

A solution to small cases of the honeymoon Oberwolfach problem

Marie Rose Jerade and Mateja Šajna*

ABSTRACT

The honeymoon Oberwolfach problem $\text{HOP}(2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_t)$ asks the following question. Given $n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_t$ newlywed couples at a conference and t round tables of sizes $2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_t$, is it possible to arrange the $2n$ participants at these tables for $2n - 2$ meals so that each participant sits next to their spouse at every meal, and sits next to every other participant exactly once? A solution to $\text{HOP}(2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_t)$ is a decomposition of $K_{2n} + (2n - 3)I$, the complete graph K_{2n} with $2n - 3$ additional copies of a fixed 1-factor I , into 2-factors, each consisting of disjoint I -alternating cycles of lengths $2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_t$. The honeymoon Oberwolfach problem was introduced in a 2019 paper by Lepine and Šajna. The authors conjectured that $\text{HOP}(2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution whenever the obvious necessary conditions are satisfied, and proved the conjecture for several large cases, including the uniform cycle length case $m_1 = \dots = m_t$, and the small cases with $n \leq 9$. In the present paper, we extend the latter result to all cases with $n \leq 20$ using a computer-assisted search.

Keywords: honeymoon Oberwolfach problem, 2-factorization, Semi-uniform 1-factorization, HOP-colouring-orientation

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B30, 05C38.

1. Introduction

The well-known Oberwolfach problem, denoted $\text{OP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$, asks whether $n = m_1 + \dots + m_t$ participants can be seated at t tables of sizes m_1, \dots, m_t for several nights in a row so that each participant gets to sit next to every other participant exactly once. Thus, we

* Corresponding author

Received 25 Jul 2025; Revised 22 Sep 2025; Accepted 08 Oct 2025; Published Online 24 Oct 2025.

DOI: [10.61091/jcmcc128-06](https://doi.org/10.61091/jcmcc128-06)

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

are asking whether K_n , the complete graph on n vertices, admits a 2-factorization such that each 2-factor is a disjoint union of t cycles of lengths m_1, \dots, m_t . The problem has been solved in many special cases — see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14] — but is in general still open.

In the 2019 paper [11], Lepine and the second author introduced a new variant of the Oberwolfach problem, called the honeymoon Oberwolfach problem. This problem, denoted $\text{HOP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$, can be described as follows. We have $n = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + \dots + m_t)$ newlywed couples attending a conference and t tables of sizes m_1, \dots, m_t (where each $m_i \geq 3$). Is it possible to arrange the participants at these t round tables on $2n - 2$ consecutive nights so that each couple sit together every night, and every participant sits next to every other participant exactly once?

In graph-theoretic terms we are asking whether $K_{2n} + (2n - 3)I$, the multigraph obtained from the complete graph K_{2n} by adjoining $2n - 3$ additional copies of a chosen 1-factor I , admits a decomposition into 2-factors, each a vertex-disjoint union of cycles of lengths m_1, \dots, m_t , so that in each of these cycles, every other edge is a copy of an edge of I . A solution to $\text{HOP}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)$ is equivalent to a *semi-uniform 1-factorization of K_{2n} of type (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)* ; that is, a 1-factorization $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{2n-1}\}$ such that for all $i \bullet 1$, the 2-factor $F_1 \cup F_i$ consists of disjoint cycles of lengths m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t .

If $m_1 = \dots = m_t = m$ and $tm = 2n$, then the symbol $\text{HOP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ is abbreviated as $\text{HOP}(2n; m)$. Note that if $\text{HOP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ has a solution, then the m_i are all even and at least 4; these are the obvious necessary conditions.

In [11], the authors proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [11] *The obvious necessary conditions for $\text{HOP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ to have a solution are also sufficient.*

They also proved the conjecture in the following cases.

Theorem 1.2. [11] *Let m and n be positive integers, $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then $\text{HOP}(2n; 2m)$ has a solution if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.*

Theorem 1.3. [11] *Let $2 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_t$ be integers, and $n = m_1 + \dots + m_t$. Then $\text{HOP}(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution in each of the following cases.*

- (a) $m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for all i .
- (b) n is odd and $\text{OP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ has a solution.
- (c) n is odd and $t = 2$.
- (d) n is odd, $n < 40$, and $m_1 \geq 3$.
- (e) $n \leq 9$.

We remark that case (d) of Theorem 1.3 is extended to $n \leq 100$ by the results of [13, 12]. In this paper, we extend case (e) of Theorem 1.3, thus proving the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let m_1, \dots, m_t be integers with $m_i \geq 2$ for all i , and $n = m_1 + \dots + m_t$ such that $n \leq 20$. Then $\text{HOP}(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution.*

To prove Theorem 1.4, we use the approach described in [11], combined with a computer-assisted search. In Sections 2 and 3, we present the relevant terminology and tools from [11], and in Section 4, we give the framework of the proof of Theorem 1.4, referring to the longer version of the paper [10] for the long list of computational results supporting the proof.

