



Monophonic cover pebbling number ($MCPN$) of network graphs

A. Lourdusamy^{1,✉}, S. Kither Iammal², I. Dhivviyanandam³

¹ Department of Mathematics, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai-627002, Tamil Nadu, India

² Department of Mathematics, Jayaraj Annapackiam College for women (Autonomous), Periyakulam Tamilnadu, India

³ Department of Mathematics, North Bengal st. Xavier's college, Rajganj, west Bengal, India India

ABSTRACT

Given a connected graph G and a configuration D of pebbles on the vertices of G , a pebbling transformation involves removing two pebbles from one vertex and placing one pebble on its adjacent vertex. A monophonic path is defined as a chordless path between two non-adjacent vertices u and v . The monophonic cover pebbling number, $\gamma_\mu(G)$, is the minimum number of pebbles required to ensure that, after a series of pebbling transformations using monophonic paths, all vertices of G are covered with at least one pebble each. In this paper, we determine the monophonic cover pebbling number ($MCPN$) for the gear graph, sunflower planar graph, sun graph, closed sun graph, tadpole graph, lollipop graph, double star-path graph, and a class of fuses.

Keywords: Gear graph, sun graph, Closed sun graph, Sunflower planer graph, Tadpole graph, Lollipop graph, Double star-path graph, Monophonic pebbling, Monophonic cover pebbling

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C99, 05C38, 05C12, 05C25, 05C76

1. Introduction

A pebbling move is defined as the removal of two pebbles from one vertex, followed by the placement of one pebble on an adjacent vertex, while the other pebble is eliminated. The pebbling number of a vertex v in a graph G is the smallest positive integer $f(G, v)$ such that, for every distribution of $f(G, v)$ pebbles on the vertices of G , one pebble can be moved to v through a sequence of pebbling

✉ Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lourdusamy15@gmail.com (A. Lourdusamy).

Received 3 March 2024; accepted 20 November 2024; published 31 December 2024.

DOI: [10.61091/um121-02](https://doi.org/10.61091/um121-02)

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

moves. The pebbling number $f(G)$ is the maximum of $f(G, v)$ over all vertices of G . For more information on graph pebbling, interested readers can refer to [5].

The pebbling problem focuses on ensuring that one pebble can reach any specified target vertex. This problem can be interpreted as the transmission of information from one specific location to a target location. However, a natural question arises: how can information reach all locations of a graph simultaneously within a reasonable time? In pebbling terminology, this requires placing one pebble on all the vertices of the graph G simultaneously. For example, in an Adhoc Network, if there is congestion in the network, the information must reach neighboring locations immediately. This question is addressed by a variation of pebbling, called the cover pebbling number.

The cover pebbling number of a graph $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum number of pebbles required such that, for any configuration of $\gamma(G)$ pebbles on the vertices of G , each vertex will have at least one pebble after a series of pebbling moves. At the end of this process, no vertex is left uncovered. Crull et al. [3] introduced the concept of cover pebbling, determining the cover pebbling number for complete graphs, paths, and trees. In [6], Hulbert and Munyan determined the cover pebbling number of the d -cube. Later, in 2010, Subido and Aniversario [10] expanded upon the work of Crull et al. by determining the cover pebbling number of graphs via a key vertex. Furthermore, Vuong and Wyckoff [11] introduced the stacking conjecture, where all pebbles for cover pebbling are placed on a single vertex. For any connected graph G , by the stacking theorem, $\gamma(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} 2^{\text{dist}(u,v)}$.

Any vertex v satisfying this equation is a key vertex of the graph. Selecting a suitable key vertex is particularly challenging for random graphs, graphs with a large number of vertices, and graphs with varying diameters. Specifically, the intuition of obtaining the cover pebbling number of graphs by distributing pebbles over the vertices of G is equivalent to finding the cover pebbling number by stacking all the pebbles at a suitable key vertex.

Santhakumaran et al. [9] introduced the concept of monophonic distance in graphs. The monophonic distance between u and v , denoted as $d_m(u, v)$, is the length of the longest u - v monophonic path in G . For any two vertices u and v in a connected graph G , a u - v path is a monophonic path if it contains no chords [9]. (A chord is a line segment connecting two points on a curve.) Lourdusamy et al. [7] defined the monophonic pebbling number using monophonic paths. The monophonic pebbling number, $\mu(G)$, of a connected graph G , is the smallest positive integer n such that any distribution of n pebbles on G allows one pebble to be moved to any specified vertex using monophonic paths via a sequence of pebbling moves.

