are assigned the colours in such a way that it does not

receive the colour of its corresponding v_i . Assign the colour c_2 to the vertex u_1, c_1 to the vertex u_2 and so on the vertex u_{n-1} is assigned the colour c_2 . Since, $c(v_n) = c_1$, u_n can be coloured with c_2 . Thus, there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices among the *n* u_i 's that receive the colour c_1 . These vertices adjacent to v_0 will give rise to $\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges. Thus, there are a minimum of $n+1$ monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring. Hence, when both the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared, $b_2(F_{1,n}) = n + 1$, when *n* is odd.

3) Let *n* be odd and $k = 3$. Assign the colour c_3 to v_0 . Since v_0 is a universal vertex, none of the remaining vertices can be given the colour c_3 . The colour c_1 and c_2 are assigned to v_i 's alternatively. Since n is odd, colouring the odd cycle with two colours will result in one monochromatic edge in the cycle. Now, u_i 's are also coloured with the colours c_1 and c_2 as explained in Case 2. This will result in no monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's and also no monochromatic edges between v_0 . Thus, when *n* is odd, $b_3(F_{1,n}) = 1$ (see Figure [3a](#page-5-0) for illustration).

Figure 3. A $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring of Flower Graph

The below-mentioned theorem discusses a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of and the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of flower graph $F_{1,n}$.

Definition 6. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) The *sunflower graph*, denoted by $SF_{1,n}$, is obtained by attaching *n* number of vertices u_i ; $1 \leq i \leq n$ corresponding to each v_i , where $1 \leq i \leq n$, of $W_{1,n}$ such that each u_i is adjacent to v_i and v_{i+1} .

It is to be noted that, the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered in the below discussion are as per Definition [6.](#page-5-1)

Theorem 5. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of the sunflower graph $SF_{1,n}$ *is*

$$
b_k(SF_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ n, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let v_i 's, where $1 \le i \le n$, be the rim vertices and v_0 be the central vertex of the wheel subgraph of $SF_{1,n}$. Let u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the corresponding vertices of v_i . The different possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for $SF_{1,n}$ are discussed as follows.

Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing Volume 122, 91–105

□

On *δ* (*k*) -colouring of Some Wheel Related Graphs 97

- 1) Let *n* be even and $k = 2$. When $k = 2$, there can be two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for different parities of *n* among which one $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is same irrespective of the parity of *n*. The first $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is as follows. Assign the colour c_1 to the vertex v_1 , the colour c_2 to the vertex v_2 , c_1 to the vertex v_3 and so on the colour c_2 can be assigned to the vertex v_n . This colouring will cause a situation where there exists no monochromatic edges in the rim. Now, v_0 is assigned the colour c_1 and this will result in $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges in the wheel subgraph of $SF_{1,n}$. Every u_i has to be assigned the colour c_1 to satisfy the necessary conditions of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs which results in *n* monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, when *n* is even and $k = 2$, there are a total of $\frac{3n}{2}$ monochromatic edges obtained from the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring.
- 2) Let *n* be odd and $k = 2$. As explained in Case 1, when *n* is odd and $k = 2$, there can be two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings. The first $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is as explained below. Assign the colours c_1 and c_2 to the rim vertices of $W_{1,n}$ and the colour c_1 is assigned to v_0 . This colouring will give rise to $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between v_0 and v_i , where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and one monochromatic edge v_1v_n in the rim. Now, u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, are assigned the colours in following manner. The colour c_1 is assigned to the vertices u_1 to u_{n-1} and u_n can be assigned the colour c_1 or c_2 . In this particular case as it is adjacent to v_1 and v_n which is given the colour c_1 , to minimise the number of monochromatic edges, assign the colour c_2 is assigned to the vertex u_n . This colouring will yield a situation where there are $n-1$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, there are a total of $\frac{3n+1}{2}$ monochromatic edges obtained from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring when *n* is odd and $k=2$.

