

# Existence of BIBDs with Block Size 8 and $\lambda = 7$

Jianxing Yin

Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University  
Suzhou 215006, P.R. of China

**Abstract.** It is shown that the obvious necessary condition for the existence of a  $B(8, 7; v)$  is sufficient, with the possible exception of  $v \in \{48, 56, 96, 448\}$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $v$ ,  $k$  and  $\lambda$  be positive integers. A *balanced incomplete block design* (BIBD) with parameters  $v$ ,  $k$  and  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $B(k, \lambda; v)$ , is a pair  $(X, A)$  where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set (of points) and  $A$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets of  $X$  (called *blocks*) such that every pair of distinct points of  $X$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $A$ . The notation  $B(k, \lambda)$  denotes the set of all integers  $v$  for which there exists a  $B(k, \lambda; v)$ .

The obvious necessary condition for the existence of a  $B(k, \lambda; v)$  is  $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}$  and  $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$ . When  $\lambda = k-1$ , this implies that  $v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ . For  $3 \leq k \leq 7$ , it was proved in [4] that this condition is also sufficient. The following result was also obtained in [4].

**Lemma 1.1.**  $\{8, 9, 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 40, 41\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .

In this paper, we are concerned mainly with the existence of a  $B(8, 7; v)$ , where the necessary condition for existence is  $v \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{8}$ . We show that this condition is also sufficient, with the possible exception of  $v \in \{48, 56, 96, 448\}$ .

## 2. Constructions

To obtain the required designs we employ some old and new constructions listed below. Fundamental to these constructions is a number of other designs which we define now.

Let  $X$  be a finite set (of points),  $\lambda$  a positive integer. A *group divisible design* (GDD) of index  $\lambda$  is a triple  $(X, G, A)$ , where

- 1)  $G$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  (called *groups*) which partition  $X$ ,
- 2)  $A$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  (called *blocks*) such that a group and a block contain at most one common point, and
- 3) every pair of points from distinct groups occurs in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $A$ .

The *group-type* (or *type*) of a GDD is a listing of the group sizes using so-called "exponential" notation, i.e.  $1^i 2^j 3^k \dots$  denotes  $i$  groups of size 1,  $j$  groups of size 2, etc. Let  $K$  be a set of positive integers. We say that a GDD is a  $(K, \lambda)$ -GDD if  $|A| \in K$  for every block  $A$  of  $A$ . When  $K = \{k\}$ , the design is simply denoted by  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD.

Three particular GDDs of which we will make use need to be mentioned. A  $(K, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $1^v$  is referred to as a *pairwise balanced design* (PBD), denoted by  $B(K, \lambda; v)$ . A  $(k, 1)$ -GDD of type  $m^k$  is referred to as a *transversal design* (TD), denoted by  $TD(k, m)$ . A  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $1^u w^1$  is defined to be an *incomplete BIBD*, denoted by  $IB(k, \lambda; u + w, w)$ . The group of size  $w$  is thought of as a hole. Intuitively, an incomplete BIBD is a BIBD from which a sub-BIBD is missing (this is the hole). We wish to remark that a BIBD may be viewed as an incomplete BIBD with a hole of size 0 or 1. For more detailed information on PBDs and related designs, the interested reader may refer to [1,4,6].

We also require the notion of a resolvable BIBD. A resolvable  $B(k, \lambda; v)$  (briefly,  $RB(k, \lambda; v)$ ) is defined to be a  $B(k, \lambda; v)$  in which the block set can be partitioned into classes (called *parallel classes*) such that every point of the design occurs precisely once in each class.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([5]). *Let  $m = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}$  be the factorization of  $m$  into powers of distinct primes  $p_i$ . Then a  $TD(k, m)$  exists where  $k \leq 1 + \min\{p_i^{k_i}\}$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** ([3]). *If  $n \in \{8, 15, 29, 36, 43, 50, 57, 64, 71, 85\}$ , then there exists an  $RB(8, 1; 8n)$ .*

We now give our constructions. We often construct BIBDs from GDDs by filling in the groups as follows (see, for example, [6]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $w$  be a non-negative integer. Suppose that the following designs exist:*

- 1) a  $(k, \lambda)$ -GDD of type  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ ,
- 2) an  $IB(k, \lambda; t_i + w, w)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , and
- 3) a  $B(k, \lambda; t_n + w)$ .

*Then there exists a  $B(k, \lambda; t + w)$ , where  $t = \sum t_i$ .*

For our purpose, we mention a special form of Lemma 2.3 below.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $q$  be a prime power not less than 8 and  $w$  a non-negative integer. Suppose that the following designs exist:*

- 1) an  $IB(8, 7; q + w, w)$ , and
- 2) a  $B(8, 7; u + w)$  where  $0 \leq u \leq q$ .