Building on these definitions, we introduce the concept of a monophonic cover pebbling number. The monophonic cover pebbling number, $\gamma_\mu(G)$, is the minimum number of pebbles required to cover all the vertices of G with at least one pebble on each vertex after a series of pebbling transformations using monophonic paths. While the pebbling number of a graph is determined using the shortest distance in a graph G , the monophonic pebbling number is determined using monophonic distance. These two concepts play a crucial role in applications such as the supply of goods and transportation problems. When geodesic paths are unavailable, monophonic paths can serve as alternatives. The choice of paths directly impacts the cost of goods. Similarly, these concepts are applicable in network information transmission from one node to another. The monophonic cover pebbling number ensures the equitable distribution of goods across all customers using monophonic paths. For basic terminologies in graph theory, readers can refer to [1] and [2].

In this paper, we determine the monophonic cover pebbling number for various graphs, including the gear graph, sunflower planar graph, sun graph, closed sun graph, tadpole graph, lollipop graph,

double star-path graph, and a class of fuses.

Notation 1.1. The notation G_n stands for the gear graph, which is taken from [4]. The notation Sf_n stands for the sunflower planar graph, also taken from [4]. The notation S_u stands for the sun graph, and $\overline{S_u}$ stands for the closed sun graph, both referenced in [4]. The notation $T_{m,n}$ stands for the (m, n) tadpole graph, which is taken from [12].

Theorem 1.2. [8] For the path P_n , $\gamma_\mu(P_n)$ is $2^n - 1$.

Definition 1.3. [10] Let $v \in V(G)$. Then v is called a key or source vertex if $\text{dist}(v)$ is maximum.

Notation 1.4. Throughout this article, we denote:

- (a) β as the source vertex.
- (b) M_i as the monophonic path, and M_i^\sim contains the vertices that are not on M_i .
- (c) MCPN as the monophonic cover pebbling number.
- (d) d_m as the monophonic distance.
- (e) $N(v_0)$ as the neighborhood of v_0 .

2. MCPN of families of network graphs

Theorem 2.1. For the gear graph G_n , $\gamma_\mu(G_n)$ is $2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 9$.

Proof. Let $V(G_n) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E(G_n) = \{u_i v_i, v_j u_{j+1}, v_n u_1, u_0 u_i\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Without loss of generality, let n be odd. Let $p(v_1) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 8$. To cover the vertices

$$v_2, u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2}, \dots, v_n,$$

it will cost $2(2^{n+1} + 2^{n+2} + \dots + 2^{2(n-1)})$ pebbles; to cover $u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$ it will cost 2^n pebbles; to cover $N(v_1)$ it will cost 4 pebbles; to cover u_0 it will cost 4 pebbles, and there is no pebble left to cover v_1 . Thus,

$$\gamma_\mu(G_n) \geq 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 9.$$

Now we prove $\gamma_\mu(G_n) \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 9$.

Case 1: Let $\beta = v_1$.

From Table 1, to cover the vertices $v_2, u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2}, \dots, v_n$, we require $2(2^{n+1} + 2^{n+2} + \dots + 2^{2(n-1)})$ pebbles; to cover $u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$ we require 2^n pebbles; to cover $N(v_1)$ we need 4 pebbles; to cover u_0 we need 4 pebbles, and to cover v_1 we need 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we use

$$\gamma_\mu(G_n) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 9$$

pebbles.

Table 1. Monophonic distance from v_1, u_1, u_0 to $V(G_n)$

	u_1	v_1	u_2	v_2	u_3	v_3	\dots	$u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$	$v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$	$u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$	$v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$	\dots	u_n	v_n	u_0
v_1	1	0	1	$2n - 2$	$2n - 3$	$2n - 4$	\dots	$n + 2$	$n + 1$	n	$n + 1$	\dots	$2n - 3$	$2n - 2$	2
u_1	0	1	$2n - 2$	$2n - 3$	$2n - 4$	$2n - 5$	\dots	$n + 1$	n	$n + 1$	$n + 2$	\dots	$2n - 2$	1	1
u_0	1	2	1	2	1	2	\dots	1	2	1	2	\dots	1	2	0

Case 2: Let $\beta = u_1$.

