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#### Abstract

Based on the Hermitian adjacency matrices of second kind introduced by Mohar [1] and weighted adjacency matrices introduced in [2], we define a kind of index weighted Hermitian adjacency matrices of mixed graphs. In this paper we characterize the structure of mixed graphs which are cospectral to their underlying graphs, then we determine a upper bound on the spectral radius of mixed graphs with maximum degree $\Delta$, and characterize the corresponding extremal graphs.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, we consider only simple and finite graphs. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. We say that two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if they are joined by an edge. A mixed graph $M_{G}$ is obtained from a simple graph $G$ by orienting each edge of some subset $E_{0} \in E(G)$ and we call $G$ the underlying graph of $M_{G}$. We write an undirected edge as $\{u, v\}$ and an arc form $u$ to $v$ as $(u, v)$. For a vertex set $V^{\prime}$ of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, let $M_{G}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ be a mixed subgraph of $M_{G}$ induced on $V^{\prime}$. We say a mixed graph is connected if its underlying graph is connected. The degree of a vertex in a mixed graph $M_{G}$ is defined to be the degree of this vertex in the underlying graph. We divide the vertices adjacent to $v$ in $M_{G}$ into three sets: $N_{M_{G}}^{0}(v)=\left\{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right):\{u, v\} \in E\left(M_{G}\right)\right\}$; $N_{M_{G}}^{+}(v)=\left\{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right):(v, u) \in E\left(M_{G}\right)\right\}$ and $N_{M_{G}}^{-}(v)=\left\{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right):(u, v) \in E\left(M_{G}\right)\right\}$.

Recently, Yu, Geng and Zhou [3] defined a kind of new Hermitian adjacency matrix of a mixed graph, written by $H_{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{u v}\right)$, which is as follows:

$$
h_{u v}= \begin{cases}e^{\frac{2 \pi}{k}}, & \text { if }(u, v) \text { is an arc from } u \text { to } v \\ e^{-\frac{2 \pi}{k}}, & \text { if }(v, u) \text { is an arc from } v \text { to } u \\ 1, & \text { if }\{u, v\} \text { is an undirected edge } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathbf{i}$ is the imaginary unit and $k$ is a positive integer. $H_{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ unifies some well known matrices. In fact, when $k=1, H_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)=A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$; when when $k=4, H_{4}\left(M_{G}\right)=H\left(M_{G}\right)$ is the Hermitian adjacency matrix of $M_{G}$, proposed independently by Guo and Mohar [4], Liu and Li [5]; When $k=6, H_{6}\left(M_{G}\right)$ is is the Hermitian adjacency matrix of second kind for $M_{G}$, proposed by Mohar [1] recently.

The author in [2] gave the following definition of a new weighted adjacency matrix of a graph weighted by its degrees.

Definition 1. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Denote by $d_{u}$ the degree of a vertex u in $G$. Let $f(x, y)$ be a function symmetric in $x$ and $y$. The weighted adjacency matrix $A_{f}(G)=\left(a_{u v}\right)$ of $G$ is defined as follows:

$$
a_{u v}= \begin{cases}f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right), & \text { if } u v \in E(G) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$A_{f}(G)$ introduced in [2] unifies many matrices weighted by topological index. When $f(x, y)=1$, $A_{f}(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$; when $f(x, y)=\sqrt{\frac{x+y-2}{x y}}, A_{f}(G)$ is the $A B C$ matrix and was introduced by Estrada [6] and was studied in [7]; When $f(x, y)=\frac{2}{x+y}, A_{f}(G)$ is the harmonic matrix and was introduced in [8]; When $f(x, y)=\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2 x y}, A_{f}(G)$ is the $A G$ matrix and was studied in [9], [10] and [11].

