

Article

On Vertex-Euclidean Deficiency of Complete Fan Graphs and Complete Wheel Graphs

Zhen-Bin Gao 1,* , Ricky Guo 2 , Harris Kwong 3 , Sin-Min Lee 4 , and Wei Qiu 5

- ¹ College of General Education, Guangdong University of Science and Technology, Dongguan, 523000, P.R. China
- ² Dept. of Computer Science Univ. of Calif. at Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
- ³ Dept. of Math. Sci. SUNY Fredonia Fredonia, NY 14063, USA
- ⁴ 1786 Plan Tree Drive Upland, CA 91784, USA
- ⁵ College of Math. Sci.Harbin Engineering Univ.Harbin, 150001, China
- * Correspondence: gaozhenbin@aliyun.com

Abstract: A simple graph *G* with *p* vertices is said to be vertex-Euclidean if there exists a bijection $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ such that $f(v_1) + f(v_2) > f(v_3)$ for each C_3 -subgraph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, where $f(v_1) < f(v_2) < f(v_3)$. More generally, the vertex-Euclidean deficiency of a graph *G* is the smallest integer *k* such that $G \cup N_k$ is vertex-Euclidean. To illustrate the idea behind this new graph labeling problem, we study the vertex-Euclidean deficiency of two new families of graphs called the complete fan graphs and the complete wheel graphs. We also explore some related problems, and pose several research topics for further study.

Keywords: Graph labeling, Wheel graph, Fan graph

1. Introduction

On a triangle, the sum of the lengths of any two sides is greater than the length of the third side. This motivates us to call a simple graph *G* with *q* edges *edge-Euclidean* if it admits a bijective edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ such that on any C_3 -subgraph with edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 , where $f(e_1) < f(e_2) < f(e_3)$, we have $f(e_1) + f(e_2) > f(e_3)$. As a result, the edge labels of any triangle satisfy the triangle inequality. The edge labeling *f* is called an *edge-Euclidean labeling* of the graph *G*. For example, the graph displayed below on the left is not edge-Euclidean, but the graph on the right is.

Let L_k denote the null graph with *k* isolated vertices, each of them is incident to a loop. If a graph *G* is not edge-Euclidean, its *edge-Euclidean deficiency* or *discrepancy*, denoted $\mu_e(G)$, is the smallest integer *k* so that $G \cup L_k$ is edge-Euclidean. Hence, a simple graph *G* is edge-Euclidean if and only if

 $\mu_e(G) = 0$. The graph below on the left is not edge-Euclidean, its edge-Euclidean deficiency is 1, as indicated on the right below.

We have just started to study this new labeling problem, and discover many interesting properties that will appear in a series of forthcoming papers.

Note that we can define $\mu_e(G)$ as the smallest integer k such that G admits a bijection edge labeling $f: E(G) \rightarrow \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, k+q\}$ such that on any C_3 -subgraph with edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 , where $f(e_1) < f(e_2) < f(e_3)$, we have $f(e_1) + f(e_2) > f(e_3)$. Since all the results we have derived thus far are based on the original definition, we will stay with it for consistency.

Meanwhile, we also begin to study of the vertex analog of this problem.

Definition 1. *A simple graph G with p vertices is said to be* vertex-Euclidean *if there exists a bijection f* : $V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ *such that, on any C*₃-subgraph *on the vertices* v_1, v_2, v_3 *, where f*(*v*₁) < *f*(*v*₂) < *f*(*v*₃)*, we have*

$$
f(v_1) + f(v_2) > f(v_3).
$$

*In other words, the vertex labels on any C*3*-subgraph satisfy the triangle inequality.*

It is obvious that C_3 itself is not vertex-Euclidean, because when its three vertices are labeled with 1, 2, and 3, we find $1 + 2 \nless 3$. Any C_3 -free graph is, by default, vertex-Euclidean. However, a graph that contains a C_3 -subgraph may still be vertex-Euclidean. Here is an example:

In general, if a graph contains a C_3 -subgraph, the label 1 cannot be used on any one of its three vertices. The reason is: if the three vertices of the C_3 -subgraph are labeled 1, *x*, and *y*, where $1 < x <$ *y*, then $x + 1 \le y$. Thus, $1 + x \ne y$.