From Table 1, to cover the vertices $v_2, u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2}, \dots, v_n$, we need $2(2^{n+1} + 2^{n+2} + \dots + 2^{2(n-1)})$ pebbles; to cover $v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$ we require 2^n pebbles; to cover $N(v_1)$ we need 6 pebbles; to cover u_1 we need 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we use

$$\gamma_\mu(G_n) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n-1)} 2^k \right) + 2^n + 7$$

pebbles.

Case 3: Let $\beta = u_0$.

From Table 1, to cover the vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n , we need $2n$ pebbles; to cover v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , we need $4n$ pebbles; to cover u_0 , we need 1 pebble. Thus, here we use

$$\gamma_\mu(G_n) = 6n + 1$$

pebbles. □

Theorem 2.2. *The MCPN of the sunflower planar graph Sf_n is:*

$$\gamma_\mu(Sf_n) = \begin{cases} 4 \left(\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n-1} 2^i \right) + 3(2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}) + 2^{n+1} + 9, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 4 \left(\sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}^{n-1} 2^i \right) + 2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} + 2^{n+1} + 9, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(Sf_n) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and

$$E(Sf_n) = \{u_0u_i, u_ju_{j+1}, u_1u_n, u_iv_i, u_{j+1}v_j, u_1v_n\},$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. The center vertex u_0 has degree n , vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n have degree 5, and vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n have degree 2.

Case 1: When n is even.

Table 2. Monophonic distance from v_1, u_1, u_0 to $V(Sf_n)$ (even n)

	u_1	v_1	u_2	v_2	u_3	v_3	\dots	$u_{\frac{n}{2}}$	$v_{\frac{n}{2}}$	$u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$	$v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$	$u_{\frac{n}{2}+2}$	\dots	v_{n-1}	u_n	v_n	u_0
v_1	1	0	1	n	$n - 1$	$n - 1$	\dots	$\frac{n}{2} + 2$	$\frac{n}{2} + 2$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	\dots	$n - 1$	$n - 1$	n	2
u_1	0	1	1	$n - 1$	$n - 2$	$n - 2$	\dots	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n}{2} + 1$	\dots	$n - 1$	1	1	1
u_0	1	2	1	2	1	2	\dots	1	2	1	2	1	\dots	2	1	2	0

Subcase 1.1: $\beta = u_1$.

From Table 2, to cover v_2, v_{n-1} it will cost $2(2^{n-1})$ pebbles; to cover

$$u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, u_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, \dots, v_{n-2}, u_{n-1},$$

it will cost $4 \left(\sum_{j=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-2} 2^j \right)$ pebbles; to cover $u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ it will cost $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ pebbles; to cover $N(u_1)$ it will cost 10 pebbles; to cover u_1 it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case to cover all the vertices we used

$$4 \left(\sum_{j=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-2} 2^j \right) + 2^n + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + 11.$$

Subcase 1.2: $\beta = v_1$.

From Table 2, to cover v_2 and v_n it requires 2^{n+1} pebbles; to cover

$$u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+2}, u_{\frac{n}{2}+3}, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_n,$$

it requires $4 \left(\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n-1} 2^i \right)$ pebbles; to cover $u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, u_{\frac{n}{2}+2}$, it requires $3(2^{\frac{n}{2}+1})$ pebbles; to cover u_1 and u_2 , it requires 4 pebbles; to cover u_0 , it requires 4 pebbles; to cover v_1 , it requires 1 pebble. Thus, in this case we used

$$4 \left(\sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+2}^{n-1} 2^i \right) + 3(2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}) + 2^{n+1} + 9.$$

Subcase 1.3: $\beta = u_0$.

From Table 2, to cover $N(u_0)$ it will cost $2n$ pebbles; to cover v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n it will cost $4n$ pebbles; to cover u_0 it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case we used

$$6n + 1.$$

Case 2: When n is odd.

Table 3. Monophonic distance from v_1, u_1, u_0 to $V(Sf_n)$ (odd n)

	u_1	v_1	u_2	v_2	u_3	v_3	\dots	$u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$	$v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$	$u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$	\dots	v_{n-1}	u_n	v_n	u_0
v_1	1	0	1	n	$n-1$	$n-1$	\dots	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$	\dots	$n-1$	$n-1$	n	1
u_1	0	1	1	$n-1$	$n-2$	$n-2$	\dots	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$	\dots	$n-1$	1	1	1
u_0	1	2	1	2	1	2	\dots	1	2	1	\dots	2	1	2	0

Subcase 2.1: $\beta = u_1$.