The second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring which is common for both the parities of *n* and $k = 2$ is discussed below. In $SF_{1,n}$, the central vertex v_0 is adjacent to all v_i , where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and all u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ are independent. Hence, v_0 and u_i 's; $1 \leq i \leq n$, can be assigned the colour c_2 and v_i 's; $1 \leq i \leq n$, can be assigned the colour c_1 . The monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is only from the rim vertices of the wheel graph in $SF_{1,n}$. Thus, $b_2(SF_{1n})$ is *n*.

Thus, when both the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared, it can be seen that, the second $\delta^{(k)}$ colouring gives a minimum of *n* monochromatic edges, when *k* = 2 and irrespective of parity of *n*. Hence, $b_2(SF_{1,n})$ is *n* (see Figure [4a](#page-7-0) and Figure [4b](#page-7-0) for illustration).

- 3) Let *n* be odd and $k = 3$. The following explanation aims at discussing a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of $SF_{1,n}$, when *n* is odd and $k = 3$. As explained above, since the vertex v_0 and u_i are not adjacent to each other and since u_i 's are independent, a same colour can be given to these vertices. Since $k = 3$, assign the colour say c_3 to the vertices v_0 and u_i . Now, v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, must be coloured with c_1 and c_2 only to meet the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Colour the rim of the wheel subgraph alternatively with the colours c_1 and c_2 . This will result in a monochromatic edge v_1v_n . Hence, when *n* is odd and $k = 3$, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of $SF_{1,n}$ is 1.
	- □

Below-mentioned theorem investigates the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a closed sunflower graph $CSF_{1,n}$, for different parities of *n* and values of *k*.

Definition 7. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) A *closed sunflower graph* $CSF_{1,n}$, is obtained by adding the edge u_iu_{i+1} of the sunflower graph.

Note that, in the below discussion the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered are as per Definition [7.](#page-6-0)

Figure 4. A $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring of Sunflower Graph

Theorem 6. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a closed sunflower graph $CSF_{1,n}$ *is*

$$
b_k(CSF_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} \frac{3n}{2}, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 3, \\ \frac{5n-3}{2}, & \text{if } n \le 7 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 2(n+1), & \text{if } n \ge 7 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ \frac{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $\chi(CSF_{1,n}) = 4$ for any *n*, the value of *k* can be 3 or 2. The different cases for different parities of *n* and values of *k* are explained as follows.

- 1) Let $k = 2$ and *n* be even. The first colouring for this case is colouring the even cycle properly with $k = 2$ colours and then assigning v_0 and the remaining u_i 's the colour c_1 to meet the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Thus, there are $\frac{n}{2}$ and *n* monochromatic edges between v_0 and v_i 's and v_0 and u_i 's, where $1 \le i \le n$, respectively. Now, there are *n* monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, when *n* is even and $k = 2$, there are $\frac{n}{2} + n + n = \frac{5n}{2}$ monochromatic edges obtained from this *δ*^(*k*)-colouring of *CSF*_{1*,n*}. The second *δ*^(*k*)-colouring is where *v*₀ and the independent $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices of u_i 's are assigned the colour c_2 and the remaining v_i 's are assigned the colour c_1 . This colouring will give rise to situation where there are *n* monochromatic edges in the rim and *n* monochromatic edges between v_i 's and u_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, when *n* is even and $k = 2$, there are a total of 2*n* monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of $CSF_{1,n}$. Thus, when the two $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared the latter gives the minimum monochromatic edges. Hence, when *n* is even and $k = 2$, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of $CSF_{1,n}$ is $\frac{3n}{2}$ (see Figure [5b](#page-9-0) for illustration).
- 2) Let $k = 3$ and *n* be even. It can be noted that, when *n* is even, $\chi(W_{1,n})$ is 3 and hence the wheel subgraph of $CSF_{1,n}$ can be properly coloured with three colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 as follows. Assign the colour c_3 to the vertex v_0 and remaining v_i 's can be properly coloured with c_1 and c_2 alternatively. Now, u_i 's must be coloured using only two colours c_1 and c_3 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Thus, alternatively assign the colours c_1 and c_3 to u_i 's. This colouring to a situation where there will be $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, when *n* is odd and *k* is 3, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of $CSF_{1,n}$ is $\frac{n}{2}$.

