

On the existence of certain SOLS with Holes

D. R. Stinson

Computer Science and Engineering Department
and Center for Communication and Information Science
University of Nebraska
Lincoln, NE 68588-0115, U.S.A.
stinson@bibd.unl.edu

L. Zhu

Department of Mathematics
Suzhou University
Suzhou 215006
Peoples' Republic of China

Abstract

We consider a pair of MOLS (mutually orthogonal Latin squares) having holes, corresponding to missing sub-MOLS, which are disjoint and spanning. If the two squares are mutual transposes, we say that we have SOLS (self-orthogonal Latin squares) with holes. It is shown that a pair of SOLS with n holes of size $h \geq 2$ exist if and only if $n \geq 4$ and it is also shown that a pair of SOLS with n holes of size 2 and one hole of size 3 exist for all $n \geq 4$, $n \neq 13, 15$.

As an application, we prove a result concerning intersection numbers of transversal designs with four groups.

1 Introduction

For formal definitions of MOLS (mutually orthogonal Latin squares) with holes, the reader is referred to [8]. Let $HMOLS(h_1^{n_1} h_2^{n_2} \dots h_k^{n_k})$ denote a pair of MOLS of order $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i h_i$ from which n_i sub-MOLS of order h_i are "missing" ($1 \leq i \leq k$), and in which these subsquares are disjoint and spanning. The *type* T of the HMOLS is defined to be $h_1^{n_1} h_2^{n_2} \dots h_k^{n_k}$. (It is also convenient to think of the type as a multiset.) An $HMOLS(h_1^{n_1}$

$h_2^{m_2} \dots h_k^{m_k}$) in which the two squares are mutual transposes is defined to be an $HSOLS(h_1^{m_1} h_2^{m_2} \dots h_k^{m_k})$.

The following results concerning $HSOLS(h^n)$ have been proved.

- Theorem 1.1**
1. *There exists an $HSOLS(1^n)$ if and only if $n \geq 4$, $n \neq 6$.*
 2. *For $h \geq 2$, there exists an $HSOLS(h^n)$ if and only if $n \geq 4$, except possibly for $HSOLS(7^6)$ and $HSOLS(13^6)$.*
 3. *There exists an $HSOLS(1^{v-n}n^1)$ if $v \geq 3n+1$ and $(v, n) \neq (6, 1)$ or $(6m+2, 2m)$, where $1 \leq m < 50$.*

Proof. 1) is shown in [5]; 2) in [15]; and 3) in [18]. □

For some results on HMOLS, we refer to [2,3,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,17].

Here, we construct the previously unknown $HSOLS(7^6)$ and $HSOLS(13^6)$, and we also study the spectrum of $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$. We show that $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ exist for all $n \geq 4$, except possibly for $n = 13, 15$. An application is given in Section 4.

2 Constructions for HSOLS

Our main direct construction is based on difference methods. The following is [15, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be an Abelian group, let H be a subgroup of G , and let X be any set disjoint from G . Suppose there exists a set of 4-tuples $B \subseteq (G \cup X)^4$ which satisfies the following properties:*

1. *for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, and each $x \in X$, there is a unique $b \in B$ with $b_i = x$ (b_i denotes the i th co-ordinate of b).*
2. *no $b \in B$ has two co-ordinates in X .*
3. *for each i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq 4$) and each $a \in G \setminus H$, there is a unique $b \in B$ with $b_i, b_j \in G$ and $b_i - b_j = a$.*
4. *$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) \in B$ if and only if $(b_2, b_1, b_4, b_3) \in B$.*

Then there exist $HSOLS(h^{g/h}|X|^1)$, where $g = |G|$ and $h = |H|$.