From Table 3, to cover v_2, v_{n-1} it will cost 2^n pebbles; to cover

$$u_3, v_3, \dots, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, \dots, v_{n-2}, u_{n-1}$$

it will cost

$$4 \left(\sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}^{n-2} 2^j \right)$$

pebbles; to cover

$$u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}$$

it will cost $3(2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil})$ pebbles; to cover $N(u_1)$ it will cost 10 pebbles; to cover u_1 it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case we used

$$4 \left(\sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil+1}^{n-2} 2^j \right) + 3(2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) + 2^n + 11$$

pebbles.

Subcase 2.2: Let $\beta = v_1$.

From Table 3, to cover v_2, v_n it will cost 2^{n+1} pebbles; to cover

$$u_3, v_3, \dots, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}, v_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil+1}, u_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil+2}, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_n$$

it will cost

$$4 \left(\sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil+1}^{n-1} 2^i \right)$$

pebbles; to cover $u_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ it will cost $2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$ pebbles; to cover u_1, u_2 it will cost 4 pebbles; to cover u_0 it will cost 4 pebbles; to cover v_1 it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case we used

$$4 \left(\sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil+1}^{n-1} 2^i \right) + 2^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} + 2^{n+1} + 9$$

pebbles.

Subcase 2.3: Let $\beta = u_0$.

From Table 3, to cover $N(u_0)$ it will cost $2n$ pebbles; to cover v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n it will cost $4n$ pebbles; to cover u_0 it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case we used

$$6n + 1$$

pebbles.

□

Theorem 2.3. For the Sun graph S_u , $\gamma_\mu(S_u) = 12u - 11$.

Proof. Let $V(S_u) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_u, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u\}$ and $E(S_u) = \{y_i y_{i+1}, y_1 y_u, y_i x_i, x_i y_{i+1}, y_u x_u, x_u y_1, y_i v_j\}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq u - 1$ and $i \neq j$. The degree of x_i is $u + 1$ and y_i is 2. Let $p(x_1) = 5u - 12$. To cover the vertices x_2, x_3, \dots, x_u , we require $2^3(u - 1)$ pebbles; to cover y_3, y_4, \dots, y_u , we require $2^2(u - 2)$ pebbles; to cover y_1, y_2 , we require 4 pebbles. Now there is no pebble to cover x_1 . Hence, $\gamma_\mu(S_u) \geq 12u - 11$.

Now we show $\gamma_\mu(S_u) \leq 12u - 11$.

Table 4. Monophonic distance from y_1, x_1 to $V(S_u)$

	y_1	x_1	y_2	x_2	y_3	x_3	\dots	y_{u-1}	x_{u-1}	y_u	x_u
y_1	0	1	1	2	1	2	\dots	1	2	1	1
x_1	1	0	1	3	2	3	\dots	2	3	2	3

Case 1: Let $\beta = x_k$ where $1 \leq k \leq u$.

Fix $k = 1$. From Table 4, to cover the vertices x_2, x_3, \dots, x_u , which are at the monophonic distance 3, we require $2^3(u-1)$ pebbles; to cover y_3, y_4, \dots, y_u , which are at the monophonic distance 2, we require $2^2(u-2)$ pebbles; to cover $N(x_1)$, we require 4 pebbles; and to cover x_1 , we require 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we used $12u - 11$ pebbles.

Case 2: Let $\beta = y_k$ where $1 \leq k \leq u$.

Fix $k = 1$. From Table 4, to cover the vertices x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{u-1} , which are at the monophonic distance 2, we require $2^2(u-2)$ pebbles; to cover $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_u, x_1, x_u$, which are adjacent to y_1 , we require $2(u+1)$ pebbles; to cover y_1 , we require 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we used

$$4u - 4 + 2u + 2 + 1 = 6u - 1 \text{ pebbles.}$$

□

Theorem 2.4. For $\overline{S_u}$, $\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u})$ is

$$\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u}) = \begin{cases} 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2u + 3, & \text{if } u \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 3, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(\overline{S_u}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_u, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u\}$ and $E(\overline{S_u}) = \{y_i y_{i+1}, y_1 y_u, x_i x_{i+1}, x_1 x_u, y_i x_i, x_i y_{i+1}, y_u x_u, x_u y_1, y_i y_j\}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq u-1$ and $i \neq j$. The degree of y_i is $u+1$, and the degree of x_i is 4.