2. Terminology

In this paper, graphs may contain parallel edges, but not loops. As usual, K_n and λK_n denote the complete graph and the λ -fold complete graph, respectively, on n vertices. For $m \geq 2$, the symbol C_m denotes the cycle of length m , or m -cycle.

Let G be a graph, and let H_1, \dots, H_t be subgraphs of G . The collection $\{H_1, \dots, H_t\}$ is called a *decomposition* of G if $\{E(H_1), \dots, E(H_t)\}$ is a partition of $E(G)$.

An r -factor in a graph G is an r -regular spanning subgraph of G , and an r -factorization of G is a decomposition of G into r -factors. A 2-factor of G consisting of disjoint cycles of lengths m_1, \dots, m_t , respectively, is called a $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factor of G , and a decomposition into $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factors is called a $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization of G .

For a positive integer n and $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ such that $S = -S$, we define a *circulant* $\text{Circ}(n; S)$ as the graph with vertex set $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ and edge set $\{x_i x_{i+d} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, d \in S\}$. An edge of the form $x_i x_{i+d}$ is said to be of *difference* d . Note that an edge of difference d is also of difference $n - d$, so we may assume that each difference is in $\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$.

In this paper, the complete graph K_n will be viewed as the join of the circulant $\text{Circ}(n - 1; \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}^*)$ and the complete graph K_1 with vertex x_∞ . Thus, $V(K_n) = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\} \cup \{x_\infty\}$ and $E(K_n) = \{x_i x_j : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, i \bullet j\} \cup \{x_i x_\infty : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\}$. If this is the case, then an edge of the form $x_i x_\infty$ will be called of *difference infinity*.

Let I be a chosen 1-factor in the graph K_{2n} . An edge of K_{2n} is said to be an I -edge if it belongs to $E(I)$, and a *non- I -edge* otherwise. The symbol $K_{2n} + \lambda I$ denotes the graph K_{2n} with λ additional copies of each I -edge, for a total of $\lambda + 1$ copies of each I -edge. (Note that these additional copies of I -edges of K_{2n} are then also considered to be I -edges of $K_{2n} + \lambda I$.) A cycle C of $K_{2n} + \lambda I$, necessarily of even length, is said to be I -alternating if the I -edges and non- I -edges along C alternate. A 2-factor (or 2-factorization) of $K_{2n} + \lambda I$ is said to be I -alternating if each of its cycles is I -alternating.

Thus, a solution to the honeymoon Oberwolfach problem $\text{HOP}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ is an I -alternating $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization of $K_{2n} + (2n - 3)I$ for $2n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_t$.

3. The tools

As in [11], we use the symbol $4K_n^\bullet$ to denote the 4-fold complete graph with n vertices whose edges are coloured pink, blue, and black, and black edges are oriented so that each 4-set of parallel edges contains one pink edge, one blue edge, and two opposite black arcs.

Definition 3.1. [11] A 2-factorization \mathcal{D} of $4K_n^\bullet$ is said to be *HOP* if each cycle of \mathcal{D} satisfies the following condition:

- (C) any two adjacent (that is, consecutive) edges satisfy one of the following:
- one is blue and the other pink; or
 - both are black and directed in the same way;
 - one is blue and the other black, directed towards the blue edge; or
 - one is pink and the other black, directed away from the pink edge.

Theorem 3.2. [11] *Let m_1, \dots, m_t be integers greater than 1, and let $n = m_1 + \dots + m_t$. Then $HOP(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution if and only if $4K_n^\bullet$ admits an $HOP(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization.*

In the next proposition, the symbol $2K_n^{Circ}$ denotes the multigraph $2K_n$ whose edges are coloured pink and black so that each 2-set of parallel edges contains one pink edge and one black edge.

Proposition 3.3. [11] *Assume n is even, and let the vertex set of $2K_n^{Circ}$ be $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\} \cup \{x_\infty\}$. Let ρ be the permutation $\rho = (x_\infty)(x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-2})$, and let ρ_{Circ} denote the permutation on the edge set of $2K_n^{Circ}$ that is induced by ρ and that preserves the colour of the edges.*

Suppose $2K_n^{Circ}$ admits a $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factor F such that

- (A1) each cycle in F of length at least 3 contains an even number of pink edges, and
- (A2) F contains exactly one edge from each of the orbits of $\langle \rho_{Circ} \rangle$.

Then $4K_n^\bullet$ admits an $HOP(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization.