In this paper, inspired by [3], we generalize weighted adjacency matrix to mixed graphs and define a index weighted Hermitian matrix $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{u v}\right)$ for a mixed graph $M_{G}$, where

$$
h_{u v}= \begin{cases}f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \cdot \omega, & \text { if }(u, v) \text { is an arc from } u \text { to } v \\ f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \cdot \bar{\omega}, & \text { if }(v, u) \text { is an arc from } v \text { to } u \\ f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right), & \text { if }\{u, v\} \text { is an undirected edge } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$\omega=\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{k}\right)+\mathbf{i} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{k}\right)$ for a positive integer $k$ and $f(x, y)>0$ is a symmetric and real function. It can be seen that when $f(x, y)=1, H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ is the matrix $H^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$, which is defined in [3]; when $k=1, H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ is the matrix $A_{f}\left(M_{G}\right)$, introduced in [2]. It's easy to check that if all edges of $M_{G}$ are undirected edges, then $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=A_{f}\left(M_{G}\right)$. Note that When $k$ is a positive integer and $f(x, y)>0$ is a symmetric and real function, $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ is Hermitian and the eigenvalues of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ are real. With fixed $f, k$ and a mixed graph $M_{G}$, let $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right) \geq \lambda_{2}\left(M_{G}\right) \geq \cdots \lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)$ be n eigenvalues of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ with $\left|V\left(M_{G}\right)\right|=n$. The collection $\left\{\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(M_{G}\right), \cdots, \lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)\right\}$ is called the $H_{f}^{k}$-spectrum of $M_{G}$, we say $M_{G}$ is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral to its underlying graph if $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ and $H_{f}^{k}(G)$ have the same $H_{f}^{k}$-spectrum. We call the spectral radius of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ the $H_{f}^{k}$-spectrum radius of $M_{G}$, denoted by $\rho_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$.

In the following paper, we assume that $k$ is a positive integer and $f(x, y)>0$ is a symmetric and real function. We define several particular partitions of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ which will be used in our proof.

Definition 2. Let $M_{G}$ be a mixed graph,
(i) For a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ (possible empty) of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, we call it a $\mathscr{A}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ if every undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ such that $i-j \equiv 0(\bmod k)$ and every arc $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ such that $i-j \equiv 1(\bmod k)$.
(ii) When $k$ is even. For a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ (possible empty) of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, we call it a $\mathscr{B}$ partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ if every undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ such that $i-j \equiv \frac{k}{2}$ ( $\bmod$ $k)$ and every $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ such that $i-j \equiv \frac{k}{2}+1(\bmod k)$.
(iii) When $k$ is odd. For a partition $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k} \cup U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k}$ (possible empty) of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, we call it a $\mathscr{C}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ if every undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ is between $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and every arc $(u, v)$ is between $U_{i}, V_{j}$ or $V_{i}, U_{j}$, where $i-j \equiv 1(\bmod k)$.

When $k=6$, three partitions can be seen in Figures 1 and 2. By the Definition 2, $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ has a $\mathscr{B}$-partition only if $k$ is an even positive integer and it's easy to check that a $\mathscr{C}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ is a $\mathscr{A}$-partition. The main result of paper is as follows:


Figure 1. $\mathscr{A}$-partition(left) and $\mathscr{B}$-partition(right) when $k$ is Equal to 6


Figure 2. $\mathscr{C}$-partition when $k$ is Equal to 6
Theorem 1. Assume that $k$ is a positive integer and $f(x, y)>0$ is a symmetric real function, not decreasing in variable $x$. Then for any connected mixed graph $M_{G}$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, we have $\rho_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \leq f(\Delta, \Delta) \Delta$, where equality holds if and only if $M_{G}$ such that $G$ is $\Delta$-regular and $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition or a $\mathscr{B}$-partition.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we show a disturbance on $E\left(M_{G}\right)$ which remains the $H_{f}^{k}$-spectrum of $M_{G}$. In Section 3 we characterize a family of mixed graphs which are $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral to their underlying graph and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1

## 2. Switching Equivalence for $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$

In this section, we focus on some sufficient conditions of $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectrality of mixed graphs.
Supposed that $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ can be partitioned into $k$ (possible empty) sets $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$. We say the partition is admissible if it such that:
(i) $i-j \equiv 0$ or 1 or $2(\bmod k)$ for every $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ in $M_{G}$, where $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$;
(ii) $i-j \equiv 0$ or $1(\bmod k)$ for every undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ in $M_{G}$, where $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$.