On *δ*^(*k*)-colouring of Some Wheel Related Graphs 99

- 3) Let $k = 2$ and *n* be odd. There are two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings that are separately discussed below.
	- a) Let $k = 2$ and *n* be odd. In the first colouring, as explained in Case 1, assign the colour c_2 to v_0 and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$ independent *u*_{*i*}'s. The colour *c*₁ can be assigned to the remaining *v*_{*i*}'s; $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, u_i 's when coloured with two colours will provide one monochromatic edge. There are $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices of u_i 's that are assigned the colour c_1 which will yield $2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also, there are *n* monochromatic edges in the rim of the wheel subgraph. Thus, when *n* is odd, $b_2(CSF_{1,n})$ is $2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + n + 1 = 2(n + 1)$ (see Figure [5a](#page-9-0) for illustration).
	- b) The second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is as follows. Assign the colour c_1 and c_2 alternatively to the rim of the wheel subgraph. Let the vertex v_1 receive the colour c_1 , the vertex v_2 the colour c_2 , and so on the vertex v_{n-1} is assigned the colour c_2 . The last vertex v_n is given the colour c_1 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Such a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring will give rise to a monochromatic edge, say v_1v_n (see Proposition 2.4, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). The colour c_2 cannot be assigned to the central vertex V_0 , and hence the colour c_1 can be assigned to the central vertex to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ colouring of graphs. This colouring will result in a situation where there exists $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$] monochromatic edges between v_0 and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, every u_i is adjacent to the vertices v_i and v_{i+1} and hence no vertices u_i other than the vertex u_n in this case (as it is adjacent to v_1 and v_n that are assigned the colour c_1) can be assigned the colour c_2 . Thus, there are $n-2$ monochromatic edges in the outer cycle formed by u_i 's and $n-1$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \le i \le n$. Thus, the total number of monochromatic edges in this case is $1+\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | + *n* - 1 + *n* - 2 = $\frac{5n-3}{2}$. When both the colourings are compared it can be observed that, when $k = 2$ and *n* is odd, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of $CSF_{1,n}$, is min $\{2(n+1), \frac{5n-3}{2}\}$ $\frac{n-3}{2}$ } which is $\frac{5n-3}{2}$, when $n \leq 7$ and is $2(n+1)$, when $n \geq 7$.
- 4) Let $k = 3$ and *n* be odd. It is to be noted that, when *n* is odd, $b_3(W_{1,n}) = 1$ (see Proposition 2.4, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Now, two set of independent $\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices of u_i 's can be assigned the colour c_3 and c_1 to satisfy the conditions of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Now, the last vertex u_n which is adjacent to the vertices v_1 and v_n that are assigned the colour c_1 can be given the colour c_1 or c_2 . Assigning the colour c_1 will provide a scenario where there exist two monochromatic edges between the same and assigning the colour c_2 will cause a situation where there is no monochromatic edge and hence $c(u_n) = c_2$. Now, between u_i 's and v_i 's there are $\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges. Thus, when *n* is odd, $b_3(CSF_{1,n})$ is $1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$] = $\frac{n+1}{2}$ $\frac{+1}{2}$.

The following theorem determines a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring and discusses the minimum number of monochromatic edges resulting from the same for different parities of *n* and for distinct values of *k* of the blossom graph, $Bl_{1,n}$.

Definition 8. [\[17\]](#page-14-6) A *blossom graph*, denoted by $Bl_{1,n}$, is obtained by making each u_i adjacent to the central vertex of the closed sunflower graph.

