# Dudeney's Round Table Problem - a Survey

Midori Kobayashi\*<sup>1</sup>, Keiko Kotani<sup>+2</sup>, Nobuaki Mutoh\*<sup>3</sup>, and Gisaku Nakamura\*

\*University of Shizuoka, Shizuoka, 422-8526 Japan

+Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo, 162-8601 Japan

Abstract We survey Dudeney's round table problem which asks for a set of Hamilton cycles in the complete graph that uniformly covers the 2-paths of the graph. The problem was proposed about one hundred years ago but it is still unsettled. We mention the history of the problem, known results, generalizations, related designs, and some open problems.

## 1 Dudeney's round table problem

Dudeney proposed a problem in his book [8] as follows:

"Seat the same n persons at a round table on (n-1)(n-2)/2 occasions so that no person shall ever have the same two neighbours twice. This is, of course, equivalent to saying that every person must sit once, and once only, between every possible pair."

We call the problem *Dudeney's round table problem*. Denoting the n persons by  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ , solutions for small n are obtained as follows. When n = 3, we have  $\{(1,2,3)\}$ , when n = 4, we have  $\{(1,2,3,4), (1,2,4,3), (1,3,2,4)\}$ , when n = 5, we have  $\{(1,2,3,4,5), (1,2,4,5,3), (1,2,5,3,4), (1,3,2,5,4), (1,4,2,3,5), (1,5,2,4,3)\}$  for example, where  $(a, b, \ldots, c)$  represents a cycle in which c is followed by a.

In graph terminology, the problem asks for a set of Hamilton cycles in the complete graph  $K_n$  with the property that every path of length two (2-path) of  $K_n$  lies on exactly one of the cycles. (The length of a path is the number of edges in the path.) We call such a set of cycles in  $K_n$  a Dudeney set in  $K_n$ . The cardinality of a Dudeney set in  $K_n$  is (n-1)(n-2)/2.

Dudeney's round table problem is equivalent to the problem of decomposing the line graph  $L(K_n)$  of the complete graph  $K_n$  into n-cycles with the property that each of these n-cycles contains exactly one edge from each of the n maximum cliques of  $L(K_n)$  which arise out of the stars at the vertices of  $K_n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> midori@u-shizuoka-ken.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> kkotani@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> muto@u-shizuoka-ken.ac.jp

It has been conjectured that there exists a solution of Dudeney's round table problem for every n people  $(n \ge 3)$ ; however, it is still unsettled.

Conjecture 1.1 (Dudeney)<sup>4</sup> There exists a solution of Dudeney's round table problem for every n people  $(n \ge 3)$ .

In this paper, we survey Dudeney's round table problem. We mention the history of the problem, known results, generalizations, related designs, and some open problems.

# 2 The history of Dudeney's round table problem

In 1899, Judson proposed the following problem in American Mathematical Monthly [15]:

"Seven persons met at a summer resort, and agreed to remain as many days as there are ways of sitting at a round table, so that no one shall sit twice between the same two companions. They remained fifteen days. It is required to show in what way they may have been seated."

In 1900, Judson showed solutions for 6 and 8 people and he wrote that he had failed to get a solution for 7 people in *Amer. Math. Monthly* [16], and the editor of the journal offered one year's free subscription to the first person to provide a solution for 7 people.

In 1904, Safford showed a solution for 7 people and expressed his belief that it is the unique solution<sup>5</sup>, and he also provided another solution for 6 people and he wrote that the solution appeared to be non-isomorphic to the one given by Judson [45]. Safford showed that there are at most two non-isomorphic solutions for 6 people (one is Judson's solution, another is Safford and Dickson's solution) by exhaustive search [46], and Dickson proved that the two solutions are, in fact, non-isomorphic [5].

In 1905, Dickson generalized the problem to seating n people and obtained solutions for n = 6, 8, 10, 12 using group theory [6].