Case 1: When u is even.

Let $p(y_1) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2u + 2$. To cover the vertices $x_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_u, x_u$, it requires $2u + 2$ pebbles; to cover the vertices x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{u-1} , it requires $2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right)$ pebbles, and there is no pebble left to cover y_1 . Thus,

$$\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u}) \geq 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2u + 2.$$

Now we show $\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u}) \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2u + 2$.

Table 5. Monophonic distance from y_1 and x_1 to $V(\overline{S_u})$

	y_1	x_1	y_2	x_2	y_3	x_3	\dots	$x_{\frac{u}{2}}$	$y_{\frac{u}{2}+1}$	$x_{\frac{u}{2}+1}$	$y_{\frac{u}{2}+2}$	$x_{\frac{u}{2}+2}$	\dots	y_{u-2}	x_{u-2}	y_{u-1}	x_{u-1}	y_u	x_u
x_1	1	0	1	1	2	$u-1$	\dots	$\frac{u}{2} + 2$	2	$\frac{u}{2} + 1$	2	$\frac{u}{2} + 2$	\dots	2	$u-2$	2	$u-1$	2	1
y_1	0	1	1	$u-1$	1	$u-2$	\dots	$\frac{u}{2} + 1$	1	$\frac{u}{2} + 1$	1	$\frac{u}{2} + 2$	\dots	1	$u-2$	1	$u-1$	1	1

Subcase 1.1: Let $\beta = y_1$.

From Table 5, to cover $N(y_1)$, it costs $2u + 2$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{u-1} , which are at monophonic distances $u-1, u-2, \dots, \frac{u}{2} + 1$, it costs $2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right)$ pebbles; to cover y_1 , it costs 1 pebble. Thus, in this subcase, we used $2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{u}{2}+1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2u + 2$ pebbles.

Subcase 1.2: Let $\beta = x_1$.

From Table 5, to cover $N(x_1)$, it costs 8 pebbles; to cover y_3, y_4, \dots, y_u , it costs $4u - 8$ pebbles; to cover x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{u-1} , which are at monophonic distances $u-1, u-2, \dots, \frac{u}{2}+2$, it costs $2 \binom{u-1}{k=\frac{u}{2}+2} + 2^{\frac{u}{2}+1}$ pebbles; to cover x_1 , it costs 1 pebble. Thus, in this subcase, we used

$$2 \binom{u-1}{k=\frac{u}{2}+2} + 2^{\frac{u}{2}+1} + 4u + 1 < 2 \binom{u-1}{k=\frac{u}{2}+1} + 2u + 2.$$

Case 2: When u is odd.

Let

$$p(y_1) = 2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 2.$$

To cover the vertices $x_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_u, x_u$, it requires $2u+2$ pebbles; to cover the vertices x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{u-1} , it requires

$$2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil}$$

pebbles, and there is no pebble to cover y_1 . Thus,

$$\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u}) \geq 2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 2.$$

Now we prove

$$\gamma_\mu(\overline{S_u}) \leq 2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 2.$$

Table 6. Monophonic distance from y_1 and x_1 to $V(\overline{S_u})$

	y_1	x_1	y_2	x_2	y_3	x_3	\dots	$x_{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil}$	$y_{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}$	$x_{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}$	$y_{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 2}$	$x_{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 2}$	\dots	y_{u-2}	x_{u-2}	y_{u-1}	x_{u-1}	y_u	x_u
x_1	1	0	1	1	2	$u-1$	\dots	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1$	2	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1$	2	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 2$	\dots	2	$u-2$	2	$u-1$	2	1
y_1	0	1	1	$u-1$	1	$u-2$	\dots	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil$	1	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1$	1	$\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 2$	\dots	1	$u-2$	1	$u-1$	1	1

Subcase 2.1: Let $\beta = y_1$.

From Table 6, to cover $N(y_1)$, it will cost $2u + 2$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{u-1} , which are at the monophonic distances $u-1, u-2, \dots, \lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1$, it will cost

$$2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil}$$

pebbles; to cover y_1 , it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we used

$$2 \binom{u-1}{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1} + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 2$$

pebbles.

Subcase 2.2: Let $\beta = x_1$.