A graph is said to be *triangulated* if every vertex lies on a *C*3-subgraph. It follows that a triangulated graph cannot be vertex-Euclidean.

Definition 2. *The* vertex-Euclidean deficiency *or* discrepancy *of a graph G is the smallest integer k such that G* ∪ *N^k (where N^k denotes the null graph on k vertices) is vertex-Euclidean, and we write* $\mu_{v}(G) = k.$

For example, a graph *G* is vertex-Euclidean if and only if μ ^{*v*}(*G*) = 0. It is easy to see that μ ^{*v*}(*C*₃) = 1.

We also find $\mu_v(K_4) = 2$. The reason is: if $\mu_v(K_4) = 1$, then the four vertices of K_4 will be labeled 2, 3, 4, and 5. Since $2 + 3 \nless 5$, this labeling is not vertex-Euclidean. Thus, the smallest label on K_4 is 3. This shows that $\mu_v(K_4) \geq 2$.

It is easy to find a vertex-Euclidean labeling with two isolated vertices.

This observation can be generalized.

Theorem 1. *For any integer n* \geq 3*, we have* $\mu_v(K_n) = n - 2$ *.*

Proof. Let *x* be the smallest label on the vertices of K_n . Then the next smallest label is $x + 1$, and the largest label is $x + n - 1$. On the C_3 -subgraph on these three vertices, we find

$$
x + (x + 1) > x + n - 1.
$$

Therefore, $x > n - 2$, which implies that we need $k = n - 2$ isolated vertices for $K_n \cup N_k$ to be vertex-Euclidean vertex-Euclidean. □

Corollary 1. *If G is connected, with p vertices, then* $\mu_v(G) \leq p - 2$ *.*

Proof. It is clear that if *H* is a subgraph of *G* with the same number of vertices, then $\mu_v(H) \leq \mu_v(G)$.
Since *G* is a subgraph of *K*_n the result follows immediately Since *G* is a subgraph of K_p , the result follows immediately.

In this exposition, we study the vertex-Euclidean deficiency of two new families of graphs defined below. They illustrate why the problem is not as simple or direct as it may appear to be. We also introduce some related problems for further study.

2. Complete Fan Graphs

The *join* of two graphs G_1 and G_2 (see, for example, [\[1\]](#page-11-0)) is constructed from G_1 and G_2 by joining each vertex of G_1 to each vertex in G_2 . It is also called the **Zykov sum** of G_1 and G_2 [\[2\]](#page-11-1), and is denoted $G_1 + G_2$. For example, the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is the join $N_m + N_n$. The join $P_n + N_1$ is called a *fan graph*. It is formed by connecting an isolated vertex to every vertex on the path *Pn*. Below are the graphs F_n ∪ N_1 for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$:

It appears that $\mu_v(F_n) = 1$ for all integers $n \geq 2$. Since each fan graph is triangulated, $\mu_v(F_n) \geq 1$. To show that $F_n \cup N_1$ is vertex-Euclidean, we first label the isolated vertex w_1 with 1. Next, label Gao et al. 44

the left-most C_3 -subgraph with 2, 3, and 4, such that the edge it shares with the next C_3 -subgraph is labeled 3 and 4. Label the remaining vertices with 5, 6, ... The result is a vertex-Euclidean labeling. Thus, $\mu_v(F_n) = 1$.

A fan graph can be viewed as an array of K_3 s, aligned in a way that neighboring K_3 s share a common edge. Inspired by this observation, we extend the idea to an array of complete graphs *Km*s. Again, we demand that neighboring copies of *Km*s should share a common edge, similar to the neighboring K_3 s in a fan graph. This produces the complete fan graph $K_m F_n$. Hence, the complete fan graph K_mF_n is essentially an array of $n-1$ copies of the complete graphs K_m . A precise definition is given below.

Definition 3. For $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$, define the **complete fan graph** $K_m F_n$ as follows.