Dudeney posed the problem for 6 people in the English newspaper *Daily* Mail on 13th (the problem) and 16th (the answer) October, 1905. Dudeney posed the problem for 7 people in his book *The Canterbury Puzzles* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dudeney wrote "I discovered a subtle method for solving all cases" in his book [7] (p. 237), but he appears never to have published the method. No one knows whether he really discovered it, but at least he must have believed that there are solutions for all cases, so we may call it Dudeney's conjecture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> His belief is correct. We can see that the numbers of non-isomorphic solutions for 6, 7, and 8 people are 2, 1, and 1066610, respectively with the aid of a computer [39].

[7] (Problem 90), and he posed the problem for n people in his book Amuse-ments in Mathematics [8] (Problem 273). Thus, the problem has become popular and received his name.

Dudeney claims in Amusements in Mathematics to have recorded schedules for  $3 \le n \le 25$  and n = 33. But he displays the solutions only for  $3 \le n \le 12$  and he explains that good many mathematicians are still considering the case of n = 13 and he will not rob them of the pleasure of solving it by showing the answer. Dudeney further writes in The Canterbury Puzzles that Bergholt solved the problem when n = p+1 (p is a prime), Bewley found a general method for all even numbers, and the problem for the odd numbers are extremely difficult, and for a long time no progress could be made with their solution. And he writes "At last, however (though not without much difficulty), I discovered a subtle method for solving all cases." As stated in a footnote of §1, he appears never to have provided his method.

In 1971, Meally posed the problem of asking solutions for n = 13 and any number n in the *Journal of Recreational Mathematics* [37]. Huang and Rosa investigated the problem and gave a construction for n = p+1 (p is a prime) and found solutions for n = 9, 11, 13, 15 with the aid of a computer [13]. Nakamura independently gave solutions for n = 13, 15, 16 [38].

The problem is also treated in Rouse Ball and Coxeter's book [4] (p. 49).

# 3 'Known results for Dudeney's round table problem

Dudeney's round table problem has been solved for the following n. We list them in chronological order.

- 1. n = p + 1 (p is a prime)<sup>6</sup>
- 2. n = 2p (p is a prime)  $[2, 3, 42]^7$
- 3.  $n = p^e + 1$  (p is a prime,  $e \ge 1$ ) [43]
- 4. n = p + 2 (p is an odd prime and 2 is a primitive root of GF(p)) [9]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The easy case n = p + 1 (p is a prime) has been solved by many people. Published examples are [13, 42, 48].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Anderson constructed a perfect 1-factorization of  $K_{2p}$  (p is a prime) in [2, 3]. We put his papers on the list since a perfect 1-factorization induces a Dudeney set immediately (see §5.2).

- 5.  $n = p^e + 1^8$ , n = pq + 1  $(p, q \text{ are odd primes, } e \ge 1)$ ;  $n = p^e q^f + 1$   $(p, q \text{ are distinct odd primes with } p \ge 5, q \ge 11, \text{ and } e, f \ge 1)$   $[10]^9$
- 6. n is even [18]
- 7. n = p + 2 (p is an odd prime, 2 is the square of a primitive root of GF(p) and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  [17] (Theorem 1.1)
- 8. n = p + 2 (p is an odd prime and -2 is a primitive root of GF(p)) [17] (Theorem 1.2)
- 9. n = p + 2 (p is an odd prime, 2 is the square of a primitive root of GF(p),  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , 3 is not a quadratic residue modulo p) [24]
- 10. n = p + 2 (p is an odd prime, -2 is the square of a primitive root of GF(p), and either
  - (10-1)  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and 3 is not a quadratic residue modulo p, or (10-2)  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  [24]
- 11. some sporadic cases (n = 11 [8]; 23, 45 [9]; 27, 29, 35, 37, 41, 47 [40]; 75, 91 [21]).

In conclusion it has been solved when n is even. In the case that n is odd, it has been solved when  $n=2^e+1$   $(e \ge 1)$ , and n=p+2 (p is an odd prime) with some conditions, and some sporadic cases. The smallest values for which the conjecture remains unsolved are n=51,53.

## 4 Dudeney designs

Dudeney's round table problem asks for a uniform covering of 2-paths with Hamilton cycles in  $K_n$ . It is natural to consider Hamilton paths, k-cycles, or k-paths instead of Hamilton cycles; and the complete bipartite graph, the complete digraph, or the complete bipartite digraph instead of  $K_n$ .