We say a mixed graph $M_{G}$ is admissible if it has an admissible partition.
For a mixed graph $M_{G}$, a three-way switching for $M_{G}$ with respect to its admissible partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ is a operation of changing $M_{G}$ into $M_{G}^{\prime}$ by making the changes in what follows (see Figure 3):
(i) replacing each undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ with an $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$, where $u \in V_{i}, v \in V_{j}$ and $j-i \equiv 1(\bmod k)$;
(ii) replacing each $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ with an undirected edge $\{u, v\}$, where $u \in V_{i}, v \in V_{j}$ and $i-j \equiv 1(\bmod$ k);
(iii) replacing each $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ with an $\operatorname{arc}(v, u)$, where $u \in V_{i}, v \in V_{j}$ and $i-j \equiv 2(\bmod k)$.

Theorem 2. If a mixed graph $M_{G}^{\prime}$ is obtained from an admissible mixed graph $M_{G}$ by a three-way switching, then $M_{G}^{\prime}$ is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral to $M_{G}$.

Proof. Let $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ be an admissible partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, Let $n_{i}=\left|V_{i}\right|$ for $i=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and $D=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\omega I_{n_{1}}, \omega^{2} I_{n_{2}}, \ldots,, \omega^{k} I_{n_{k}}\right\}$, let $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ and $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}^{\prime}\right)=\left(h_{i j}^{\prime}\right)_{n \times n}$. In order to prove the Theorem, it suffices to prove that $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}^{\prime}\right)=D^{-1} H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) D$.


Figure 3. Three Way Switching for an Admissible Partition

It's easy to check that for every vertices pair $u, v$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$, where $i \geq j$, $\left(D^{-1} H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) D\right)_{u, v}=\omega^{k+j-i} h_{u v}$. If $u$ is not adjacent to $v$ in $M_{G}$, then $\omega^{k+j-i} h_{u v}=0=h_{u v}^{\prime}$ since $M_{G}$ and $M_{G}^{\prime}$ have the same underlying graph.

When $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ are adjacent, we consider following cases.
Case 1. $i-j \equiv 0(\bmod k)$, in this case we have $h_{u v}^{\prime}=h_{u v}$ since the switching doesn't change the edges in $\left[M_{G}\left(V_{i}\right)\right]$.
Case $2 . i-j \equiv 1(\bmod k)$, note that in this case, the edge between $u, v$ in $M_{G}$ is a undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ or a arc $(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$. If $\{u, v\}$ is a undirected edge, then by by the switching, it follows that $(v, u)$ is a arc from $v$ to $u$ in $M_{G}^{\prime}$ and $h_{u, v}^{\prime}=f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \omega^{-1}=\omega^{k+j-i} h_{u v}$. If $(u, v)$ is a arc from $u$ to $v$, then by the switching it follows that $\{u, v\}$ is a undirected edge in $M_{G}^{\prime}$ and $h_{u, v}^{\prime}=\omega^{-1} f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \omega=\omega^{k+j-i} h_{u v}$.
Case 3. $i-j \equiv 2(\bmod k)$, note that in this case, the edge between $u, v$ in $M_{G}$ must be a $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ from $u$ to $v$. Then by the switching it follows that $(v, u)$ is a arc from $v$ to $u$ in $M_{G}^{\prime}$ and $h_{u, v}^{\prime}=f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \omega^{-1}=$ $\omega^{-2} f\left(d_{u}, d_{v}\right) \omega^{1}=\omega^{k+j-i} h_{u v}$.

Together with Case 1, 2 and 3, we complete the proof of Theorem.
Note that $V_{i}$ can be empty for a admissible partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ of $M_{G}$, so the three-way switching can induces some particular equivalent switchings for $M_{G}$.