Figure 1: $HSOLS(2^4 3^1)$

	7	3	c		2	b	a	1	6	5
a		0	4	c		3	b	2	7	6
b	a		1	5	c		4	3	0	7
5	b	a		2	6	c		4	1	0
	6	b	a		3	7	c	5	2	1
c		7	b	a		4	0	6	3	2
1	c		0	b	a		5	7	4	3
6	2	c		1	b	a		0	5	4
2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1			
3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2			
7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			

Example 1 Suppose we take $G = \mathbb{Z}_8$, $H = \{0, 4\}$, $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and let B be the following set of twelve 4-tuples:

0 a 1 2
 a 0 2 1
 0 b 6 3
 b 0 3 6
 0 c 5 7
 c 0 7 5
 0 1 7 a
 1 0 a 7
 0 2 3 b
 2 0 b 3
 0 5 2 c
 5 0 c 2

These generate the $HSOLS(2^4 3^1)$ depicted in Figure 1.

Lemma 2.2 Let G , H , and X be as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose there exists a set of 4-tuples $B \subseteq (G \cup X)^4$ which satisfies the following properties:

1. $\bigcup_{b \in B} \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\} : b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)\} = (G \setminus H) \cup X$

2. no $b \in B$ has two co-ordinates in X

3. for each i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq 4$) and each $a \in G \setminus H$, there is a unique $b \in B$ with $b_i, b_j \in G$ and $b_i - b_j = a$, where either $\{i, j\} = \{i', j'\}$ or $\{i, j, i', j'\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Then there exist $HSOLS(h^{g/h}|X|^1)$, where $g = |G|$ and $h = |H|$.

Proof. Replace each 4-tuple $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ by four 4-tuples: $b^1 = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$, $b^2 = (b_2, b_1, b_3, b_4)$, $b^3 = (b_1, b_2, b_4, b_3)$, and $b^4 = (b_2, b_1, b_4, b_3)$. Then, the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. \square

Remark. The “orthogonal array with holes” obtained from such a collection of 4-tuples is conjugate invariant under the Klein group K_4 ; see [10].

We now present several further applications of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. In applications of Lemma 2.1, we give only one of each “pair” of 4-tuples.

$HSOLS(2^5 3^1)$ (Lemma 2.1)

$$(0, 4, 1, 3), (0, a, 2, 1), (0, b, 4, 8), (0, c, 6, 9), (0, 1, 3, a) \\ (0, 2, 8, b), (0, 3, 7, c)$$

$HSOLS(2^7 3^1)$ (Lemma 2.1)

$$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 2, 1, 6), (0, 3, 11, 12), (0, a, 5, 9), (0, b, 8, 11) \\ (0, c, 10, 2), (0, 8, 13, a), (0, 9, 12, b), (0, 10, 6, c)$$

$HSOLS(2^9 3^1)$ (Lemma 2.1)

$$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 2, 1, 6), (0, 3, 5, 11), (0, 4, 7, 14), (0, 5, 15, 16) \\ (0, a, 12, 15), (0, b, 13, 5), (0, c, 16, 12), (0, 6, 14, a) \\ (0, 10, 17, b), (0, 11, 6, c)$$

$HSOLS(2^{11} 3^1)$ (Lemma 2.1)

$$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 2, 1, 6), (0, 3, 5, 9), (0, 4, 7, 16), (0, 5, 13, 19)$$

$(0, 6, 21, 14), (0, 8, 15, 5), (0, a, 16, 13), (0, b, 18, 17), (0, c, 20, 12)$
 $(0, 7, 17, a), (0, 12, 10, b), (0, 13, 9, c)$

HSOLS($2^{13} 11^1$) (Lemma 2.1) This gives an *HSOLS*($2^{17} 3^1$) by filling in the hole of size 11 with *HSOLS*($2^4 3^1$).

$(0, 1, 3, a), (0, 2, 5, 19), (0, 3, 9, b), (0, 4, 14, c), (0, 5, 25, d)$
 $(0, 6, 20, e), (0, 7, 23, f), (0, 8, 7, g), (0, 9, 10, h), (0, 10, 22, i)$
 $(0, 11, 18, j), (0, 12, 16, k), (0, a, 21, 22), (0, b, 17, 15), (0, c, 8, 5)$
 $(0, d, 4, 8), (0, e, 24, 19), (0, f, 15, 9), (0, g, 11, 18), (0, h, 6, 23)$
 $(0, i, 1, 11), (0, j, 2, 17), (0, k, 12, 24)$

HSOLS($2^{14} 13^1$) (Lemma 2.1). This gives an *HSOLS*($2^{19} 3^1$) by filling in the hole of size 13 with *HSOLS*($2^5 3^1$).