A generalization of a Dudeney set has been considered by Heinrich et al. [11]. Consider a graph G and a subgraph H of G. A  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  design is a multiset  $\mathcal{D}$  of subgraphs of G, each isomorphic to H, so that every 2-path of G lies in exactly  $\lambda$  subgraphs in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Analogously, when G is a directed graph (digraph) and H is a subgraph of G (a subgraph of a directed graph means a directed subgraph), a  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  design is a multiset  $\mathcal{D}$  of

<sup>9</sup> The paper [10] was submitted earlier than the paper [18], but was published later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The methods for  $n = p^e + 1$  of the cases 3 and 5 are different. Note that p is a prime including 2 in the case 3.

subgraphs of G, each isomorphic to H, so that every directed 2-path lies in exactly  $\lambda$  subgraphs in  $\mathcal{D}$ . We call these designs  $Dudeney\ designs^{10\ 11}$ .

A  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  design is resolvable or vertex-resolvable if the subgraphs in the design can be partitioned into classes so that every vertex of G appears exactly once in each class. Each such class is called a parallel class of the design. A  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  design is edge-resolvable if the subgraphs in the design can be partitioned into classes so that every edge of G appears exactly once in each class. Arc-resolvable are defined similarly.

The following notation will be used.  $K_n$  is the complete graph on n vertices,  $K_{n,n}$  is the complete bipartite graph on partite sets with n vertices each,  $C_k$  is a cycle on k vertices, and  $P_k$  is a path on k vertices.  $K_n^*$  is the complete (loop-free) digraph on n vertices and  $K_{n,n}^*$  is the complete bipartite digraph on partite sets with n vertices each.  $K_n^*$  and  $K_{n,n}^*$  are digraphs which are obtained from  $K_n$  and  $K_{n,n}$ , respectively, by substituting two oppositely directed edges (arcs) for each edge.  $\overrightarrow{C}_k$  is a directed cycle on k vertices and  $\overrightarrow{P}_k$  is a directed path on k vertices.

The problems of constructing  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  designs in which H is a cycle, a path, a directed cycle, or a directed path have been solved for the following cases. We say that a  $D(G, H, \lambda)$  design is *solved* if we have the necessary and sufficient condition of n for the existence of the design.

- 1.  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  designs (trivial)<sup>12</sup>, resolvable  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.9 (ii)), and edge-resolvable  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.11, 2.13 (i))
- 2.  $D(K_n, C_3, 1)$  designs (trivial)<sup>13</sup>, resolvable  $D(K_n, C_3, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.9 (i)), and edge-resolvable  $D(K_n, C_3, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.12, 2.13 (ii))
- 3.  $D(K_n, P_4, \lambda)$  designs<sup>14</sup> [11] (Th. 2.20, Cor. 2.23), [35] (Th. 2.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For more general definition, see [11].

Applications of Dudeney designs are, for example, experimental designs balanced for pairs of residual (carry-over) effects of treatments [49, 50]. k-Cycle or k-circuit decompositions of  $K_n$  are similar to Dudeney designs (A k-cycle [k-circuit] decomposition of  $K_n$  is a set of k-cycles [k-circuits] in  $K_n$  so that every edge occurs on exactly one of the cycles [circuits]), and their applications are in [14, 34, 44] for example. Since these designs are balanced with respect to neighbors, they are generally called neighbor designs. A Dudeney design is a kind of neighbor design.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  design is trivially constructed by taking all 2-paths in  $K_n$ . As a  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  design exists for all n, a  $D(K_n, P_3, \lambda)$  design trivially exists for all  $\lambda$  and n by taking  $\lambda$   $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  designs. We don't put on the list such a trivial  $\lambda$ -fold design. The same applies hereinafter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> A  $D(K_n, C_3, 1)$  design is trivially constructed by taking all triangles in  $K_n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> From [11] (Th. 2.20, Cor. 2.23), we see that there is a  $D(K_n, P_4, \lambda)$  design if and only if (i)  $\lambda$  is even, or (ii)  $\lambda$  is odd and  $n \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ .

- 4.  $D(K_n, C_4, \lambda)$  designs [12] and resolvable  $D(K_n, C_4, 1)$  designs [26]
- 5.  $D(K_n, P_5, 1)$  designs [28, 36]
- 6.  $D(K_n, P_6, 1)$  designs [31, 32]
- 7.  $D(K_n, C_6, 1)$  designs [29]
- 8.  $D(K_n, P_7, 1)$  designs [1]
- 9.  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_3, 1)$  designs (trivial), resolvable  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_3, 1)$  designs <sup>16</sup>, and arc-resolvable  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_3, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.14)
- 10.  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_4, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 2.21, 2.22)
- 11.  $D(K_{n,n}, P_4, 1)$  designs, resolvable  $D(K_{n,n}, P_4, 1)$  designs, and edge-resolvable  $D(K_{n,n}, P_4, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 3.3)
- 12.  $D(K_{n,n}, C_4, \lambda)$  designs [20], resolvable  $D(K_{n,n}, C_4, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 3.1 (ii)), and edge-resolvable  $D(K_{n,n}, C_4, 1)$  designs [11] (Th. 3.1 (i))
- 13.  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{C}_4, \lambda)$  designs, resolvable  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{C}_4, 1)$  designs, and arcresolvable  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{C}_4, 1)$  designs [20]
- 14.  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{C}_{2n}, 1)$  designs [19].

## 5 Related designs

In this section, we mention some designs related to Dudeney designs.

#### 5.1 3-Designs

Let  $n, \lambda$  be positive integers and let K be a set of positive integers with  $K \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A 3- $(n, K, \lambda)$  design, a 3-design in short, is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  where X is an n-set of points and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a multiset of subsets of X (blocks), with the property that every 3-subset of X is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks and the size of each block is a member of the set K ([12] p. 52). It is easy to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1** ([12] Lemma 1.3) Let n,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be positive integers and let K be a set of positive integers with  $K \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Let H be a graph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> There cannot exist edge-resolvable  $D(K_n, C_4, 1)$  designs [11] (p. 106).

<sup>16</sup> It is known that there is a resolvable  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  design for  $n \ge 3$ . Hence we obtain a resolvable  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_3, 1)$  design for  $n \ge 3$ , by taking two directed 2-paths (a, b, c) and (c, b, a) for each 2-path (a, b, c) in the  $D(K_n, P_3, 1)$  design.

If there exists a 3- $(n, K, \lambda)$  design, and if for every  $k \in K$  there exists a  $D(K_k, H, \mu)$  design, then there exists a  $D(K_n, H, \lambda \mu)$  design.

Heinrich et al. constructed  $D(K_n, C_4, \lambda)$  designs for all admissible n and  $\lambda$  applying Prop. 5.1 [12].

#### 5.2 Perfect 1-factorizations

Let  $n \geq 4$  be even. A 1-factorization of  $K_n$  with the property that the union of any two of its 1-factors is a Hamilton cycle is called a *perfect* 1-factorization. It is easy to see that for a perfect 1-factorization  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $K_n$ ,  $\{F \cup F' \mid F, F' \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a Dudeney set of  $K_n$ , so we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $n \geq 4$  be even. If there exists a perfect 1-factorization of  $K_n$ , then there exists a  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  design.

The problem of constructing a perfect 1-factorization of  $K_n$  is much more difficult than constructing a Dudeney set, and perfect 1-factorizations of  $K_n$  have been constructed only when n = p+1 and n = 2p (p is a prime) and some sporadic cases [47, 51].

## 5.3 *i*-Perfect Hamilton decompositions

Let  $n \geq 5$  be an odd integer and i be an integer with  $2 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$ . A Hamilton decomposition  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $K_n$  is called *i-perfect* if the set of chords at distance i in the Hamilton cycles in  $\mathcal{H}$  is the edge set of  $K_n$  [22].

**Proposition 5.3** ([22] Theorem A) Let  $n \geq 5$  be odd. If there exists a 2-perfect Hamilton decomposition of  $K_n$ , then there exists a Dudeney set of  $K_{n+1}$ .

