

# On the Ramsey numbers $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$

Roland Lortz<sup>1,✉</sup>, Ingrid Mengersen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Technische Universität Braunschweig Institut Computational Mathematics AG Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik  
38092 Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Moorhüttenweg 2d 38104 Braunschweig, Germany

## ABSTRACT

For every connected graph  $F$  with  $n$  vertices and every graph  $G$  with chromatic surplus  $s(G) \leq n$  the Ramsey number  $r(F, G)$  satisfies  $r(F, G) \geq (n - 1)(\chi(G) - 1) + s(G)$ , where  $\chi(G)$  denotes the chromatic number of  $G$ . If this lower bound is attained, then  $F$  is called  $G$ -good. For all connected graphs  $G$  with at most six vertices and  $\chi(G) \geq 4$ , every tree  $T_n$  of order  $n \geq 5$  is  $G$ -good. In case of  $\chi(G) = 3$  and  $G \neq K_6 - 3K_2$  every non-star tree  $T_n$  is  $G$ -good except for some small  $n$ , whereas  $r(S_n, G)$  for the star  $S_n = K_{1, n-1}$  in a few cases differs by at most 2 from the lower bound. In this note we prove that the values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are considerably larger for sufficiently large  $n$ . Furthermore, exact values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are obtained for small  $n$ .

*Keywords:* Ramsey number, Ramsey goodness, star, small graph

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph with chromatic number  $\chi(G)$ . The chromatic surplus  $s(G)$  is defined to be the smallest number of vertices in a color class under any  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of the vertices of  $G$ . It is well-known (cf. [3]) that for any connected graph  $F$  with  $n$  vertices and any graph  $G$  with  $s(G) \leq n$  the Ramsey number  $r(F, G)$  satisfies

$$r(F, G) \geq (n - 1)(\chi(G) - 1) + s(G). \quad (1)$$

When equality occurs in (1),  $F$  is said to be  $G$ -good. The concept of  $G$ -goodness generalizes a classical result of Chvátal [2] who proved that  $r(T_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$  for any tree  $T_n$  with  $n$  vertices. Results concerning the  $G$ -goodness of trees have been obtained for various classes of graphs  $G$  and also for small graphs  $G$ . The Ramsey number  $r(T_n, G)$  for connected graphs  $G$  with at most 5

✉ Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [r.lortz@tu-braunschweig.de](mailto:r.lortz@tu-braunschweig.de) (R. Lortz).

Accepted 20 November 2020; Published Online 17 March 2025.

DOI: [10.61091/jcmcc124-15](https://doi.org/10.61091/jcmcc124-15)

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

vertices was studied in [3],  $r(T_n, G)$  for connected graphs with six vertices was investigated in [7] and [5]. These results show that every tree  $T_n$  with  $n \geq 5$  is  $G$ -good if  $G$  is a connected graph with at most six vertices and  $\chi(G) \geq 4$ . In case of  $\chi(G) = 3$  and  $G \neq K_6 - 3K_2$  every non-star tree  $T_n$  is  $G$ -good except for some small  $n$ , whereas  $r(S_n, G)$  for the star  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$  in a few cases differs by at most 2 from the lower bound (1). For graphs  $G$  with  $\chi(G) = 2$  and at most six vertices the values of  $r(T_n, G)$  are not completely determined, but it is known that for some  $G$ , especially for non-star complete bipartite graphs, they differ considerably from the lower bound (1) (see [1, 6, 8]). Here we will prove that also the values of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  are much larger. We present a lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  depending on  $r(S_n, C_4)$  which implies that  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \geq 2n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor - 1$  if  $n = q^2 + 1$  or  $n = q^2 + 2$  where  $q$  is any prime power and that  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) > 2n - 2 + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} - 6(n-1)^{11/40} \rfloor$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . For  $n \leq 10$ , our lower bound matches the exact value of  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  or differs from it by at most 1.