$(0, 1, 3, a), (0, 2, 8, b), (0, 3, 15, c), (0, 4, 7, d), (0, 5, 6, e)$
 $(0, 6, 13, f), (0, 7, 17, g), (0, 8, 16, h), (0, 9, 27, i), (0, 10, 19, j)$
 $(0, 11, 22, k), (0, 12, 25, l), (0, 13, 12, m), (0, a, 24, 25)$
 $(0, b, 21, 23), (0, c, 18, 15), (0, d, 23, 19), (0, e, 10, 5)$
 $(0, f, 11, 17), (0, g, 1, 22), (0, h, 4, 24), (0, i, 2, 21)$
 $(0, j, 26, 16), (0, k, 9, 26), (0, l, 20, 4), (0, m, 5, 20)$

HSOLS($2^{17} 15^1$). This gives an *HSOLS*($2^{23} 3^1$) by filling in the hole of size 15 with *HSOLS*($2^6 3^1$).

$(0, 1, 8, a), (0, 2, 4, b), (0, 3, 6, c), (0, 4, 9, d), (0, 5, 11, e)$
 $(0, 6, 18, f), (0, 7, 25, g), (0, 8, 30, h), (0, 9, 29, i), (0, 10, 31, j)$
 $(0, 11, 26, k), (0, 12, 20, l), (0, 13, 24, m), (0, 14, 15, n)$
 $(0, 15, 28, p), (0, 16, 32, 22), (0, a, 23, 24), (0, b, 12, 14)$
 $(0, c, 33, 30), (0, d, 27, 23), (0, e, 2, 31), (0, f, 19, 25), (0, g, 16, 9)$

$(0, h, 7, 33), (0, i, 1, 10), (0, j, 3, 27), (0, k, 21, 32), (0, l, 13, 26)$
 $(0, m, 5, 19), (0, n, 14, 29), (0, p, 10, 28)$

HSOLS($2^6 3^1$) (Lemma 2.2)

$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, a, 1, 5), (0, b, 4, 9), (0, c, 5, 2)$

HSOLS($2^8 3^1$) (Lemma 2.2)

$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 3, 1, 7), (0, a, 5, 10), (0, b, 6, 13), (0, c, 7, 11)$

HSOLS($2^{10} 3^1$) (Lemma 2.2)

$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 3, 1, 8), (0, 4, 7, 15), (0, a, 4, 13)$
 $(0, b, 6, 11), (0, c, 8, 14)$

HSOLS($2^{12} 3^1$) (Lemma 2.2)

$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 3, 1, 7), (0, 4, 15, 10), (0, 7, 10, 18), (0, a, 5, 15)$
 $(0, b, 7, 16), (0, c, 8, 19)$

HSOLS($2^{14} 3^1$) (Lemma 2.2)

$(0, 1, 2, 4), (0, 3, 1, 7), (0, 4, 9, 16), (0, 5, 20, 11), (0, 11, 5, 18)$
 $(0, a, 10, 20), (0, b, 11, 19), (0, c, 13, 25)$

HSOLS($2^{14} 11^1$) (Lemma 2.2) This gives rise to an *HSOLS*($2^{18} 3^1$) by filling in the hole of size 11 with *HSOLS*($2^4 3^1$).