Corollary 1. If $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ has a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that there exists no arc from $V_{1}$ to $V_{2}$, then the graph obtained from $M_{G}$ by replacing all undirected edges $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in V_{1}$ and $v \in V_{2}$ by $(u, v)$ and replacing all arcs $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{2}$ and $v \in V_{1}$ by $\{u, v\}$ is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral with $M_{G}$.

Corollary 2. If $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ has a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that $\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ only contains arcs from $V_{2}$ to $V_{1}$, then the graph obtained from $M_{G}$ by replacing all arcs $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{2}$ and $v \in V_{1}$ by $(v, u)$ is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral with $M_{G}$.

Corollary 3. If $k=3$ and $M_{G}$ has a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, then the graph obtained from $M_{G}$ by following changes is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral with $M_{G}$ :
(i) replacing all arcs $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{2}$ and $v \in V_{1}$ by $\{u, v\}$;
(ii) replacing all undirected edges $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in V_{2}$ and $V \in v_{1}$ by $(v, u)$;
(iii) replacing all arcs $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{1}$ and $V \in v_{2}$ by $(v, u)$.

## 3. $H_{f}^{\mathrm{k}}$-cospectrality with Underlying Graph

In this section, we focus on the $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectrality of $M_{G}$ and its underlying graph $G$.
Theorem 3. Let $M_{G}$ be a connected mixed graph. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $G$ and $M_{G}$ are $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral.
(ii) $\lambda_{1}(G)=\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)$.
(iii) $M_{G}$ admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition.

Proof. It's obvious that (i) implies (ii). Note that $M_{G}$ could be changed into $G$ by a three-way switching if it admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition. So (iii) implies (i) by Theorem 2. In order to complete the proof, it suffices to prove that (ii) implies (iii). Assume that (ii) holds. Let $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$ and $H_{f}^{k}(G)=A_{f}(G)=\left(a_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$, let $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in C^{n}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)$. Note that $\left|h_{u, v}\right|=a_{u, v}$ for every $u, v \in\left|V\left(M_{G}\right)\right|$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right) & =\overline{Y^{T}} \cdot H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \cdot Y \\
& =\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \bar{y}_{u} h_{u, v} y_{v} \\
& \leq \sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|\bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right| \cdot\left|h_{u, v}\right| \\
& =\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|y_{u} \| y_{v}\right| \cdot a_{u, v} \leq \lambda_{1}(G) . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (1) must holds since $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$, which require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}=\left|h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all edges $u v$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that a vertex $v_{0} \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$ such that $y_{v_{0}} \in R^{+}$, then $\frac{\left|\bar{v}_{v_{0}}\right|}{\bar{y}_{v_{0}}}=1$ and $h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}=\left|h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}\right|$ for all $y \in N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)$ by (4), it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega^{-1}$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) ; \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=1$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{-}\left(v_{0}\right)$.

Note that $G$ is connected, by repeating the above steps, it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega^{t}$ with $t \in Z$ for all $v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$ Let $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right): \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega^{t}\right.$, where $\left.t \equiv i(\bmod k)\right\}$, where $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. it's easy to check that $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{k}$ form a $\mathscr{A}$-partition of $M_{G}$, which complete the proof.

With Theorem 3, we have following corollary.
Corollary 4. A mixed tree $M_{T}$ is $H_{f}^{k}$-cospectral to its underlying graph.
Proof. We construct a $\mathscr{A}$-partition of $V\left(M_{T}\right)$ to prove this corollary. Choose a vertex $v_{0} \in V\left(M_{T}\right)$ and denote by $P(u)$ the unique ( $\left.v_{0}, u\right)$-path in $T$ for all $u \in V\left(M_{T}\right)$, then we define a function $f$ on $V(G)$, where the value of $f(u)$ equals to the number of arcs toward $v_{0}$ in $P(u)$ minus the number of arcs toward $u$ in $P(u)$.