It is known that there exists a 2-perfect Hamilton decomposition of  $K_n$  for odd n with  $5 \le n \le 29$  except n = 9 [25].

**Problem 5.4** Construct 2-perfect Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$  for every odd  $n \ge 11$ .

It is known that there exists a  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  design when n is even [18], but the proof is long and complicated, so a simple proof is desirable. If Problem 5.4 is solved, it would give a simple proof <sup>17</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Before solving the existence problem of  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  designs for even n, Kobayashi et al. solved the existence problem of  $D(K_n, C_n, 2)$  designs for even n [27]. The proof is truly simple. Such a simple proof is desirable also for  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  design.

## 5.4 Kotzig's problem

Let  $n \geq 5$  be odd. Kotzig defined a perfect set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$  in 1979 [33]. It is a set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$   $\{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{n-2}\}$  such that each 2-path of  $K_n$  appears in one Hamilton cycle of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_{n-2}$ . This is an edge-resolvable Dudeney set of  $K_n$  in our terminology. He posed a problem.

**Problem 5.5** (Kotzig) [11, 33] What is the smallest odd number  $n \geq 5$  for which there is a perfect set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$ .

There does not exist a perfect set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$  when n = 5, 7, 9, and there exists a perfect set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_{11}$  [41], so we see that the smallest number n of Problem 5.5 is n = 11.

# 6 Open problems

For Dudeney designs  $D(G, H, \lambda)$ , the most interesting cases would be that in which G is  $K_n$ ,  $K_{n,n}$ ,  $K_n^*$ , or  $K_{n,n}^*$ , and H is a Hamilton cycle or a Hamilton path<sup>18</sup>. In this section, we list open problems for those designs. The following partial results are known.

Theorem 6.1 [18, 23] Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer.

- (1) There exists a  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  design when n is even.
- (2) There exists a  $D(K_n, C_n, 2)$  design when n is odd.

Theorem 6.2 [30] Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer.

- (1) There exists a  $D(K_n, P_n, 1)$  design when  $n \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (2) There exists a  $D(K_n, P_n, 2)$  design when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Theorem 6.3 [30] Let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer.

- (1) There exists a  $D(K_{n,n}, C_{2n}, 1)$  design when  $n \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (2) There exists a  $D(K_{n,n}, C_{2n}, 2)$  design when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

We obtain the following corollaries immediately from the above theorems.

Corollary of Theorem 6.1 Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer.

- (1) There exists a  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{C}_n, 1)$  design when n is even.
- (2) There exists a  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{C}_n, 2)$  design when n is odd.

Corollary of Theorem 6.2 Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer.

The existence problem of  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  designs is Dudeney's round table problem, and that of  $D(K_n, P_n, 1)$  designs is called Dudeney's counter table problem [30].

- (1) There exists a  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_n, 1)$  design when  $n \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ . (2) There exists a  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_n, 2)$  design when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Note that the existence problem of a  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{C}_{2n}, 1)$  design is solved [19] as stated in §4. Thus, the remaining open problems are the following.

Problem 6.4 Solve the existence problems of the following Dudeney designs.

- 1.  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  designs for odd n
- 2.  $D(K_n, P_n, 1)$  designs for n with  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
- 3.  $D(K_{n,n}, C_{2n}, 1)$  designs for n with  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
- 4.  $D(K_{n,n}, P_{2n}, \lambda)$  designs for n and  $\lambda$
- 5.  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{C}_n, 1)$  designs for odd n
- 6.  $D(K_n^*, \overrightarrow{P}_n, 1)$  designs for n with  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
- 7.  $D(K_{n,n}^*, \overrightarrow{P}_{2n}, \lambda)$  designs for n and  $\lambda$ .

If design 1 exists, then design 2 exists by deleting one vertex, design 5 exists trivially, and design 3 exists [30] (Prop. 5.1). And if design 2 exists, then design 6 exists trivially. That is, if design 1 exists, then designs 2, 3, 5, 6 exist. In this sense, the problem of constructing  $D(K_n, C_n, 1)$  designs, i.e., Dudeney's round table problem has a fundamental position among these existence problems<sup>19</sup>.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments.