Note that, the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered in the below discussion are as per Definition [8.](#page-8-0)

Theorem 7. *The* $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of a blossom graph $Bl_{1,n}$ is

$$
b_4(Bl_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}
$$

Figure 5. A $\delta^{(3)}$ -colouring of Closed Sunflower Graph

$$
b_3(Bl_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{3}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}
$$

$$
b_2(Bl_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} \frac{7n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{7n-5}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n be the rim vertices of the wheel subgraph of $Bl_{1,n}$ and v_0 be its central vertex. Let u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n be the vertices corresponding to each v_i 's, where $1 \le i \le n$. When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $\chi(Bl_{1,n})$ is 5. Hence, for this particular case *k* can take the values 4, 3 and 2. When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $\chi(Bl_{1,n}) = 4$, hence the value of k is 3 and 2. The various cases of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for the different values of *n* and *k* are studied and discussed as follows.

- 1) Let $k = 4$. The minimum number of monochromatic edges obtained from a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is discussed in the following subcases.
	- a) Let $k = 4$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. It is known that if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Now, in $Bl_{1,n}$ the central vertex v_0 is a universal vertex and hence assign the colour c_4 to v_0 . The colour c_4 cannot be assigned to any of the vertices. There are three colours left to colour the remaining 2*n* vertices. When $k = 3$, each $2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor$ $\frac{n}{3}$ set of disjoint independent vertices can be properly coloured with the colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 . There are two more vertices, each of them of degree five, that are not given any colours yet. Obviously, these vertices are adjacent to the vertices assigned the colour c_1, c_2, c_3 and the vertex v_0 which is assigned the colour c_4 , by which it is also clear that they have to be assigned the colour c_1 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Thus, the two vertices assigned the colour *c*1, adjacent to two vertices assigned the same colour, will give rise to a situation where there are two monochromatic edges in the graph. Hence, when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ the $\delta^{(4)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is 2.
	- b) Let $k = 4$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Assign the colour c_4 to the vertex v_0 . This colour cannot be assigned to any other vertices. The remaining 2*n* vertices are coloured in the following manner. There are three colours left for colouring the remaining vertices. The inner and outer cycle irrespective of the parity of *n* are properly coloured with

three colours. The monochromatic edge obtained in this case will be between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, start colouring the vertices in the following pattern. Let $c(v_1) = c_1, c(v_2) = c_2, c(v_3) = c_3$ and so on. This pattern is followed up to the vertex v_{n-2} . The colour c_1 and c_2 are assigned to v_{n-1} and v_n respectively. Thus, this inner cycle is coloured properly with three colours. Now, each u_i that are adjacent to v_i and v_{i+1} are assigned a colour other than $c(v_i)$ and $c(v_{i+1})$. Hence, the vertex u_1, u_2 , u_3 in this case are assigned the colour c_3 , c_1 , c_2 respectively and this colouring pattern is continued for all the remaining vertices. The vertex u_{n-1} , adjacent to v_{n-1} and v_n , which are assigned the colour c_1 and c_2 , can be given the colour c_3 . The last vertex u_n , adjacent to the vertices v_n , v_1 , u_{n-1} and u_1 which are assigned the colours c_2 , c_1 , c_3 and c_3 respectively, should be assigned the colour c_1 . This colouring will yield a situation where there exits a monochromatic edge $u_n v_1$ in A_n . Thus, when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the $\delta^{(3)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is 1.

(Note that, a different $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring will also give $b_k(Bl_{1,n}) \geq 1$. Here, a colouring that exactly gives one monochromatic edge, which is the minimum number of monochromatic edges obtained from any improper colouring of a graph is provided)