Let $V_{i}=\left\{u \in V\left(M_{T}\right): f(u) \equiv i(\bmod k)\right\}$, where $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Obviously $V\left(M_{T}\right)=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup$ $V_{k}$ and it's easy to check that for every undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}, f(u)-f(v)=0$ so $i=j$; for every arc $(u, v)$ with $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}, f(u)-f(v)=1$ so $i-j \equiv 1(\bmod k)$. It implies that $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ forms a $\mathscr{A}$-partition of $V\left(M_{T}\right)$ and complete the proof.

Then the main Theorem in [12] can be directly generalized to mixed trees.
Corollary 5. Assume that $f(x, y)$ is increasing and convex in variable $x$, Then the mixed trees in $n$ vertices owns largest $H_{f}^{k}$-spectral radius if and only if its underlying graph is $S_{n}$ or a double star $S_{d, n-d}$ for some $d \in\{2, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Corollary 6. Assume that $f(x, y)$ has the form $P(x, y)$ or $\sqrt{P(x, y)}$, where $P(x, y)$ is a symmetric polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and zero constant term. Then the mixed tree on $n(n \geq 9)$ vertices owns the smallest $H_{f}^{k}$-spectral radius if and only if its underlying graph is $P_{n}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1. Let $M_{G}$ be a mixed graph. Then $\left|\lambda_{i}\left(M_{G}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{1}(G)$ for all $i \in\{1,2 \ldots, n\}$.
$\overline{\text { Proof. Let } Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in C^{n} \text { be a normalized eigenvector of } H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \text { corresponding to }}$ $\lambda_{i}\left(M_{G}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{i}\left(M_{G}\right)\right| & =\left|\bar{Y}^{T} \cdot H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \cdot Y\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \bar{y}_{u} h_{u, v} y_{v}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|\bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right| \cdot\left|h_{u, v}\right| \\
& =\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|y_{u}\right| y_{v} \mid \cdot a_{u, v} \leq \lambda_{1}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which completes the proof.
Note that $\left|\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)\right|>\left|\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)\right|$ is possible for a mixed graph $M_{G}$. By Lemma 1, it follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)=\rho_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ if and only if $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$ or $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$. In the following two lemmas we focus on the condition of the second equality.

Lemma 2. Let $k$ be an odd positive integer and $M_{G}$ be a connected mixed graph, then $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=$ $\lambda_{1}(G)$ if and only if $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}$-partition.

Proof. If $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}$-partition, say $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k} \cup U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k}$. Then $G$ is a bipartite graph and $\left(V_{1} \cup U_{1}\right),\left(V_{1} \cup U_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(V_{k} \cup U_{k}\right)$ form a $\mathscr{A}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, by Perron-Frobinius Theorem and Theorem 3 it follows that $\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{n}(G)=-\lambda_{1}(G)$.

If $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$ holds, Let $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$ and $H_{f}^{k}(G)=A_{f}(G)=\left(a_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$, let $Y=$ $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in C^{n}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right) & =-\bar{Y}^{T} \cdot H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \cdot Y \\
& =-\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \bar{y}_{u} h_{u v} y_{v} \\
& \leq \sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|\bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right| \cdot\left|h_{u v}\right| \\
& =\sum_{u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)} \sum_{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)}\left|y_{u} \| y_{v}\right| \cdot a_{u v} \leq \lambda_{1}(G) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (3) must holds because $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$, which requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}=-\left|h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right|, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all edges $u v$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that a vertex $v_{0} \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$ such that $y_{v_{0}} \in R^{+}$, then $\frac{\left|\bar{y}_{v_{0}}\right|}{\bar{y}_{v_{0}}}=1$ and $h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}=\left|h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}\right|$ for all $y \in N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)$ by (4), it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-\omega^{-1}$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) ; \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-1$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-\omega$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{-}\left(v_{0}\right)$.

Note that $G$ is connected, by repeating the above steps, it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|} \in\left\{ \pm \omega^{t}, t \in Z\right\}$ for all $v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$. Let $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right): \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega^{t}\right.$, where $\left.t \equiv i(\bmod k)\right\}$ and $U_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right): \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\right.$ $-\omega^{t}$, where $\left.t \equiv i(\bmod k)\right\}$ where $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. it's easy to check that $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k} \cup U_{1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k}$ form a $\mathscr{C}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$, which complete the proof.