## References

- [1] J. Akiyama, M. Kobayashi, and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths with 6-paths in the complete graph, J. Akiyama et al. (Eds.): Combinatorial Geometry and Graph Theory, IJCCGGT 2003, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 3330 (2005) 25–33.
- [2] B. A. Anderson, Finite topologies and hamiltonian paths, J. Combin. Theory (B) 14 (1973) 87–93.

<sup>19</sup> If design 4 exists, then design 7 exists trivially. No direct relations between  $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$  and  $\{4, 7\}$  are known.

- [3] B. A. Anderson, Symmetry groups of some perfect 1-factorizations of complete graphs, *Discrete Math.* 18 (1977) 227-234.
- [4] W. W. Rouse Ball and H. S. M. Coxeter, "Mathematical Recreations and Essays", the thirteenth edition, Dover Publ., New York, 1987; this is a corrected republication of the twelfth edition as published by University of Totonto Press, Canada, 1974 (first edition: 1892).
- [5] L. E. Dickson, Solutions of Problems (Algebra), Amer. Math. Monthly 11 (1904) 170.
- [6] L. E. Dickson, Application of groups to a complex problem in arrangements, Ann. of Math. 6 (1905) 31-44.
- [7] H. E. Dudeney, "The Canterbury Puzzles", the fourth edition, 1919, of the work originally published by W. Heinemann, London, 1907; Reprinted by Dover Publ., New York, 2002.
- [8] H. E. Dudeney, "Amusements in Mathematics", Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1917; Reprinted by Dover Publ., New York, 1970.
- [9] K. Heinrich, M. Kobayashi, and G. Nakamura, Dudeney's round table problem, Discrete Math. 92 (1991) 107-125.
- [10] K. Heinrich, M. Kobayashi, and G. Nakamura, A solution of Dudeney's round table problem for  $p^eq^f + 1$ , Ars Combin. 43 (1996) 3–16.
- [11] K. Heinrich, D. Langdeau, and H. Verrall, Covering 2-paths uniformly, J. Combin. Des. 8 (2000) 100-121.
- [12] K. Heinrich and G. M. Nonay, Exact coverings of 2-paths by 4-cycles, J. Combin. Theory (A) 45 (1987) 50-61.
- [13] C. Huang and A. Rosa, On sets of orthogonal hamiltonian circuits, Proceedings of the Second Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics, October 5-7, 1972, Utilitas Mathematica Pub. (Congressus Numerantium 7) (1973) 327–332.
- [14] F. K. Hwang and S. Lin, Neighbor designs, J. Combin. Theory (A) 23 (1977) 302-313.
- [15] C. H. Judson, Problems for Solution (Algebra), Amer. Math. Monthly 6 (1899) 92.
- [16] C. H. Judson, Problems for Solution (Algebra), Amer. Math. Monthly 7 (1900) 72-73.

- [17] M. Kobayashi, J. Akiyama, and G. Nakamura, On Dudeney's round table problem for p+2, Ars Combin. **62** (2002) 145–154.
- [18] M. Kobayashi, Kiyasu-Z., and G. Nakamura, A solution of Dudeney's round table problem for an even number of people, J. Combin. Theory (A) 63 (1993) 26-42.
- [19] M. Kobayashi, K. Kotani, N. Mutoh, and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths in the complete bipartite directed graph, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics 13 (2014) 155-161.
- [20] M. Kobayashi, K. Kotani, N. Mutoh, and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths with 4-cycles, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics 12 (2015) 70–73.
- [21] M. Kobayashi, B. D. McKay, N. Mutoh, and G. Nakamura, Black 1-factors and Dudeney sets, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 75 (2010) 167-174.
- [22] M. Kobayashi, B. D. McKay, N. Mutoh, G. Nakamura, and C. Nara, 3-Perfect hamiltonian decomposition of the complete graph, Australas. J. Combin. 56 (2013) 219–224.
- [23] M. Kobayashi, N. Mutoh, Kiyasu-Z., and G. Nakamura, Double coverings of 2-paths by Hamilton cycles, J. Combin. Designs 10 (2002) 195–206.
- [24] M. Kobayashi, N. Mutoh, Kiyasu-Z., and G. Nakamura, New series of Dudeney sets for p+2 vertices, Ars Combin. 65 (2002) 3–20.
- [25] M. Kobayashi, N. Mutoh, and G. Nakamura, Dudeney's round table problem and neighbour-balanced Hamilton decompositions, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 88 (2014) 207-211.
- [26] M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Resolvable coverings of 2-paths by 4-cycles, J. Combin. Theory (A) 60 (1992) 295–297.
- [27] M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Exact coverings of 2-paths by Hamilton cycles, J. Combin. Theory (A) 60 (1992) 298-304.
- [28] M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths by 4-paths, Australas. J. Combin. 24 (2001) 301-304.
- [29] M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths with 6-cycles in the complete graph, Australas. J. Combin. 34 (2006) 299– 304.