Some specialized notation will be used. A coloring of a graph always means a 2-coloring of its edges with colors red and green. An  $(F_1, F_2)$ -coloring is a coloring containing neither a red copy of  $F_1$  nor a green copy of  $F_2$ . We use  $V$  to denote the vertex set of  $K_n$  and define  $d_r(v)$  to be the number of red edges incident to  $v \in V$  in a coloring of  $K_n$ . Moreover,  $\Delta_r = \max_{v \in V} d_r(v)$ . The set of vertices joined red to  $v$  is denoted by  $N_r(v)$ . Similarly we define  $d_g(v)$ ,  $\Delta_g$  and  $N_g(v)$ . For  $U \subseteq V(K_n)$ , the subgraph induced by  $U$  is denoted by  $[U]$ . Furthermore,  $[U]_r$  and  $[U]_g$  denote the red and the green subgraph induced by  $U$ . We write  $G' \subseteq G$  if  $G'$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . For disjoint subsets  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V(K_n)$ ,  $q_r(U_1, U_2)$  denotes the number of red edges between  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , and  $q_g(U_1, U_2)$  is defined similarly.

## 2. Results

The following theorem establishes a general lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  depending on  $r(S_n, C_4)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \geq r(S_n, C_4) + \begin{cases} n - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $m = r(S_n, C_4) - 1$ . Take an  $(S_n, C_4)$ -coloring of  $K_m$ . For  $n$  odd, add a red  $K_{n-1}$ , and, for  $n$  even, a  $K_n$  with  $n/2$  independent green edges and all other edges colored red. Join the vertices of the  $K_m$  and the vertices of the  $K_{n-1}$  or  $K_n$ , respectively, by green edges. Obviously, no red  $S_n$  occurs. Now consider any subgraph  $H$  of order six. If at least four vertices of  $H$  belong to the  $K_m$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq H$  is impossible since deleting any two vertices of a  $K_6 - 3K_2$  leaves a graph of order four containing a  $C_4$ . Otherwise, at least three vertices of  $H$  belong to the  $K_{n-1}$  or  $K_n$ . Then adjacent red edges occur in  $H$  and again a green  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq H$  is impossible. Thus, the lower bound is established.  $\square$

Exact results on the values of  $r(S_n, C_4)$  are known only in special cases. Parsons [6] proved that  $r(S_n, C_4) = n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor$  if  $n = q^2 + 1$  or  $n = q^2 + 2$  where  $q$  is any prime power. Burr, Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [1] showed that  $r(S_n, C_4) > n - 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} - 6(n-1)^{11/40} \rfloor$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . From these results and Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following lower bounds on  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** (i) Let  $n = q^2 + 2$  where  $q$  is any power of 2 or  $n = q^2 + 1$  where  $q$  is any odd prime power. Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \geq 2n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor.$$

(ii) Let  $n = q^2 + 1$  where  $q$  is any power of 2 or  $n = q^2 + 2$  where  $q$  is any odd prime power. Then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \geq 2n - 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor.$$

(iii) If  $n$  is sufficiently large, then

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) > 2n - 2 + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} - 6(n-1)^{11/40} \rfloor.$$

Using recent results on  $r(S_n, C_4)$  of Wu Yali, Sun Yongqi, Zhang Rui and Radziszowski [8], further lower bounds on  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  can be obtained from Theorem 2.1. The next theorem shows that the lower bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$  given in Theorem 2.1 matches the exact value of the Ramsey number if  $n \leq 6$  or  $n = 8$  and differs by at most 1 from it if  $n = 7$  or  $9 \leq n \leq 10$ . The value of  $r(S_5, K_6 - 3K_2)$  has already been obtained by Gu Hua, Song Hongxue and Liu Xiangyang [4] using a different method.

**Theorem 2.3.**

$n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$	6	6	10	11	14	15/16	19	20/21	23/24

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.** The red subgraph of an  $(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_9$  is isomorphic to  $K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or to  $C_4 \cup C_5$ .

**Proof.**  $S_4 \not\subseteq [V]_r$  implies  $\Delta_r \leq 2$ . Thus, every component of  $[V]_r$  has to be a path or a cycle. If the union of all paths in  $[V]_r$  contains at least three vertices, then it is a subgraph of a cycle. Moreover,  $2K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . Hence,  $[V]_r \subseteq H$  where  $H \in \{C_9, C_3 \cup C_6, C_4 \cup C_5, 3C_3, K_2 \cup C_7, K_2 \cup C_3 \cup C_4, K_1 \cup C_8, K_1 \cup C_3 \cup C_5, K_1 \cup 2C_4\}$ . Except for  $[V]_r = H = K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or  $[V]_r = H = C_4 \cup C_5$  we find a forbidden  $K_6 - 3K_2$  in  $[V]_g$ . □