- Let $V(K_m F_n) = \{c\} \cup \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \cup \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \ldots, v_{i,m-3} \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}.$
- The edge set $E(K_m F_n)$ consists of the edges that form a complete graph K_m on the vertex set $\{c, v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,m-3}\}$ *for* $1 \le i \le n-1$ *.*

Notice that

- *the vertex set* $\{c, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ *induces the fan graph* F_n *,* $K_m F_n = F_n$ *when* $m = 3$ *;*
- $K_m F_n = K_m$ when $n = 2$ *; and*
- *any adjacent complete graphs* K_m *share a common edge of the form cv_i, where* $2 \le i \le n 1$ *.*

Below are the graphs $K_4F_n \cup N_2$ *for n* = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6*:*

In each case, we find μ ^{*v*}(*K*₄*F*_{*n*}) = 2.

In general, since K_4F_n contains at least one copy of K_4 , we know that $\mu_v(K_4F_n) \geq \mu_v(K_4) = 2$. To show that $K_4F_n \cup N_2$ is vertex-Euclidean, we first label the two isolated vertices w_1 and w_2 with the integers 1 and 2. Next, label the left-most copy of *K*⁴ with the integers 3, 4, 5, and 6, so that *c* is labeled 6, and the highest labels 5 and 6 occupy the edge between the first two *K*4s. Next, label the remaining vertices of the second K_4 with 7 and 8, such that the highest label 8 is adjacent to c . This ensures that the edge between the second and third copies of K_4 is incident to c and the highest label that has been used thus far. Repeat this until all vertices have been labeled. The result is a vertex-Euclidean labeling of $K_4F_n \cup N_2$. This proves that $\mu_v(K_4F_n) = 2$.

Below are the graphs $K_5F_n \cup N_3$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$:

The algorithm described above shows that $\mu_v(K_m F_n) = m - 2$ for all integers $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$.

3. Complete Wheel Graphs

The *wheel* graph W_n is formed by connecting an isolated vertex to every vertex of the cycle C_n . In other words, $W_n = C_n + N_1$. This is similar to the fan graph except that the two C_3 on the far left and far right wrap around to form a wheel. The result is a *circular* array of *n* copies of C_3 s so that adjacent copies share a common edge. Here are *W*3, *W*4, and *W*5:

We can form the *complete wheel graph* $K_m W_n$, similar to the construction of $K_m F_n$. For $K_m W_n$ to be well-defined, we need $m, n \geq 3$. Naturally, $K_m W_n = W_n$ when $m = 3$. The complete wheel graphs K_4W_n , where $m = 4, 5$ and $n = 3, 4, 5$, are displayed below.

Unlike the complete fan graphs, the complete graphs K_m s wrap around to produce a wheel-like structure. This could produce $\mu_v(K_m W_n) > m - 2$.

Even the vertex-Euclidean deficiency of the wheel graph does not appear to be obvious:

Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing Volume 121, 41–52

The complete wheel graphs are more complicated:

The exact value of $\mu_v(K_mW_n)$ remains unknown. We invite the readers to determine its value.

4. Other Related Topics

We now turn our attention to other related problems. We introduce the notation *mboxvEuclid*(*k*) for the set of all connected graphs *G* with $\mu_v(G) = k$. We say a graph *G* is *criti-*

cally vertex-Euclidean if $\mu_v(G) = k$, and $\mu_v(G - v) = k$ for any vertex v in *G*, and use the notation *vCritEuclid*(*k*), or simply

mboxvEuclid^{*}(*k*) to indicate the set of all critically vertex-Euclidean graphs with $\mu_v(G) = k$. Obvi-
ously if $G \in v\text{Euclid}(0)$ then we also have $G \in$ ously, if *G* ∈ vEuclid(0), then we also have *G* ∈

mboxvEuclid[∗] (0). It is not obvious that

mboxvEuclid^{*}(k) \neq 0 if $k \neq 0$. Examples can be drawn from the composition (also called dictionary or lexicographic product) of two graphs.

Given two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, the *composition* $G_1[G_2]$ is the graph with $V_1 \times V_2$ as its vertex set, and the vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent if

(i) $u_1u_2 \in E(G_1)$, or

(ii) $u_1 = u_2$, and $v_1v_2 \in E(G_2)$.

See, for example, [\[1\]](#page-11-0). The composition $C_4[P_2]$ is given below.