From Table 6, to cover $N(x_1)$, it will cost 8 pebbles; to cover y_3, y_4, \dots, y_u , it will cost $4u - 8$ pebbles; to cover x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{u-1} , which are at the monophonic distances $u - 1, u - 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 2$, it will cost

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}^{u-1} 2^k \right)$$

pebbles; to cover x_1 , it will cost 1 pebble. Thus, in this case, we used

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 4u + 1 < 2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil + 1}^{u-1} 2^k \right) + 2^{\lceil \frac{u}{2} \rceil} + 2u + 2$$

pebbles. □

Theorem 2.5. For $T_{m,n}$,

$$\gamma_{\mu}(T_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} + 3(2^{n+1}) - 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + n}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 3(2^{n+1}) - 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(T_{m,n}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and

$$E(T_{m,n}) = \{u_i u_{i+1}, u_1 v_1, v_k v_{k+1}, v_m v_1\}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$. Consider a bridge between u_1 and v_1 .

Case 1: When m is even.

Let

$$p(u_n) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} + 3(2^{n+1}) - 2.$$

To cover the vertices $v_2, v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ we need $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover v_m we need 2^{n+1} pebbles; to cover $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{\frac{m}{2}}, v_{\frac{m}{2}+2}, \dots, v_{m-1}$ we need

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right)$$

pebbles; to cover $v_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$ we need $2^{\frac{m}{2}+n}$ pebbles but we have $2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} - 1$ pebbles. Thus, there must be a vertex without cover. Hence,

$$\gamma_{\mu}(T_{m,n}) \geq 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} + 3(2^{n+1}) - 1.$$

Now we prove

$$\gamma_{\mu}(T_{m,n}) \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} + 3(2^{n+1}) - 1.$$

Subcase 1.1: Let $\beta = u_n$.

To cover the vertices $v_2, v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$, it will cost $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover v_m it will cost 2^{n+1} pebbles; to cover $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{\frac{m}{2}}, v_{\frac{m}{2}+2}, \dots, v_{m-1}$ it will cost

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right)$$

pebbles; to cover $v_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$ we need $2^{\frac{m}{2}+n}$ pebbles. Thus, we used

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\frac{m}{2}+n+1}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 3(2^{n+1}) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}+n} - 1$$

pebbles.

Subcase 1.2: Let $\beta = v_1$.

To cover the vertices $v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$, it will cost $2^{n+1} - 1$ pebbles; to cover the vertices v_2, v_m it will cost 4 pebbles; to cover the vertices $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{\frac{m}{2}}, v_{\frac{m}{2}+2}, \dots, v_{m-1}$ it will cost

$$2 \left(\sum_{i=\frac{m}{2}+1}^{m-2} 2^i \right)$$

pebbles; to cover $v_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$ it will cost $2^{\frac{m}{2}}$ pebbles. Thus, we used

$$2 \left(\sum_{i=\frac{m}{2}+1}^{m-2} 2^i \right) + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} + 2^{n+1} + 3$$

pebbles.

Case 2: When m is odd.

Let

$$p(u_n) = 2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + n}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 3(2^{n+1}) - 2.$$

To cover the vertices $v_2, v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$, we need $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover v_m , we need 2^{n+1} pebbles; to cover v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1} , we need

$$2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + n}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right).$$

Thus, in this case:

$$\gamma_\mu(T_{m,n}) \geq 2 \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + n}^{m+n-2} 2^k \right) + 3(2^{n+1}) - 1.$$

The equality holds when $\beta = u_n$ or v_1 . □

Theorem 2.6. For $L(m, n)$, $\gamma_\mu(L(m, n)) = 2^{n+1} - 1 + (m - 1)2^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $V(L(m, n)) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ where m vertices form a complete graph and n vertices form a path of order n . Without loss of generality, consider a bridge between u_1 and v_1 . Let

$$p(u_n) = 2^{n+1} - 2 + (m - 1)2^{n+1}.$$

To cover the vertices v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m , it will cost $(m-1)2^{n+1}$ pebbles; to cover the vertices $u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}, \dots, u_1, v_1$, it will cost $2^{n+1} - 2$ pebbles, and there is no pebble left to cover u_n . Thus,

$$\gamma_\mu(L(m, n)) \geq 2^{n+1} - 1 + (m - 1)2^{n+1}.$$

Now we prove

$$\gamma_\mu(L(m, n)) \leq 2^{n+1} - 1 + (m - 1)2^{n+1}.$$

Case 1: Let $\beta = u_n$.