**Lemma 2.5.**  $r(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2) \leq 10$ .

**Proof.** Assume that an  $(S_4, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_{10}$  exists. Delete one vertex  $v \in V$ . By Lemma 2.4, the red subgraph of  $[V \setminus \{v\}]$  has to be isomorphic to  $K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or to  $C_4 \cup C_5$ . Moreover,  $\Delta_r \leq 2$  forces only green edges from  $v$  to the vertices of  $V \setminus \{v\}$  belonging to a red cycle. Thus, in both cases we find a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$ , a contradiction. □

**Lemma 2.6.**  $r(S_6, K_6 - 3K_2) \leq 14$ .

**Proof.** Assume that we have an  $(S_6, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_{14}$ . This implies  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  and  $W_4 = K_5 - 2K_2 \subseteq [V]_g$  because  $r(S_6, W_4) = 13$  (see [3]). We distinguish three cases.

*Case 1.*  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$ . For any  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$  with vertex set  $U$  and any two vertices  $w, w' \in V \setminus U$  joined green with  $q_r(w, U) = q_r(w', U) = 2$  the following property  $pr(w, w', U)$  must be fulfilled:  $|N_r(w) \cap N_r(w') \cap U| \in \{0, 2\}$ . Otherwise  $w$  and  $w'$  would have exactly one common red neighbor  $u \in U$  and this would yield  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [(U \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{w, w'\}]_g$ , a contradiction. We distinguish two subcases.

*Subcase 1.1.*  $2K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be the vertex sets of two vertex-disjoint green copies of  $K_5$  and let  $W = V \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$ . Then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  forces  $q_r(w, U_1) \geq 2$  and  $q_r(w, U_2) \geq 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Using  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ , we obtain that  $q_r(w, U_1) = q_r(w, U_2) = 2$  for every  $w \in W$ ,  $q_r(W, U_1) = q_r(W, U_2) = 8$  and  $[W]_g = K_4$ . Moreover,  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  forces  $q_r(u, U_1) \geq 2$  for every  $u \in U_2$  and  $q_r(u, U_2) \geq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1$ . Thus,  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  implies  $q_r(u, W) \leq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1 \cup U_2$ . If there are vertices  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $u_2 \in U_2$  such that  $q_r(u_1, W) = q_r(u_2, W) = 0$ , then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [W \cup \{u_1, u_2\}]_g$ , a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $q_r(u, W) \geq 1$  for every  $u \in U_1$ . Since  $q_r(u, W) \leq 2$  for every  $u \in U_1$  and  $q_r(W, U_1) = 8$  there must be two vertices  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in  $U_1$  with  $q_r(u_1, W) = q_r(u_2, W) = 1$ , and  $q_r(u, W) = 2$  for every  $u \in U_1 \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ . Hence, the bipartite graph  $[W \cup U_1]_r$  is isomorphic to  $C_6 \cup P_3$ , to  $C_4 \cup P_5$  or to  $P_9$ . In all three cases we find two vertices  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  with exactly one common red neighbor  $u \in U_1$ , contradicting  $pr(w_1, w_2, U_1)$ .

*Subcase 1.2.*  $K_5 \subseteq [V]_g$  and  $2K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a green  $K_5$  and let  $W = V \setminus U$ . Since  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ ,  $q_r(w, U) \geq 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Thus,  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  forces only vertices of degree less or equal 2 in  $[W]_r$ . As  $K_5 \not\subseteq [W]_g$ , we obtain  $[W]_r = C_4 \cup C_5$  by Lemma 1. Moreover,  $q_r(w, U) = 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Let  $W_1 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $W_2 = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$  be the vertex sets of the red  $C_4$  and the red  $C_5$  in  $[W]$ , where  $w_i w_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8$ ,  $w_4 w_1$  and  $w_9 w_5$  are red. We may assume that  $w_1 u_1$  and  $w_1 u_2$  are red and use that  $pr(w, w', U)$  holds for any two vertices  $w, w' \in W_2$  joined green.