Proposition 3.4. [11] *Assume n is even, and let the vertex set of $4K_n^\bullet$ be $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\} \cup \{x_\infty\}$. Let ρ be the permutation $\rho = (x_\infty)(x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-2})$, and let ρ_\bullet denote the permutation on the edge set of $4K_n^\bullet$ that is induced by ρ and that preserves the colour (and orientation) of the edges.*

Suppose $4K_n^\bullet$ admits edge-disjoint $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factors F_1 and F_2 such that

- (D1) each cycle in F_1 and F_2 satisfies Condition (C) in Definition 3.1, and
- (D2) F_1 and F_2 jointly contain exactly one edge from each of the orbits of $\langle \rho_\bullet \rangle$.

Then $\mathcal{D} = \{\rho_\bullet^i(F_1), \rho_\bullet^i(F_2) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\}$ is an $HOP(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization of $4K_n^\bullet$.

Proposition 3.5. [11] *Assume n is odd, and let the vertex set of $4K_n^\bullet$ be $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\} \cup \{x_\infty\}$. Let ρ be the permutation $\rho = (x_\infty)(x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-2})$, and let ρ_\bullet denote the permutation on the edge set of $4K_n^\bullet$ that is induced by ρ and that preserves the colour (and orientation) of the edges.*

Suppose $4K_n^\bullet$ admits pairwise edge-disjoint $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factors F_1 , F_2 , and F_3 such that

- (E1) each cycle in F_1 , F_2 , and F_3 satisfies Condition (C) in Definition 3.1;

- (E2) each orbit of $\langle \rho_\bullet \rangle$ has edges either in $F_1 \cup F_2$ or in F_3 ;

- (E3) if $e \in E(F_1 \cup F_2)$, then $\rho_\bullet^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(e) \in E(F_1 \cup F_2)$; and

- (E4) $F_1 \cup F_2$ contains a pink and a blue edge of difference $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

Then $\mathcal{D} = \{\rho_{\bullet}^i(F_1), \rho_{\bullet}^i(F_2) : i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}\} \cup \{\rho_{\bullet}^i(F_3) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\}$ is an HOP $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factorization of $4K_n^{\bullet}$.

The required 2-factors F from Proposition 3.3, F_1 and F_2 from Proposition 3.4, and $F_1, F_2,$ and F_3 from Proposition 3.5 will be called the *starter 2-factors* (or *starters*) of the resulting 2-factorizations. Thus, we are referring to Propositions 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 as the one-starter, two-starter, and three-starter approach, respectively.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

By the *type* of a $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_t})$ -factor we mean the multiset $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3(e), it suffices to consider $10 \leq n \leq 20$. For each value of n , we list all possible 2-factor types $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$, and refer to the result that guarantees existence of a solution to $\text{HOP}(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$. In cases where we are referring to Proposition 3.3, 3.4, or 3.5, the computational results (that is, appropriate starter 2-factors) are given in the appendices of the extended version of this paper, namely [10].

CASE $n = 10$: see [10, Appendix B] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[10]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 11$: see [10, Appendix C] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[4, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[11]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 12$: see [10, Appendix D] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[12]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 13$: see [10, Appendix E] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[7, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 5, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[13]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 14$: see [10, Appendix F] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[5, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[12, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7]	Theorem 1.2
[14]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 15$: see [10, Appendix G] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[5, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 4, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 4, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 5, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 6, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 5, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 5, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[13, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[12, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 7]	Theorem 1.2
[15]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 16$: see [10, Appendix H] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[5, 4, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 5, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[12, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 6, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 5, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 5, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[14, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[13, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 6]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 7]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 8]	Theorem 1.2
[16]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 17$: see [10, Appendix I] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 4, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 5, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 4, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 5, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 6, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 6, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 5, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 4, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 5, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[6, 4, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 5, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[13, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[12, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[11, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 7, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[11, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 5, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 6, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 7, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 5, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 6, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 5, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 6, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[15, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[14, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[13, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[12, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 7]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 8]	Theorem 1.2
[17]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 18$: see [10, Appendix J] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[6, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 5, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 4, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 4, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[12, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 6, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[8, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 5, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 5, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 6, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 4, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[14, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[13, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 7, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 8, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[11, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 6, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 7, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 5, 5]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 6, 5]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 6, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[16, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[15, 3]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[14, 4]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[13, 5]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[12, 6]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[11, 7]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 8]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 9]	Theorem 1.2
[18]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 19$: see [10, Appendix K] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 5, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[6, 5, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 4, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 5, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[5, 4, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 4, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 5, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 4, 4, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 4, 4, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[13, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[12, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[11, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 5, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 6, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 7, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[11, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 6, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 7, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[8, 5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 6, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[7, 5, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[6, 6, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 3, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 4, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 5, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 6, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 4, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 5, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 6, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 5, 5, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[6, 5, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[5, 5, 5, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[15, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[14, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[13, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[12, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[11, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[10, 7, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)
[9, 8, 2]	Proposition 3.5 (three starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[13, 3, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[12, 4, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 5, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 6, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 7, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 8, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 5, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 6, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 7, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[9, 5, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[8, 6, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 7, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[7, 6, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[17, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[16, 3]	Theorem 1.2
[15, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[14, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[13, 6]	Theorem 1.2
[12, 7]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 8]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 9]	Theorem 1.2
[19]	Theorem 1.2

CASE $n = 20$: see [10, Appendix L] for the computational results.