Let the monophonic path $M_1 : u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_1, v_1$ of length n . By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_1))$, we require $2^{n+1} - 1$ pebbles; to cover the vertices v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m , which are at a monophonic distance of $n + 1$, we need $(m - 1)2^{n+1}$ pebbles. Thus, to cover all the vertices, it will cost

$$\gamma_\mu(L(m, n)) = 2^{n+1} - 1 + (m - 1)2^{n+1}$$

pebbles.

Case 2: Let $\beta = v_2$.

Consider the monophonic path $M_2 : v_2, v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ of length $n + 1$. By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_2))$, we require $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover the vertices v_3, v_4, \dots, v_m , which are adjacent to v_2 , it will cost $2(m - 2)$ pebbles. Thus, to cover all the vertices from v_2 , it will cost

$$2^{n+2} - 1 + 2(m - 2)$$

pebbles. By symmetry, the same can be proven for the vertices v_3, v_4, \dots, v_m .

We observe that if the source vertices are $u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}, \dots, u_1, v_1$, then the monophonic cover pebbling number of these vertices will lie between Case 1 and Case 2. \square

Theorem 2.7. For the double star-path graph $P_n(l, m)$, $\gamma_\mu(P_n(l, m)) = 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l - 1) + 2^2(m - 1)$, where $l \geq m$.

Proof. Let $V(P_n(l, m)) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and

$$E(P_n(l, m)) = \{x_0x_i, x_0v_1, v_s v_{s+1}, v_n y_0, y_0 y_j\},$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let

$$p(y_1) = 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l - 1) + 2^2(m - 1) - 1.$$

To cover the vertices $y_1, y_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, x_0, x_1$, it will cost $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l , it will cost $(l - 1)2^{n+1}$ pebbles; to cover y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m , it will cost $4(m - 1)$ pebbles, but we have $4(m - 1) - 1$ pebbles. Thus, there will be a vertex without cover. Hence,

$$\gamma_\mu(P_n(l, m)) \geq 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l - 1) + 2^2(m - 1), \text{ where } l \geq m.$$

Now we prove

$$\gamma_\mu(P_n(l, m)) \leq 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l-1) + 2^2(m-1), \text{ where } l \geq m.$$

Case 1: Let $\beta = y_1$.

Let us consider the monophonic path $M_1 : y_1, y_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, x_0, x_1$ of length $n+1$. By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_1))$, we require $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l , we require $(l-1)2^{n+1}$ pebbles; to cover y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m , which are at monophonic distance 2, we need $(m-1)4$ pebbles. Thus, in this case, we used

$$2^{n+2} - 1 + (l-1)2^{n+1} + (m-1)4 = 2^{n+2} + (l-1)2^{n+1} + 4m - 5$$

pebbles. By symmetry, the same can be proven for the vertices y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m .

Case 2: Let $\beta = x_1$.

Let us consider the monophonic path $M_2 : x_1, x_0, v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_1, y_0, y_1$ of length $n+1$. By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_2))$, we require $2^{n+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m , we require $(m-1)2^{n+1}$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l , which are at monophonic distance 2, we need $(l-1)4$ pebbles. Thus, in this case, we used

$$2^{n+2} - 1 + (m-1)2^{n+1} + (l-1)4 < 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l-1) + 2^2(m-1)$$

pebbles. Since $l \geq m$.

Case 3: Let $\beta = v_s$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$.

There exist two monophonic paths. Let $M_3 : v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n, y_0, y_1$ of length $n-k+2$ and $M_4 : v_k, v_{k-1}, \dots, v_1, x_0, x_1$ of length $k+1$. By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_3))$, we need $2^{n-k+3} - 1$ pebbles, and to cover $p(V(M_4))$, we need $2^{k+2} - 1$ pebbles. Now to cover y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m , we need $(m-1)2^{n-k+2}$ pebbles; to cover x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l , we need $(l-1)2^{k+1}$ pebbles. Thus, to cover all the vertices, we require

$$2^{k+2} - 1 + 2^{n-k+3} - 1 + (m-1)2^{n-k+2} + (l-1)2^{k+1} < 2^{n+2} + 2^{n+1}(l-1) + 2^2(m-1)$$

pebbles. Similarly, we can prove for x_0 and y_0 . □

Theorem 2.8. For the class of fuses $F_l(k)$, $\gamma_\mu(F_l(k)) = 2^{l+2} - 1 + (k-1)2^{l+1}$.