First let  $|N_r(w_1) \cap N_r(w) \cap U| = 0$  for every  $w \in W_2$ . Thus,  $N_r(w) \cap U \subseteq \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  for every  $w \in W_2$ . We may assume that  $w_5 u_3$  and  $w_5 u_4$  are red. From  $pr(w_5, w, U)$  for  $w \in \{w_7, w_8\}$  we derive  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for  $w \in \{w_7, w_8\}$ . Now apply  $pr(w_6, w_8, U)$  and  $pr(w_7, w_9, U)$ . Hence, also  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . It follows that  $d_r(u_3) \geq 5$ , contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

The remaining case is that  $|N_r(w_1) \cap N_r(w) \cap U| = 2$  for some  $w \in W_2$ , say  $w = w_5$ . Then  $\{w_1, w_5, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  induces a green  $K_5$ . Consequently,  $K_6 - 3K_2 \not\subseteq [V]_g$  implies  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) = 2$  for every  $w \in \{w_3, w_7, w_8\}$  and  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) \geq 1$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . Because of  $pr(w_1, w, U)$  for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ , we obtain  $q_r(w, \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}) = 2$  also for  $w \in \{w_6, w_9\}$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $w_3 u_3$  and  $w_3 u_4$  are red. Note that  $pr(w_3, w, U)$  holds for every  $w \in \{w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$ . Thus,  $N_r(w) \cap U = \{u_3, u_4\}$  for every  $w \in \{w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$ . This implies  $d_r(u_3) \geq 5$  contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

*Case 2.*  $K_5 - e \subseteq [V]_g$  and  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a  $K_5 - e \subseteq [V]_g$ . We may assume that  $u_1 u_5$  is red. If a vertex  $w \in W = V \setminus U$  exists such that  $q_r(w, U) \leq 1$ , then we either find a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  or a green  $K_5$ , both a contradiction. Thus,  $q_r(w, U) \geq 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Note that  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  and  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Hence,  $[W]_r = C_4 \cup C_5$  by Lemma 1. Again let  $W_1 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $W_2 = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9\}$  be the vertex sets of the red  $C_4$  and the red  $C_5$  in  $[W]$ , where  $w_i w_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8$ ,  $w_4 w_1$  and  $w_9 w_5$  are red. From  $\Delta_r \leq 4$  we obtain that  $u_1$  must have a green neighbor in  $W_2$ , say  $w_5$ . Now consider the two green copies of  $K_5 - e$  induced by  $W_3 = \{w_1, w_3, w_5, w_6, w_8\}$  and  $W_4 = \{w_2, w_4, w_5, w_7, w_9\}$ . Mind that  $W_3 \cap W_4 = \{w_5\}$ . If  $q_r(u_1, W_3) \leq 1$  or  $q_r(u_1, W_4) \leq 1$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  or a green  $K_5$  would

occur in  $[W_3 \cup \{u_1\}]$  or  $[W_4 \cup \{u_1\}]$ . Otherwise  $d_r(u_1) \geq 5$ , contradicting  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ .

*Case 3.*  $K_5 - 2K_2 \subseteq [V]_g$  and  $K_5 - e \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a  $K_5 - 2K_2 \subseteq [V]_g$ . We may assume that  $u_1u_5$  and  $u_2u_4$  are red. If a vertex  $w \in W = V \setminus U$  exists such that  $q_r(w, U) \leq 1$  we either find a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  or a green  $K_5 - e$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $q_r(w, U) \geq 2$  for every  $w \in W$ . Note that  $\Delta_r \leq 4$ . Hence,  $[W]_r = K_1 \cup 2C_4$  or  $[W]_r = C_4 \cup C_5$  by Lemma 1. But then  $K_5 - e \subseteq [W]_g \subseteq [V]_g$ , a contradiction.

□

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$r(S_{n+2}, K_6 - 3K_2) \leq r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) + 5.$$

**Proof.** Let  $m = r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) + 5$ . By (1),  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \geq 2n$ , and this implies  $m \geq 2n + 5$ . Assume that an  $(S_{n+2}, K_6 - 3K_2)$ -coloring of  $K_m$  exists. Since  $r(S_n, W_4) = 2n + 1$  if  $n$  is even and  $r(S_n, W_4) = 2n - 1$  if  $n$  is odd (see [3]) we obtain  $r(S_{n+2}, W_4) \leq 2n + 5 \leq m$ . Thus,  $S_{n+2} \not\subseteq [V]_r$  forces  $W_4 \subseteq [V]_g$ . Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be the vertex set of a green  $W_4 = K_5 - 2K_2$  and  $W = V \setminus U$ . Note that  $|W| = r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . Hence,  $S_n \subseteq [W]_r$  and a vertex  $w^* \in W$  exists with degree at least  $n - 1$  in  $[W]_r$ . From  $S_{n+2} \not\subseteq [V]_r$  it follows that  $q_r(w^*, U) \leq 1$ , i.e.  $q_g(w^*, U) \geq 4$ .