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP($2m_1, \dots, 2m_t$) has a solution by...
[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Theorem 1.2
[4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[4, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 6, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 5, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 6, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[7, 4, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 5, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 4, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[5, 5, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 3, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 4, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 5, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 4, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 5, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 4, 4, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[4, 4, 4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[14, 2, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[13, 3, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 4, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 5, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 6, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 7, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 8, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 3, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[11, 4, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 5, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 6, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 7, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 4, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 5, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 6, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 7, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[8, 5, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[7, 6, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[6, 6, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[11, 3, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 4, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 5, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 6, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 7, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 4, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 5, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 6, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 5, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 4, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2

2-factor type $[m_1, \dots, m_t]$	HOP $(2m_1, \dots, 2m_t)$ has a solution by...
[7, 5, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 6, 4, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[6, 5, 5, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[5, 5, 5, 5]	Theorem 1.2
[16, 2, 2]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[15, 3, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[14, 4, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[13, 5, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[12, 6, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[11, 7, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[10, 8, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[9, 9, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[14, 3, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[13, 4, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 5, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[11, 6, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 7, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 8, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 4, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 5, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 6, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 7, 4]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 8, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[10, 5, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[9, 6, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 7, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[8, 6, 6]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[7, 7, 6]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[18, 2]	Proposition 3.4 (two starters)
[17, 3]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[16, 4]	Theorem 1.2
[15, 5]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[14, 6]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[13, 7]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[12, 8]	Theorem 1.2
[11, 9]	Proposition 3.3 (one starter)
[10, 10]	Theorem 1.2
[20]	Theorem 1.2

□

References

- [1] P. Adams and D. Bryant. Two-factorisations of complete graphs of orders fifteen and seventeen. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 35:113–118, 2006.
- [2] B. Alspach and R. Häggkvist. Some observations on the Oberwolfach problem. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 9(1):177–187, 1985. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190090114>.
- [3] B. Alspach, P. J. Schellenberg, D. R. Stinson, and D. Wagner. The Oberwolfach problem and factors of uniform odd length cycles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 52(1):20–43, 1989. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(89\)90059-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(89)90059-9).
- [4] D. Bryant and P. Danziger. On bipartite 2-factorizations of $K_n - I$ and the Oberwolfach problem. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 68(1):22–37, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.20538>.
- [5] A. Deza, F. Franek, W. Hua, M. Meszka, and A. Rosa. Solutions to the Oberwolfach problem for orders 18 to 40. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 74:95–102, 2010.
- [6] F. Franek, J. Holub, and A. Rosa. Two-factorizations of small complete graphs. II. The case of 13 vertices. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 51:89–94, 2004.
- [7] F. Franek and A. Rosa. Two-factorizations of small complete graphs. In volume 86, number 2, pages 435–442. 2000. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758\(99\)00123-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758(99)00123-8). Special issue in honor of Professor Ralph Stanton.
- [8] S. Glock, F. Joos, J. Kim, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus. Resolution of the Oberwolfach problem. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society (JEMS)*, 23(8):2511–2547, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1060>.
- [9] D. G. Hoffman and P. J. Schellenberg. The existence of C_k -factorizations of $K_{2n} - F$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 97(1-3):243–250, 1991. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(91\)90440-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(91)90440-D).
- [10] M. R. Jerade and M. Šajna. The honeymoon oberwolfach problem: small cases. *arXiv*, (2407.00204):1–122, 2024. arxiv.org/abs/2407.00204.
- [11] D. Lepine and M. Šajna. On the honeymoon Oberwolfach problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 27(7):420–447, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.21656>.
- [12] M. Meszka. Solutions to the Oberwolfach problem for orders up to 100. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 89:243–248, 2024.
- [13] F. Salassa, G. Dragotto, T. Traetta, M. Buratti, and F. Della Croce. Merging combinatorial design and optimization: the Oberwolfach problem. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 79:141–166, 2021.
- [14] T. Traetta. A complete solution to the two-table Oberwolfach problems. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 120(5):984–997, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2013.01.003>.

Marie Rose Jerade

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

E-mail mjera100@uottawa.ca,

Mateja Šajna

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

E-mail msajna@uottawa.ca