*Then there exists a  $B(8, 7; 8q + u + w)$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.1, a  $TD(9, q)$  exists. Delete  $q - u$  points from one group of a  $TD(9, q)$ , and then break the blocks of the resulting design by a  $B(8, 7; 8)$  or a  $B(8, 7; 9)$  (see Lemma 1.1). The result is an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $q^8 u^1$ . The conclusion then follows from Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $6n + 1$  is a prime power. Then there exists an  $IB(8, 7; 7n + 1, n)$ .*

**Proof.** Let point set  $X = [\text{GF}(6n+1)] \cup \{\infty_0, \infty_1, \dots, \infty_{n-1}\}$ , and let  $x$  be a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(6n+1)$ . It was shown in [4, Lemma 4.2] that  $\{B_i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  forms a  $(6n+1, 7, 7)$  difference family based on the additive group of  $\text{GF}(6n+1)$  where

$$B_i = \{0, x^i, x^{i+n}, x^{i+2n}, x^{i+3n}, x^{i+4n}, x^{i+5n}\}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Let  $D = \{\{\infty_i\} \cup B_i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ . The proof that  $(X, \text{dev}D)$  is indeed an  $\text{IB}(8, 7; 7n+1, n)$  is by standard difference set techniques, where  $\text{dev}D = \{D+g : g \in \text{GF}(6n+1) \text{ and } D \in D\}$ .

The following lemma is the variation and modification of Theorem 3.7 in [2].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $q$  be an odd prime power not less than 9. Then there exists an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^q$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x$  is a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . We construct an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^q$  as follows. Let the point set be  $\text{GF}(q) \times (Z_7 \cup \{\infty\})$  and group set be  $\{\{g\} \times (Z_7 \cup \{\infty\}) : g \in \text{GF}(q)\}$ . Then the  $8q(q-1)$  blocks are obtained when the following base blocks are developed in the first component under the additive group of  $\text{GF}(q)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x^i, \infty), (x^{i+1}, 0), (x^{i+2}, 1), (x^{i+3}, 3), \\ & \quad (-x^i, \infty), (-x^{i+1}, 0), (-x^{i+2}, 1), (-x^{i+3}, 3)\} \bmod (-, 7) \\ & \{(x^i, 0), (x^{i+1}, 1), (x^{i+2}, 2), (x^{i+3}, 4), \\ & \quad (-x^i, 0), (-x^{i+1}, 1), (-x^{i+2}, 2), (-x^{i+3}, 4)\} \bmod (-, 7) \\ & \{(x^{j+1}, \infty), (x^{j+2}, 0), (x^{j+3}, 1), (x^{j+4}, 2), \\ & \quad (x^{j+5}, 3), (x^{j+6}, 4), (x^{j+7}, 5), (x^{j+8}, 6)\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $i$  runs from 0 to  $(q-3)/2$  and  $j$  runs from 0 to  $q-2$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer relatively prime to  $210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . Then there exists an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$  be the factorization of  $n$  into primes that  $p_1 \leq p_2 \dots \leq p_r$ , and let  $Q_i = p_i p_{i+1} \dots p_r$ . Start with a  $\text{TD}(p_1, Q_2)$  and successively partially break up the groups of size  $Q_i$  using a  $\text{TD}(p_i, Q_{i+1})$ . Finally, fill with blocks of size  $Q_r = P_r$  to produce a  $\text{B}(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}, 1; n)$ . The condition that  $n$  is relatively prime to 210 implies that  $p_j (1 \leq j \leq r)$  is an odd prime not less than 11. Give weight 8 to every point of the PBD and apply the Fundamental Construction (see [6]) with the necessary input designs from Lemma 2.6 to obtain an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^n$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that an  $RB(8, 1; v)$  exists, that  $u \in B(8, 7)$  or  $u = 0$ , and that  $u \leq (v - 1)/7$ . Then  $v + u \in B(8, 7)$ .*

**Proof.** For  $u = 0$ , the proof is straightforward. Now assume  $u > 0$ . Take an  $RB(8, 1; v)$  and adjoin  $u$  infinite points to  $u$  of its parallel classes of blocks, where one infinite point is adjoined to each of  $u$  parallel classes. This creates a  $PBD B(\{8, 9, u\}, 1; v + u)$ . Since  $\{8, 9, u\} \subset B(8, 7)$ , we can then break up the blocks of the PBD to show that  $v + u \in B(8, 7)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *If there is an  $IB(8, 7; v + u, u)$  and  $8u + e \in B(8, 7)$ , where  $e = 0$  or  $1$ , then  $8u + 8v + e \in B(8, 7)$ .*

**Proof.** By definition, an  $IB(8, 7; v + u, u)$  is an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $1^v u^1$ . Give weight 8 to every point of an  $IB(8, 7; v + u, u)$ . Since a  $TD(8, 8)$  exists by Lemma 2.1, the Fundamental Construction (see [6]) gives us an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^v(8u)^1$ . The required result then follows from Lemma 2.3 and the fact that  $\{8 + e, 8u + e\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .

### 3. Main result

In this section, we work towards determining the set  $B(8, 7)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $n$  is a positive integer relatively prime to 210, then  $\{8n, 8n + 1\} \subset B(8, 7)$*

**Proof.** This is immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.7.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\{49, 57, 97, 449\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .

**Proof.** For  $v = 57$ , the result follows from adding one infinite point to every group of a  $TD(8, 7)$ . For the other values of  $v$ , a  $B(8, 7; v)$  was constructed by H. Hanani [4, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $s \in \{8, 9, 15 - 17, 29 - 33, 36 - 41, 43 - 48, 50 - 55, 57 - 62, 64 - 69, 71 - 76, 79, 80, 85 - 90\}$ , then  $\{8s, 8s + 1\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 1.1, we can apply Lemma 2.8 with resolvable BIBDs from Lemma 2.2 to establish the lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $s \in \{13, 14, 19 - 21, 26 - 28, 34, 35, 42, 63, 70, 77 - 78, 81 - 84\}$ , then  $\{8s, 8s + 1\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .*

**Proof.** For  $s = 13$  or  $19$ , the result follows from Lemma 3.1. For the remaining values of  $s$ , we apply Lemma 2.4 with  $q \in \{13, 19, 25, 31, 37, 61, 67, 73, 79\}$  and  $w = (q - 1)/6$  such that  $u + w \in \{8, 9, 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 40, 41\}$ . This guarantees that the conclusion holds because of Lemmas 1.1 and 2.5.

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $s \in \{10, 11, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 49\}$ , then  $\{8s, 8s + 1\} \subset B(8, 7)$ .*

**Proof.** For  $s = 10$ , the result follows from deleting  $e$  points from one group of a  $TD(9, 9)$ , where  $e = 0$  or  $1$ . Take  $v = 19$  and  $u = 3$  in Lemma 2.9. This takes care of the case  $s = 22$ , where an  $IB(8, 7; 22, 3)$  exists by Lemma 2.5. Lemma 1.1, 3.2 and 2.4 work for the cases where  $s \in \{18, 24, 25, 49\}$ . The remaining cases where  $s = 11$  and  $23$  are contained in Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that a  $TD(11, t)$  exists and  $0 \leq a, b, c \leq t$ . Then  $8(8t + a + b + c) + e \in B(8, 7)$  if  $\{8t + e, 8a + e, 8b + e, 8c + e\} \subset B(8, 7)$ , where  $e = 0, 1$ .*

**Proof.** In a  $TD(9, 9)$ , we delete one point to obtain a  $(9, 1)$ -GDD of type  $8^{10}$ . We then break the block of the resulting GDD by a  $B(8, 7; 9)$  to obtain a  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^{10}$ . From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6 we have also  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $8^8, 8^9$  and  $8^{11}$ . In a  $TD(11, t)$ , we delete  $t - a, t - b$  and  $t - c$  points from three groups respectively to obtain an  $(\{8, 9, 10, 11\}, 1)$ -GDD of type  $t^8 a^1 b^1 c^1$ . Now we apply the Fundamental Construction with weight 8 to the last GDD. This creates an  $(8, 7)$ -GDD of type  $(8t)^8 (8a)^1 (8b)^1 (8c)^1$ . The result then follows from Lemma 2.3.

We are now in the position to give our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every positive integer  $v \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{8}$ , with the possible exception of  $v \in \{48, 56, 96, 448\}$ , there exists a  $B(8, 7; v)$ .*

**Proof.** From lemmas 3.2–3.5 and 1.1, we know that the conclusion holds for  $v \leq 721$ . Note that for the sequence of integers  $n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + 9$  at least one integer is relatively prime to 210. Simple calculation shows that each value of  $v \geq 721$  can be written in the form  $8(8t + a + b + c) + e$  where  $e = 0$  or  $1$  and  $a, b, c$  and  $t$  are chosen so that

- 1)  $t$  is a positive integer relatively prime to 210,
- 2)  $\{8a + e, 8b + e, 8c + e\} \subset B(8, 7)$ , where  $0 \leq a, b, c \leq t$ .

Now apply Lemma 3.6 and the result follows, where we used Lemmas 2.1, 3.1 and already determined values.

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for pointing out typos in the original manuscript, as well as for other helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] Th. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, "Design Theory", Bibl. Institut, Zurich, 1985.
- [2] S. Furino, *Existence results for near resolvable designs*. Preprint.
- [3] M. Greig, *Resolvable balanced incomplete block designs with a block size of 8*. Preprint.
- [4] H. Hanani, *Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs*, Discrete Math **11** (1975), 255–369.
- [5] H. F. MacNeish, *Euler sequences*, Ann. Math **23** (1922), 221–227.
- [6] R. M. Wilson, *Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs*, Math. Centre Tracts **55** (1974), 18–41.