Note that a $\mathscr{C}$-partition $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}$ of $V(G)$ forms into a $\mathscr{A}$-partition $\left(V_{1} \cup U_{1}\right),\left(V_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.U_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(V_{k} \cup U_{k}\right)$. So we have following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let $k$ be an odd positive integer and $M_{G}$ be a connected mixed graph, then $\rho(G)=\rho\left(M_{G}\right)$ if and only if $V\left(M_{G}\right)$ admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition.

Lemma 3. Let $k$ be an even positive integer and $G$ be a connected, regular graph, then a mixed graph $M_{G}$ with underlying graph $G$ such that $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$ if and only if $M_{G}$ admits a $\mathscr{B}$-partition.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is $\Delta$-regular, let $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\left(h_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$ and $H_{f}^{k}(G)=A_{f}(G)=\left(a_{u v}\right)_{n \times n}$, let $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in R^{n}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $A_{f}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}(G)$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in C^{n}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $H_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)$.

Assume that $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$, then by the similar proof it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}=-\left|h_{u, v} \bar{y}_{u} y_{v}\right|, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all edges $u v$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that a vertex $v_{0} \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$ such that $y_{v_{0}} \in R^{+}$, then $\frac{\left|\bar{v}_{v_{0}}\right|}{\overline{y_{v}}}=1$ and $h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}=\left|h_{v_{0}, v} y_{v}\right|$ for all $y \in N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)$ by (5), it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-\omega^{-1}=\omega^{\frac{k}{2}-1}$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) ; \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-1=\omega^{\frac{k}{2}}$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=-\omega=\omega^{\frac{k}{2}+1}$ if $v \in N_{M_{G}}^{-}\left(v_{0}\right)$.

Note that $G$ is connected, by repeating the above steps, it follows that $\frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|} \in\left\{\omega^{t}, t \in Z\right\}$ for all $v \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$. Let $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V\left(M_{G}\right): \frac{y_{v}}{\left|y_{v}\right|}=\omega^{t}\right.$, where $\left.t \equiv i(\bmod k)\right\}$, where $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. it's easy to check that $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ forms a $\mathscr{B}$-partition of $V\left(M_{G}\right)$.

Assume that $M_{G}$ admits a $\mathscr{B}$-partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$, we construct a vector $Z \in C^{n}$ with $z_{u}=x_{u} \cdot w^{i}$, where $u \in V_{i}$. Then for any $u \in V\left(M_{G}\right)$ with $u \in V_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{f}^{k}(G) \cdot Z\right)_{u}= & f(\Delta, \Delta)\left(\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{0}(u)} z_{v}+\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{+}(u)} \omega z_{v}+\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{-}(u)} \omega^{-1} z_{v}\right) \\
= & f(\Delta, \Delta)\left(\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{0}(u)} x_{v} \omega^{i+\frac{k}{2}}+\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{+}(u)} \omega x_{v} \omega^{i+\frac{k}{2}-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{v \in N_{M_{G}}^{-}(u)} \omega^{-1} x_{v} \omega^{i+\frac{k}{2}+1}\right) \\
= & -f(\Delta, \Delta) \omega^{i} \sum_{v \in N_{G}(u)} x_{v} \\
= & -\lambda_{1}(G) \omega^{i} x_{u}=-\lambda_{1} z_{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $H_{f}^{k}(G) \cdot Z=-\lambda_{1}(G) Z$, so $-\lambda_{n}\left(M_{G}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$.
Combined with Theorem 3 we have following corollary.
Corollary 8. Let $k$ be a even integer positive and $G$ be a connected, regular graph, then a mixed graph $M_{G}$ such that $\rho_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right)=\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$ if and only if $V(G)$ admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition or a $\mathscr{B}$-partition.
Lemma 4. Let $k$ be a positive integer and $f(x, y)>0$ be a symmetric real function, not decreasing in variable $x$, then for any proper subgraph $H$ of $G$, we have $\rho_{f}^{k}(H)<\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$.
Proof. We have following two claims.
Claim 1. For any proper, spanning and connected subgraph H of $G$, $\rho_{f}^{k}(H)<\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$.
Let $y_{1}>0, y_{2}>0$ be the Perron vector of $\rho(G)$ and $\rho(H)$, respectively. Note that $A_{f}(G)-A_{f}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ and $A_{f}(G)-A_{f}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ since $f(x, y)$ is symmetric, not decreasing in $x$ and $H$ is a proper subgraph of $G$. Then