If  $[U]_g = K_5$ , then  $K_6 - 3K_2 \subseteq [\{w^*\} \cup U]_g$ , a contradiction, and we may assume that  $K_5 \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . Now let  $[U]_g = K_5 - e$  assuming that the edge  $u_1u_5$  is red. If  $w^*$  is joined green to  $u_1$  and  $u_5$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  is contained in  $[\{w^*\} \cup U]$ . Otherwise  $w^*$  is joined red to  $u_1$  or to  $u_5$ , say to  $u_1$ , but this implies that  $[\{w^*\} \cup \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}]$  is a green  $K_5$ . Again we have obtained a contradiction and we may assume that  $K_5 - e \not\subseteq [V]_g$ . It remains that  $[U]_g = K_5 - 2K_2$ . Here we may assume that the edges  $u_1u_2$  and  $u_4u_5$  are red. If  $w^*$  is joined red to  $u_3$ , then a green  $K_6 - 3K_2$  is contained in  $[\{w^*\} \cup U]$ . Otherwise  $w^*$  is joined green to  $u_3$  and to at least three vertices in  $\{u_1, u_2, u_4, u_5\}$ , say to  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_4$ . But this gives a forbidden green  $K_5 - e$  in  $[\{w^*\} \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}]$ , and we are done.

□

Now we will use the results obtained in Theorem 2.1 and Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 to prove Theorem 2.3.

*Proof of Theorem 2.3*

At first we will show that the given values are lower bounds for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . The exact results of  $r(S_n, C_4)$  for  $n \leq 10$  can be found in [1], namely

$n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$r(S_n, C_4)$	4	4	6	7	8	9	11	12	13

Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain the desired lower bounds. It remains to establish the given values as upper bounds for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ . Obviously,  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \leq 6$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 3$ . The other cases are settled by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7.

From Lemma 2.7 and the exact results for  $5 \leq n \leq 6$  in Theorem 2 we obtain a general upper bound for  $r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2)$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $n \geq 5$ . Then*

$$r(S_n, K_6 - 3K_2) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{5n - 2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

**Proof.** For  $5 \leq n \leq 6$  the upper bound matches the exact values in Theorem 2. For  $n \geq 7$ , induction on  $n$  using Lemma 2.7, separately for  $n$  even and  $n$  odd, yields the desired upper bound.  $\square$ .

## References

- [1] S. Burr, P. Erdős, R. J. Faudree, C. Rousseau, and R. Schelp. Some complete bipartite graph—tree ramsey numbers. In *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*. Volume 41, pages 79–89. Elsevier, 1988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5060\(08\)70452-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5060(08)70452-7).
- [2] V. Chvátal. Tree-complete graph ramsey numbers. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 1(1):93–93, 1977.
- [3] R. J. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau, and R. H. Schelp. Small order graph-tree ramsey numbers. In *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*. Volume 38, pages 119–127. Elsevier, 1988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5060\(08\)70452-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5060(08)70452-7).
- [4] G. Hua, S. Hongxue, and L. Xiangyang. Ramsey numbers  $r(k_1, 4, g)$  for all three-partite graphs  $g$  of order six. *Journal of Southeast University (English Ed.)*, 20:378–380, 2004.
- [5] R. Lortz and I. Mengersen. On the ramsey numbers of non-star trees versus connected graphs of order six. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 43:331–349, Jan. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2370>.
- [6] T. Parsons. Ramsey graphs and block designs. i. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 209:33–44, 1975.
- [7] L. Roland and M. Ingrid. On the ramsey numbers for stars versus connected graphs of order six. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 73(1):1–24, 2019.
- [8] Y. Wu, Y. Sun, R. Zhang, and S. P. Radziszowski. Ramsey numbers of  $C_4$  versus wheels and stars. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31:2437–2446, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-014-1504-3>.