$(0, 1, 3, 5), (0, a, 1, 4), (0, b, 2, 6), (0, c, 5, 10), (0, d, 6, 12)$
 $(0, e, 7, 15), (0, f, 8, 17), (0, g, 9, 19), (0, h, 10, 21), (0, i, 11, 27)$
 $(0, j, 12, 25), (0, k, 13, 20)$

Hence, we have

Lemma 2.3 *There exists an HSOLS($2^n 3^1$) for*

$$n \in \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23\}.$$

Lemma 2.4 *There exists an HSOLS(7^6).*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 with the following 4 – tuples:

$$\begin{aligned} &(0, 1, 29, 31), (0, 2, 28, 14), (0, 3, 26, 9), (0, 4, 6, 18), (0, 6, 2, 13) \\ &(0, 7, 34, 1), (0, 8, 17, 33), (0, 9, 27, g), (0, 11, a, 3), (0, 12, b, 21) \\ &(0, 13, c, 4), (0, 14, d, 24), (0, 16, e, 23), (0, 17, f, 19), (0, a, 16, 24) \\ &\quad (0, b, 12, 11), (0, c, 8, 21), (0, d, 7, 13), (0, e, 22, 29) \\ &\quad (0, f, 32, 34), (0, g, 11, 7) \end{aligned}$$

□

Our recursive construction for HSOLS uses group-divisible designs. A *group-divisible design* (or GDD) is a triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, which satisfies the following properties:

1. \mathcal{G} is a partition of X into subsets called *groups*
2. \mathcal{A} is a set of subsets of X (called *blocks*) such that a group and a block contain at most one common point
3. every pair of points from distinct groups occurs in a unique block.

A *transversal design* $TD(k, n)$ is a GDD with kn points, k groups of size n , and n^2 blocks of size k . (A $TD(k, n)$ is equivalent to $k - 2$ MOLS of order n .)

The following construction is essentially [8, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a GDD and let $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. Suppose there exist HSOLS of type $w(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists HSOLS of type $\{\sum_{x \in G} w(x) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$.*

We shall use the following specialization.

Corollary 2.6 Suppose $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a GDD where every block has size at least four. Suppose also that there is an $HSOLS(2^{|G|}3^1)$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there is an $HSOLS(2^{|X|}3^1)$.

Proof. Let ∞ be a new point (not in X). Define a new GDD $(X', \mathcal{G}', \mathcal{A}')$, where $X' = X \cup \{\infty\}$, $\mathcal{G}' = \{\{y\} : y \in X'\}$, and $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cup \{G \cup \{\infty\} : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ (i.e. we add the new point ∞ to each group in \mathcal{G} , and form a new GDD with groups of size one). Define the following weighting w of X' :

$$\begin{aligned} w(y) &= 2 & \text{if } y \in X \\ w(y) &= 3 & \text{if } y = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, apply Lemma 2.5. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we require $HSOLS(2^{|A|})$, which exist by Theorem 1.1. For each $A = G \cup \{\infty\}$ ($G \in \mathcal{G}$), we require $HSOLS(2^{|G|}3^1)$, which exists by assumption. We obtain $HSOLS(2^{|X|}3^1)$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.7 For $h \geq 2$, there exists an $HSOLS(h^n)$ if and only if $n \geq 4$.

Proof. We need only give an $HSOLS(13^6)$. Take a $TD(6, 7)$ having two disjoint blocks A and B . Delete all points in B . Give weight 3 to each point in A and weight 2 to all other points. Since the GDD has block sizes 5 and 6, we need input HSOLS of types $3^6, 2^6, 2^5, 2^4 3^1$ and $2^5 3^1$, which are all known. This gives an $HSOLS(13^6)$. \square

3 $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$

We begin by noting a trivial necessary condition for the existence of HMOLS.

Lemma 3.1 If there exists $HMOLS(a^n b^1)$, then $n \geq 1 + 2b/a$.

Proof. Trivial. \square

Corollary 3.2 If there exists an $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$, then $n \geq 4$.

In order to close the spectrum of $SOLS(2^n 3^1)$, we use the GDD construction (Corollary 2.6). We shall use the following classes of GDDs.