$$
\left(\rho_{f}^{k}(G)-\rho_{f}^{k}(H)\right) y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{2}=y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(A_{f}(G)-A_{f}(H)\right) y_{2}>0 .
$$

It follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)>\rho_{f}^{k}(H)$.
Claim 2. For any proper, induced subgraph $H$ of $G, \rho_{f}^{k}(H)<\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$.

Let $y>0, y_{1}>0$ be the Perron vector of $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$ and $\rho_{f}^{k}(H)$, respectively. We can assume that

$$
A_{f}(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{f}(H)+K & L \\
L^{\prime} & M
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $K \geq 0$ is symmetric. Then

$$
A_{f}(G)\binom{y_{1}}{0}=\binom{\left(A_{f}(H)+K\right) y_{1}}{L^{\prime} y_{1}}=\binom{\rho_{f}^{k}(H) y_{1}+K y_{1}}{L^{\prime} y_{1}} \geq \rho_{f}^{k}(H)\binom{y_{1}}{0} .
$$

If

$$
A_{f}(G)\binom{y_{1}}{0}=\rho_{f}^{k}(H)\binom{y_{1}}{0},
$$

then $\binom{y_{1}}{0} \geq 0$ is a eigenvector of $A_{f}(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$, which is contradict to PerronFrobinius Theorem. So we have $A_{f}(G)\binom{y_{1}}{0} \geq \rho_{f}^{k}(H)\binom{y_{1}}{0}$ and $A_{f}(G)\binom{y_{1}}{0} \neq \rho_{f}^{k}(H)\binom{y_{1}}{0}$. It follows that $\left(\rho_{f}^{k}(G)-\rho_{f}^{k}(H)\right) y^{\prime}\binom{y_{1}}{0}=y^{\prime}\left(A_{f}(G)\binom{y_{1}}{0}-\rho_{f}^{k}(H)\binom{y_{1}}{0} \geq 0\right.$, so $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)>\rho_{f}^{k}(H)$.

For any proper subgraph $H$ of $G$, we can assume that $H$ is connected. Then $H$ must be a spanning subgraph of some induced subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $G$. If $H^{\prime}=G$, then by Claim 1 it follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}(H)<$ $\rho_{f}^{k}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$. If $H^{\prime} \neq G$, then by Claim 2 it follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}(H) \leq \rho_{f}^{k}\left(H^{\prime}\right)<\rho_{f}^{k}(G)$. It completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that if $G$ is a $\Delta$-regular graph, then $A_{f}(G)=f(\Delta, \Delta) A(G)$ and $\rho_{f}^{k}(G)=$ $f(\Delta, \Delta) \Delta(G)$. Since every graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ must be a subgraph of a $\Delta$-regular graph, by Lemma 4 it follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}(G) \leq f(\Delta, \Delta) \Delta(G)$ for all graphs $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, where equality holds if and only if $G$ is $\Delta$-regular.

For a mixed graph $M_{G}$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, by Lemma 1 it follows that $\rho_{f}^{k}\left(M_{G}\right) \leq$ $f(\Delta, \Delta) \Delta(G)$, by Corollary 7,8 it follows that equality holds if and only if underlying graph $G$ is $\Delta(G)$-regular and $M_{G}$ admits a $\mathscr{A}$-partition or $M_{G}$ admits a $\mathscr{B}$-partition when $k$ is even.
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