- 2) Let $k = 3$. The different $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for the different values of *n* when $n \equiv i \pmod{3}$, where $0 \leq i \leq 2$ are discussed below.
	- a) Let $k = 3$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. It is clear that if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Here $k = 3$ and there are $2n+1$ vertices in the graph including a universal vertex v_0 . If the universal vertex is given a colour other than c_1 , say c_2 or c_3 , then no other vertices can be given that particular colour. Hence, to minimise the number of monochromatic edges an optimum $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is as follows. Since $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, the vertex set can be divided into three disjoint independent sets of order $\frac{2n}{3}$ and assign the vertices of each set the colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 respectively. Now, the universal vertex v_0 has to be given the colour c_1 to maintain the conditions of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. This vertex is adjacent to a total of $\frac{2n}{3}$ vertices that are assigned the colour c_1 . Thus, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, the $\delta^{(3)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is $\frac{2n}{3}$ (see Figure [6b](#page-12-0) for illustration).
	- b) Let $k = 3$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. It is clear that if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Thus, when $k = 3$, each $2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor$ $\frac{n}{3}$ set of disjoint independent vertices are properly coloured with the colours c_1, c_2 and c_3 . There are two more vertices that are of degree four which are not assigned any colours. It is obvious that these vertices are adjacent to the vertices assigned the colour c_1, c_2 and c_3 by which it is also clear that they have to be assigned the colour c_1 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Thus, the two vertices assigned the colour *c*1, adjacent to the two vertices having the same colour, will provide a scenario where there are two monochromatic edges in the graph. Now, v_0 has to be assigned the colour c_1 to meet the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ colouring of graphs. The vertex v_0 is adjacent to $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices that are assigned the colour c_1 . Thus, there $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between v_0 and v_i , and $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ between v_0 and u_i . Also, there are two monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the $\delta^{(3)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is $2(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | + 1) (see Figure [6a](#page-12-0) for illustration).
	- c) Let $k = 3$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. By Subcase 1c, it can be noted that there is only one monochromatic edge in $Bl_{1,n}$ when $k = 4$. In this case, k is 3, and the same $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring as that of the one given in Subcase 1c is followed. The only difference is that since $k = 3$, the vertex v_0 is assigned the colour c_1 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. Thus, as explained in Subcase 2b, there are each of $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$] monochromatic edges between the vertex v_0 and v_i and between v_0 and u_i . Moreover, there is one monochromatic edge between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, when

Merlin Thomas Ellumkalayil, Sudev Naduvath and Sabitha Jose 102

 $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, b_3(Bl_{1,n}) = 2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | + 1.

- 3) Let $k = 2$. Following mentioned subcases discuss the different possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for the two parities of *n*. The $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are discussed separately and compared to determine the minimum number of monochromatic edges.
	- a) Let $k = 2$ and *n* be even. There are two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for this case. In the first $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, assign the colour c_2 to the vertex v_0 . Since v_0 is a universal vertex, no other vertices can be assigned the colour *c*2. Now, the cycles of order *n* formed by v_i 's and u_i 's will give rise to each of *n* monochromatic edges. Also, between u_i 's and v_i 's there are $2n$ monochromatic edges. Thus, there are $4n$ monochromatic edges obtained from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring.

In the second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring, assign the vertex v_0 the colour c_1 . Now, v_i 's are properly coloured with two colour c_1 and c_2 alternatively and u_i 's corresponding to each v_i 's must be coloured with colour c_1 to maintain the requirements of a $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of graphs. This results in *n* monochromatic edges between v_i 's and u_i 's, *n* monochromatic edges in the cycle formed by u_i 's, *n* monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_0 , and $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges in the inner wheel subgraph. Thus, there are a total of $n + n + n + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{7n}{2}$ monochromatic edges. Now, when both the colourings are compared, the latter gives minimum number of monochromatic edges. Thus, when *n* is even, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is $\frac{7n}{2}$.

b) Let $k = 2$ and *n* be odd. There are two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for this case. The first one is explained as follows. As explained in Case 3a, the central vertex is given the colour c_2 and every other vertex is assigned the colour c_1 , this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring will result in 4*n* monochromatic edges. Now, the second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring is similar to the second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring mentioned in Case 3a. Assign the colour c_1 to the vertex v_0 . The inner wheel subgraph consisting of the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n are assigned the colour c_1 and c_2 alternatively leading to one monochromatic edge v_1v_n . Every u_i other than the vertex u_n adjacent to the monochromatic edge v_1v_n , are assigned the colour c_1 . This colouring will result in a situation where there are $n-1$ monochromatic edges in u_i 's, and also $n-1$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_0 . Moreover, there are $n-2$ monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, there are a total of $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + n - 2 + n - 1 + n - 1 = \frac{7n-5}{2}$ monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring. Now, when both the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared the second $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring gives minimum of $\frac{7n-5}{2}$ monochromatic edges. Hence, when *n* is odd, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of $Bl_{1,n}$ is $\frac{7n-5}{2}$.