Lemma 3.3 [1, Lemma 2.5] *Suppose there is a $TD(6, m)$ and $4 \leq r \leq m$. Then there is a GDD of group-type $4^{m-r}5^r$ in which every block has size at least 4.*

Lemma 3.4 [1, Lemma 2.6] *Suppose there is a $TD(5+r, m)$ and $r \geq 1$. Then there is a GDD of group-type $4^{m-r}5^r$ in which every block has size at least 4.*

Lemma 3.5 *For $n \geq 48$, there exists an $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$.*

Proof. Write $n = 6m + r$, where m is odd and $4 \leq r \leq m$ (this can be done in a unique way). There is a $TD(6, m)$ by [4]. Apply Lemma 3.3, obtaining a GDD of group-type $4^{m-r}5^r$ in which every block has size at least 4. Then, apply Corollary 2.6. \square

Lemma 3.6 *There is an $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ for $32 \leq n \leq 45$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.3 with $m = 7, 4 \leq r \leq 7$; with $m = 8, 4 \leq r \leq 7$; and with $m = 9, 4 \leq r \leq 9$. Then, apply Corollary 2.6. \square

Lemma 3.7 *There is an $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ for $n = 46$ and 47 .*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.4 with $m = 11, r = 2$ and 3 . Then, apply Corollary 2.6. \square

We present constructions for several other GDDs in Table 1. In each case, we obtain $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ from Corollary 2.6.

Lemma 3.8 *There is an $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ for $n = 21, 22$, and 31 .*

Proof. Take a $TD(6, 5)$ and let A be a block. Keep the points in A and delete all other points in the last two groups. Give weight 3 to the point which is the intersection of A and the last group. Give weight 2 to other points. We obtain an $HSOLS(2^{21} 3^1)$.

In a $TD(8, 7)$, keep the points in one block A and delete other points in the last four groups. A similar weighting gives an $HSOLS(2^{31} 3^1)$.

In a $(21, 5, 1)$ -BIBD give weight 3 to five points in a block and weight 2 to all other points. We get an $HSOLS(2^{16} 15^1)$, and then an $HSOLS(2^{22} 3^1)$ by filling in the size 15 hole with an $HSOLS(2^6 3^1)$. \square

Table 1: Constructions of $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$

n	group-type of GDD	construction
16	4^4	$TD(4, 4)$
20	4^5	$TD(5, 4)$
24	$5^4 4^1$	$TD(5, 5)$ minus a point
25	5^5	$TD(5, 5)$
26	$4^4 5^2$	$TD(6, 5)$ minus one point each from the first four groups such that no three are in the same block
27	$4^3 5^3$	$TD(6, 5)$ minus one point each from the first three groups such that they are not in the same block
28	$5^4 4^2$	$TD(6, 5)$ minus one point from each of two groups
29	$5^5 4^1$	$TD(6, 5)$ minus a point
30	5^6	$TD(6, 5)$

We then obtain the following existence theorem.

Theorem 3.9 *An $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ exists if and only if $n \geq 4$, except possibly for $n = 13$ or 15 .*

4 An application

$HSOLS(2^n)$ played an essential role in a construction for 2–perfect m –cycle systems [11]. In this section, we give an alternate proof of a known result on intersections of transversal designs using the $HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$ that we have constructed.

Suppose $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}_1)$ and $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}_2)$ are both $TD(4, m)$ (having the same group set). The *intersection* of the two TD's is defined to be the number of common blocks, i.e. $|\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2|$. Define $TI(m)$ to be the set of all possible intersection numbers of two $TD(4, m)$'s. An almost complete determination of the sets $TI(m)$ is proved by Colbourn and Royle in [7]. This is accomplished using incomplete transversal designs. Following the remark made in [7, p. 46], we prove a similar result (for even m) using

$HSOLS(2^n 3^1)$. (Actually, it suffices to use $HMOLS(2^n 3^1)$ in this construction.)