One can show that $\mu_\nu(C_3[N_3]) = 5$:

Due to symmetry, it suffices to study $C_3[N_3] - v$ for any vertex *v*:

Since μ ^{*v*}(*C*₃[*N*₃] − *v*) = 5, we deduce that *C*₃[*N*₃] ∈ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (5).

Many graphs are not critically vertex-Euclidean. These graphs have the property that the removal of a single vertex would change their vertex-Euclidean deficiency. The deficiency of *G*−*v* may depend on the choice of *v*. Let *S* be a set of integers. We use the notation

mboxvEuclid^{*}($k \downarrow S$) for the set of all connected graphs *G* with $\mu_{\nu}(G) = k$, and *S* is the minimal set that contains all possible values of $\mu(G - \nu)$ taken over the vertices *v* in *G*. For example, we find that contains all possible values of μ ^{*v*}(*G* − *v*) taken over the vertices *v* in *G*. For example, we find $K_3F_3 \in$

mboxvEuclid[∗] (1 ↓ {1, ⁰}):

Here, we use an asterisk to indicated the removed vertex. We also have $K_3F_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (1 ↓ {1, ⁰}):

We find K_4F_3 , $K_4F_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (2 ↓ {1}):

We also find K_5F_3 , $K_5F_5 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (3 ↓ {2}):

and $K_6F_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (4 ↓ {3}):

Conjecture 1.

$$
K_m F_n \in \begin{cases} \text{mbox/Euclid}^*(1 \downarrow \{1, 0\}) & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \text{mbox/Euclid}^*(n - 2 \downarrow \{n - 3\}) & \text{if } n \ge 4. \end{cases}
$$

The behavior of the complete wheel graphs $K_m W_n$ is more complex. We find $K_3 W_3 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (1):

However, we have $K_3W_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (2 ↓ {1, ⁰}):

We also find $K_3W_5 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (2 ↓ {1, ⁰}):

However, we have $K_3W_6 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (3 ↓ {2, ⁰}):

We also find $K_3W_7 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (3 ↓ {2, ⁰}): Gao et al. 50

However, we have $K_3W_8 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (4 ↓ {3, ⁰}):

Unexpectedly, we find $K_3W_9 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (5 ↓ {4, ⁰}):

The behavior becomes more complex when $m = 4$. We have found that $\mu_v(K_4W_3) = 2$:

We find $K_4W_3 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (2 ↓ {2, ¹}):

We know that $\mu_v(K_4W_4) = 2$:

But we have $K_4W_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (2 ↓ {3, ², ¹}):

What if $m = 5$? We know that $\mu_v(K_5W_4) = 4$. We find $K_5W_4 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (4 ↓ {3, ²}):

Let us consider yet another example. We know that $\mu_v(K_6W_5) = 4$. There are three possible choices for the removed vertex. They are listed in Figure [1.](#page-10-0) We determine that $K_6W_5 \in$ *mboxvEuclid*[∗] (4 ↓ {4, ³}).

Figure 1. The Labeling of $K_6W_5 - v$

The case of K_mW_n appears to be rather complex. We invite the readers the study these problems.

5. Research Problems

There are many problems that we can study.

- 1. Determine the vertex-Euclidean deficiency of other families of graphs.
- 2. The construction of other vertex-Euclidean and non-vertex-Euclidean graphs.
- 3. For any integer *n*, we know that $\mu_v(K_{n+2}) = n$. What is the maximum number of edges that we can remove from K_{n+2} without altering the vertex-Euclidean deficiency?
- 4. We can study other extremal problems similar the one we just mentioned. For example, what is the maximum number of edges on a connected graph *G* with *p* vertices so that $\mu_v(G) < p - 2$?
- 5. Study the analogous problems for $\mu_e(G)$.

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to the anonymous referee, whose comments and suggestions provide valuable insights that lead to the improvement of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Harary, F., 1969. *Graph theory*. Addison-Wesley.
- 2. Zykov, A. A., 1949. On some properties of linear complexes. *Matematicheskii Sbornik, 66*(2), pp.163–188.

© 2024 the Author(s), licensee Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)/licenses/by/4.0)