□

The below-mentioned theorem examines the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number of Djembe graph $D_{1,n}$ for various values of *k* and different parities of *n*. By Definition [9,](#page-11-0) it is clear that $D_{1,n}$ has wheel graph as its subgraph.

Definition 9. [\[19\]](#page-14-8) A *djembe graph*, denoted by $D_{1,n}$, is obtained by joining the vertices u_i 's; $1 \leq i \leq n$ of a closed helm graph $CH_{1,n}$ to its central vertex v_0 .

Note that, the vertices u_i 's and v_i 's considered in the below discussion are as per Definition [9.](#page-11-0)

Theorem 8. For a djembe graph, the $\delta^{(k)}$ -defect number is

$$
b_k(D_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even and } k = 2, \\ n+4, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd and } k = 3. \end{cases}
$$

Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing Volume 122, 91–105

Figure 6. A $\delta^{(3)}$ -colouring of Blossom Graph

Proof. In $D_{1,n}$, the central vertex v_0 is a universal vertex, v_i 's and u_i 's form cycles of length *n* and each u_i is adjacent to its corresponding v_i , where $1 \leq i \leq n$. The following are the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for different parities of *n* and different values of *k*.

1) Let *n* be even. Since $\chi(D_{1,n}) = 3$, the only value that *k* can be take is 2. There can be two possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings for this case. Since the vertex v_0 is a universal vertex, $c(v_0) = c_1$ or $c(v_0) = c_2$. If the colour c_2 is assigned to v_0 , then every other vertex should be given the colour c_1 . This colouring will cause a situation where there are each of n monochromatic edges in both cycles and *n* monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's, leading to a total of 3*n* monochromatic edges. Now, if $c(v_0) = c_1$, then since *n* is even, v_i 's can be properly coloured with the two colours c_1 and c_2 . Moreover, u_i 's can be given the alternate colour of its corresponding v_i . This colouring results in a scenario where there exists no monochromatic edges between u_i 's and v_i 's. Also, between v_0 and v_i 's and between v_0 and u_i 's there are $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges. Thus, there are a total of *n* monochromatic edges resulting from this $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of djembe graph. Now, when both the colouring are compared, it can be seen that, when $n \geq 4$ is even, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of $D_{1,n}$ is *n* (see Figure [7b](#page-13-6) for illustration).

When *n* is odd, $\chi(D_{1,n}) = 4$ and hence *k* is either 3 or 2. A $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring when $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ are separately discussed in the following cases.

2) Let *n* be odd and $k = 3$. Since *n* is odd, each odd cycle of order *n*, when coloured with $k = 2$ colours will give rise to one monochromatic edge (see Proposition 2.3, [\[11\]](#page-14-3)). Now, the colour c_3 is assigned to the vertex v_0 . This colouring will again lead to a situation where there are no monochromatic edges between v_0 and v_i and v_0 and u_i . Thus, when $n \geq 3$ is odd, $b_3(D_{1,n})$ is 2 (see Figure [7a](#page-13-6) for illustration).

Note that, in this case, any $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring other than the above mentioned one will also yield a minimum of two monochromatic edges as there are two odd cycles of length *n*.

3) Let $n \geq 3$ be odd and $k = 2$. As explained in Case 1, if $c(v_0) = c_2$, then this $\delta^{(k)}$. colouring will lead to a situation where there are a total of 3*n* monochromatic edges. However, if $c(v_0) = c_1$, then there will be one monochromatic edge in each of the cycles and one monochromatic edge between v_i 's and u_i 's. The vertex v_0 will provide each of $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ monochromatic edges between v_i 's and u_i 's. Thus, there are a total of $2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | + 3 monochromatic edges. When both the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings are compared, it can be concluded that, when $n \geq 3$, the $\delta^{(2)}$ -defect number of $D_{1,n}$ is $2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | + 3 = *n* + 4.