The following result can be proved in a similar manner as the constructions in [7]. We state it without proof.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose there is an $HMOLS(2^n 3^1)$. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$, let $\beta \in \{0, 1, 3\}$, let $\delta_i \in \{0, 2, 8\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and let $\epsilon \in \{0, 1, 3, 7, 15\}$. Then*

$$\alpha(4n+2) + \beta(2n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i + \epsilon + 1 \in TI(2n+4).$$

Now, simple arithmetic yields the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2 *Suppose $m \geq 12$ is even, $1 \leq t \leq m^2$, and $t \neq m^2 - s$ where*

$$s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19\}.$$

Then $t \in TI(m)$.

Remarks.

1. It is easy to see that $0 \in TI(m)$ for any $m \geq 3$, $m \neq 6$.
2. From the proof of [6, Lemma 2.1], it follows that $m^2 - s \notin TI(m)$ if $s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$.
3. In [7, Lemma 3.6], it is proved that if $m = 9$ or $m \geq 12$, $m \neq 14$, $0 \leq t \leq m^2$, and $t \neq m^2 - s$, where

$$s \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 19\},$$

then $t \in TI(m)$. So the case $m = 14$ is the only “new” case covered by Theorem 4.1.

Acknowledgements

D. R. Stinson’s research is supported by NSF Grant CCR-9121051. L. Zhu’s research is supported by an NSFC grant.

References

- [1] A. Assaf, E. Mendelsohn, and D. R. Stinson. On resolvable coverings of pairs by triples. *Utilitas Math.* 32 (1987), 67–74.
- [2] F. Bennett, L. Wu, and L. Zhu. Conjugate orthogonal Latin squares with equal-sized holes. *Ann. Discrete Math.* 34 (1987), 65-80.
- [3] F. Bennett, L. Wu, and L. Zhu. On the existence of COLS with equal-sized holes. *Ars Combin.* 26B (1988), 5-36.
- [4] Th. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz. *Design Theory*, Bibliographisches Institut, Zurich, 1985.
- [5] R. K. Brayton, D. Coppersmith, and A. J. Hoffman. Self-orthogonal Latin squares, *Teorie Combinatorie, Proc. Rome Conf.* (1976), 509-517.
- [6] C. J. Colbourn, D. G. Hoffman, and C. C. Lindner. Intersections of $S(2, 4, v)$ designs. *Ars Combin.* 33 (1992), 97-111.
- [7] C. J. Colbourn and G. F. Royle. Supports of $(v, 4, 2)$ designs. *Le Matematiche XLV* (1990), 39-60.
- [8] J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson. MOLS with holes. *Discrete Math.* 44 (1983), 145-154.
- [9] E. R. Lamken. The existence of three orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares of type t^n . *Discrete Math.* 89 (1991), 231-251.
- [10] C. C. Lindner, R. C. Mullin, and D. R. Stinson. On the spectrum of resolvable orthogonal arrays invariant under the Klein group K_4 . *Aequationes Math.* 26 (1983), 176-183.
- [11] C. C. Lindner and C. A. Rodger. 2 – perfect m – cycle systems. *Discrete Math.* 104 (1992), 83-90.
- [12] R. C. Mullin and D. R. Stinson. Holey SOLSSOMs. *Utilitas Math.* 25 (1984), 159-169.
- [13] R. C. Mullin and L. Zhu. The spectrum of $HSOLSSOM(h^n)$ where h is odd. *Utilitas Math.* 27 (1985), 157-168.

- [14] D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu. On sets of three MOLS with holes. *Discrete Math.* **54** (1985), 321-328.
- [15] D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu. On the existence of MOLS with equal-sized holes. *Aequationes Math.* **33** (1987), 96-105.
- [16] D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu. On the existence of three MOLS with equal-sized holes. *Australasian Journal of Math.* **4** (1991), 33-47.
- [17] L. Zhu. Existence of holey SOLSSOMs of type 2^n . *Congr. Numer.* **45** (1984), 295-304.
- [18] L. Zhu. Existence of incomplete self-orthogonal latin squares $ISOLS(6m + 2, 2m)$. To appear in *Ars Combin.*