- [30] M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Uniform coverings of 2-paths in the complete graph and the complete bipartite graph, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 99 (2016) 29-39.
- [31] M. Kobayashi, G. Nakamura, and C. Nara, Uniform coverings of 2-paths with 5-paths in  $K_{2n}$ , Australas. J. Combin. 27 (2003) 247–252.
- [32] M. Kobayashi, G. Nakamura, and C. Nara, Uniform coverings of 2-paths with 5-paths in the complete graph, *Discrete Mathematics* 299 (2005) 154–161.
- [33] A. Kotzig, Problem session, Proc. 10th S. E. Conf. Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congressus Numerantium, XXIV (1979), 913–915.
- [34] J. F. Lawless, A note on certain types of BIBD's balanced for residual effects, Ann. Math. Statist. 42 (4) (1971) 1439–1441.
- [35] J. W. McGee and C. A. Rodger, Path coverings with paths, J. Graph Theory 36 (2001) 156–167.
- [36] J. W. McGee and C. A. Rodger, Covering 2-paths with 4-paths, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 51 (2004) 209-214.
- [37] V. Meally, Problem 164, The 13 Knights, J. Recreational Math. 4 (1971) 136.
- [38] V. Meally, Problem 164, The 13 Knights (Solution by G. Nakamura), J. Recreational Math. 9 (1976–77) 216–218.
- [39] N. Mutoh, An enumeration and an estimation of the numbers of Dudeney and di-Dudeney sets of order  $5 \sim 9$  (in Japanese), Review of Administration and Informatics 12 (1999) 27–29.
- [40] N. Mutoh, Some results on symmetry Dudeney sets (in Japanese), Manuscript (2016) 1-5.
- [41] N. Mutoh, Kotzig's problem: a perfect set of Hamilton decompositions of  $K_n$  (in Japanese), Manuscript (2016) 1-4.
- [42] G. Nakamura, Dudeney's round table problem and the edge-coloring of the complete graphs (in Japanese), Sugaku Seminar 159 (1975) 24–29.
- [43] G. Nakamura, Kiyasu-Z., and N. Ikeno, Solution of the round table problem for the case of  $p^k + 1$  persons, Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli 29 (1980) 7–20.

- [44] D. H. Rees, Some designs of use in serology, Biometrics 23 (1967) 779–791.
- [45] F. H. Safford, Solutions of Problems (Algebra), Amer. Math. Monthly 11 (1904) 87–88.
- [46] F. H. Safford, Solutions of Problems (Algebra), Amer. Math. Monthly 11 (1904) 169–170.
- [47] E. Seah, Perfect one-factorizations of the complete graph A survey, Bulletin Inst. Combin. Appl. 1 (1991) 59–70.
- [48] T. Shimauchi and K. Namba, Arrangements of chairs (in Japanese), Sugaku Seminar 129 (1972) 40-45.
- [49] E. J. Williams, Experimental designs balanced for the estimation of residual effects of treatments, Australian Journal of Scientific Research 2 (2) (1949) 149–168.
- [50] E. J. Williams, Experimental designs balanced for pairs of residual effects, Australian Journal of Scientific Research 3 (3) (1950) 351-363.
- [51] A. J. Wolfe, A perfect one-factorization of  $K_{52}$ , J. Combin. Designs 17 (2009) 190–196.