Figure 7. A $\delta^{(2)}$ -colouring of Djembe Graph

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have determined the number of bad edges for some of the wheel related graphs by discussing all the possible $\delta^{(k)}$ -colourings and finding the optimal $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring that gives the minimum number of bad edges for each different values of *k* where $1 \leq k \leq \chi(G) - 1$ from each of the graphs classes. We have permitted only one colour class to be non independent. However, permitting few more colour classes to have adjacency between the elements in it can be ground for further engrossing research.

Acknowledgements

All the authors have contributed significantly to the article. Authors declare that they don't have any competing interests regarding the publication of this article.

They would like to thank their research colleagues Mr. Toby B Antony and Mr. Libin Chacko Samuel for their valuable inputs which significantly improved the content and the style of presentation. They also acknowledge the critical and creative comments and suggestions of the referee which improved the quality of the paper.

References

- 1. Bondy, J. A. and Murty, U. S. R., 2008. *Graph Theory*. Springer, New York.
- 2. Harary, F., 1967. *Graph Theory and Theoretical Physics*. Acad. Press.
- 3. West, D. B., 2001. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.
- 4. Brandst¨adt, A., Le, V. B. and Spinrad, J. P., 1999. *Graph Classes: A Survey*. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- 5. Gallian, J. A., 2018. A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 20* (6), pp.1–502.
- 6. Chartrand, G. and Zhang, P., 2008. *Chromatic graph theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.
- 7. Jensen, T. R. and Toft, B., 2011. *Graph Coloring Problems*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 8. Kubale, M., 2004. *Graph Colorings*. American Mathematical Society.

On *δ* (*k*) -colouring of Some Wheel Related Graphs 105

- 9. Cowen, L. J., Cowen, R. H. and Woodall, D. R., 1986. Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: Partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency. *Journal of Graph Theory, 10* (2), pp.187–195.
- 10. Mphako-Banda, E. G. and Kok, J., 2020. Chromatic completion number. *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science, 10* (6), pp.2971–2983.
- 11. Kok, J. and Naduvath, S., 2022. $\delta^{(k)}$ -Colouring of cycle related graphs. *Advances in Studies of Contemporary Mathematics, 12* (1), pp.113–120.
- 12. Mphako-Banda, E. G., 2018. An introduction to the *k*-defect polynomials. *Quaestiones Mathematicae, 42*, pp.207–216.
- 13. Ellumkalayil, M. T. and Naduvath, S., 2022. On the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of powers of helm and closed helm graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 14* (2), 2150116:pp.1–19.
- 14. Ellumkalayil, M. T. and Naduvath, S., 2022. On the $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of generalised Petersen graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 14* (1), 2150096:pp.1–7.
- 15. Ellumkalayil, M. T. and Naduvath, S., 2022. A note on $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of the Cartesian product of some graphs. *Communications in Combinatorics and Optimization, 7* (2), pp.113– 120.
- 16. Ellumkalayil, M. T. and Naduvath, S., 2021. On $\delta^{(k)}$ -colouring of powers of paths and cycles. *Theory and Applications of Graphs, 8* (2), pp.3.1–3.17.
- 17. Chithra, K. P., Shiny, E. A. and Sudev, N. K., 2017. On equitable coloring parameters of certain wheel related graphs. *Contemporary Studies in Discrete Mathematics, 1* (1), pp.3–12.
- 18. Sudev, N. K. and Others., 2018. A note on the rainbow neighbourhood number of certain graph classes. *National Academy Science Letters*, pp.1–4. Springer.
- 19. Naduvath, S., 2018. Equitable coloring parameters of certain graph classes. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 10* (3), 1850040:pp.1–12.

©2024 the Author(s), licensee Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)