Proof. Let $V(F_l(k)) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_l, v_{l+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ and

$$E(F_l(k)) = \{v_i v_{i+1}, v_l v_s\},$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$, $s = l+1, l+2, \dots, n-1$, and $n = l+k+1$. Consider the monophonic path $M_1 : v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l, v_{l+1}$ of length $l+1$. Let

$$p(v_0) = 2^{l+2} - 2 + (k-1)2^{l+1}.$$

To cover the vertices $v_{l+2}, v_{l+3}, \dots, v_{n-1}$, we require $(k-1)2^{l+1}$ pebbles. By Theorem 1.2, to cover the vertices of M_1 , we require $2^{l+2} - 1$ pebbles, but we have only $2^{l+2} - 2$ pebbles. Thus, there will be a vertex without cover. Hence,

$$\gamma_\mu(F_l(k)) \geq 2^{l+2} - 1 + (k-1)2^{l+1}.$$

Now we prove

$$\gamma_{\mu}(F_l(k)) \leq 2^{l+2} - 1 + (k - 1)2^{l+1}.$$

Case 1: Let $\beta = v_0$.

Consider the path M_1 . By Theorem 1.2, to cover $p(V(M_1))$, we require $2^{l+2} - 1$ pebbles. To cover the k vertices $v_{l+2}, v_{l+3}, \dots, v_{n-1}$, which are at the monophonic distance $l + 1$, we require $(k - 1)2^{l+1}$ pebbles. Thus, with a configuration of $2^{l+2} - 1 + (k - 1)2^{l+1}$ pebbles, we can cover all the vertices. Similarly, we can prove for the vertices $v_{l+1}, v_{l+2}, \dots, v_{n-1}$.

Case 2: Let $\beta = v_j$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

There exist two different monophonic paths:

$$M_2 : v_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_l, v_{l+1},$$

of length $l - j + 1$ and

$$M_3 : v_j, v_{j-1}, \dots, v_0,$$

of length j . By Theorem 1.2, to cover $V(M_2)$, we require $2^{l-j+2} - 1$ pebbles; to cover $V(M_3)$, we require $2^{j+1} - 2$ pebbles; and to cover the vertices $v_{l+2}, v_{l+3}, \dots, v_{n-1}$, we require $(k - 1)2^{l-j+1}$ pebbles. Thus, to cover all the vertices, we require

$$2^{l-j+2} - 1 + 2^{j+1} - 2 + (k - 1)2^{l-j+1} = 3(2^{l-j+1}) + (k - 1)2^{l-j+1} < 2^{l+2} - 1 + (k - 1)2^{l+1}.$$

□

References

- [1] J. Bondy and U. Murty. *Graph theory with applications*. Macmillan, 1977.
- [2] G. Chartrand. *Introductory Graph Theory*. Dover Books on Mathematics. Dover Publications, 1985.
- [3] B. Crull, T. Cundif, P. Feltman, G. Hurlbert, L. Pudwell, Z. Szaniszló, and Z. Tuza. The cover pebbling number of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 296:15–23, 2005.
- [4] S. N. Daoud and K. Mohamed. The complexity of some families of cycle-related graphs. *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, 11:205–228, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtusci.2016.04.002>.
- [5] G. Hurlbert. A survey of graph pebbling. *Congressus Numerantium*, 139:41–64, 1999.
- [6] G. Hurlbert and B. Munyan. Cover pebbling hypercubes. *Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications*, 47:71–76, 2006.
- [7] A. Lourdusamy, I. Dhivviyanandam, and S. Kitheriammal. Monophonic pebbling number and t-pebbling number of some graphs. *AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2022. [10.1080/09728600.2022.207278](https://doi.org/10.1080/09728600.2022.207278).
- [8] A. Lourdusamy, S. Kitheriammal, and I. Dhivviyanandam. Monophonic cover pebbling number of some path related graphs. *Industrial Engineering Journal*, 52(4):167–170, Apr. 2023.
- [9] A. Santhakumaran and P. Titus. Monophonic distance in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, 3(2):159–169, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830911001176>.
- [10] M. E. Subido and I. S. Aniversario. The cover pebbling number of the join of some graphs. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 2014. [10.12988/ams.2014.45377](https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.45377).

- [11] A. Vuong and I. Wyckoff. Conditions for weighted cover pebbling of graphs. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0410410>, 2005. Manuscript.
- [12] E. W. Weisstein. Tadpole graph. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/TadpoleGraph.html>. Accessed: 2024-11-28.